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Abstract
First studies of electron transfer in [N]phenylenes were performed in bimolecular quenching reactions of angular [3]- and triangular [4]phenylene with various electron acceptors. The relation between the quenching rate constants $k_q$ and the free energy change of the electron transfer ($\Delta G^0_{CS}$) could be described by the Rehm-Weller equation. From the experimental results, a reorganization energy $\lambda$ of 0.7 eV was derived.

Intramolecular electron transfer reactions were studied in an [N]phenylene bichromophore and a corresponding reference compound. Fluorescence lifetime and quantum yield of the bichromophor display a characteristic dependence on the solvent polarity, whereas the corresponding values of the reference compound remain constant. From the results, a nearly isoenergetic $\Delta G^0_{CS}$ can be determined. As the triplet quantum yield is nearly independent of the polarity, charge recombination leads to the population of the triplet state.
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1. Introduction
The [N]Phenylenes represent a completely new class of polycyclic hydrocarbons (PAH), consisting of alternating six- and four-membered rings. As this unusual combination of aromatic and antiaromatic moieties causes outstanding molecular properties, the [N]phenylenes have been object of several synthetic and analytical studies [1]. Also, photophysical studies concerning the geometric effects of electronic excitation on [N]phenylene molecules have been published in the last years [2-4]. The most remarkbale photophysical feature of [N]phenylenes is the striking effect of the annelation geometry on the
internal conversion (IC) in excited linear and angular [N]phenylenes. In contrast to classical PAH, which exhibit usually fluorescence lifetimes ($\tau_F$) of a few ns under ambient conditions, $\tau_F$ of the angular members of the [N]phenylenes is in the range of 20–80 ns. These increased values are caused mainly by diminished fluorescence and IC (rate constants $k_F$ and $k_{IC}$). The low $k_F$ values are due to the forbidden or weakly allowed nature of the $S_0$–$S_1$ transitions [2, 3]. In comparison to classical aromatic hydrocarbons, IC of angular [N]phenylenes is remarkably slower [2, 4, 5]. The low $k_{IC}$ values reflect the small extent of geometric distortion between electronic ground and excited states. The observed deviation from a simple energy gap law-type behaviour is caused by the fact that in angular [N]phenylenes only the terminal benzene rings are involved in $S_0$–$S_1$ vibronic coupling [2, 4]. These findings are supported by the extraordinarily small Stokes shifts of angular [N]phenylenes, demonstrating the similarity of the structures of ground and excited states for angular [N]phenylenes. In contrast to the angular [N]phenylenes, linear [N]phenylenes are non-fluorescent, or display very short-lived fluorescence ($\tau_F$ in the ps-time domain) with very low fluorescence quantum yields ($\Phi_F$) [2]. This is caused by ultrafast IC with rates $k_{IC}$ exceeding $10^9$ s$^{-1}$, due to major geometric distortions between $S_0$ and $S_1$ state.

Although the general synthetic procedure introduced by K. C. P. Vollhardt is also suitable for the synthesis of substituted [N]phenylenes [1], photophysical studies have concentrated on unsubstituted [N]phenylenes up to now [2-4]. Recently, the synthesis and first photophysical studies of a donor-acceptor type [N]phenylene dyad have been reported [6]. The authors suggest the combination of a linear [N]phenylene donor with a fullerene acceptor for the use in photovoltaic solar cells, according to photovoltaic fullerene dyads described in the literature [7-10]. However, this seems not promising because $k_{IC}$ of the [N]phenylene chromophor is very high as is evident from the reported lack of fluorescence [6]. Thus, any energy or electron transfer process would have to be very fast in order to compete with IC. Therefore, linear [N]phenylenes are hardly very suitable for functional dyads. On the other hand, the extraordinarily slow IC in angular [N]phenylenes turns these compounds into highly attractive substrates for the study of electron transfer reactions.

In order to elucidate the basic electron transfer properties of angular [N]phenylenes, the bimolecular quenching reactions of angular [3]- (1) and triangular [4]phenylene (2) (Fig. 1) with various electron acceptors were studied by time-resolved fluorescence spectroscopy. In an extension to the investigation of intramolecular electron transfer reactions, the dyad (4) and the corresponding reference substance (3) were included.
2. Experimental section

Phenylenes (1) and (2) were synthesized and characterized according to the methods described in the literature [11, 12]. For the synthesis of (3) and (4), an alkyne coupling protocol modified from that published was employed [13]. For detailed procedures, see synthetic section. Quenchers and reference dyes were purchased at analytical grade (Aldrich, Taufenkirchen, Germany) and used without further purification.

Sample solutions were prepared in HPLC grade solvents. [N]Phenylene concentrations were 5x10^{-5} mol/L for fluorescence and 10^{-4} mol/L for transient absorption measurements. Quenching constants $k_q$ were determined by Stern-Volmer analysis using quencher concentrations from 2.5x10^{-3} mol/L to 5x10^{-2} mol/L.

If not noted otherwise, the measurements are performed at room temperature in 10x10 mm quartz cells (Hellma, Müllheim, Germany). The samples were deoxygenated by flushing with argon for 10 min and sealed with a septum.

For fluorescence measurements at low temperatures, the samples were prepared in a 5x5 mm monolithic quartz cell, flushed for 15 min with argon, and then cooled to 80–280 K with an Optistate DN1704 cryostat (Oxford Instruments, Wiesbaden, Germany) equipped with an external controller (ITC4; Oxford Instruments).

Absorption spectra were recorded with a Cary 500 UV-VIS-NIR spectrophotometer (Varian Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA). Stationary fluorescence spectra were obtained with a Fluoromax 3 fluorimeter (Jobin Yvon, Edison, NJ, USA). Fluorescence quantum yields in cyclohexane were determined relative to perylene ($\Phi_F = 1$) as reference [14]. Fluorescence and triplet
quantum yields (see below) in other solvents were measured using the solution of the corresponding \([N]\)phenylene in cyclohexane as reference.

Lifetime measurements were performed with a FLS920 fluorimeter (Edinburgh Instruments, Livingston, UK). A frequency-doubled titanium sapphire laser system (Tsunami 3960; Spectra Physics, Mountain View, USA) set at 392 nm was used as the excitation light source. The original repetition rate of 80.2 MHz was reduced to 500 kHz with a pulse picker (Pulse Select; APE, Berlin, Germany). Fluorescence emission was detected with a multichannel plate (ELDY EM1-132/300, Europhoton, Berlin, Germany), providing a time response of ~100 ps. Transient absorption spectra were recorded using the usual setup consisting of photomultiplier, monochromator and digital storage oscilloscope. The samples were excited with 600 \(\mu\)J pulses at 355 nm generated by a Nd-YAG-laser (5021 DNS/DPS, B. M. Industries, Evry, France) set at a repetition rate of 5 Hz. Triplet extinction coefficients were determined using rubrene as reference (\(\epsilon_T (480 \text{ nm}) \approx 32.000 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}\)) \([15]\). Triplet quantum yields were measured relatively to tetracene \([14]\).

The redox potentials of the compounds \((1) - (3)\) were determined at a glassy carbon electrode \((A = 2.27 \text{ mm}^2)\) with an electrochemical analyser BAS 100B (Bioanalytical Systems Inc., West Lafayette, IN, USA). A non-aqueous Ag/Ag\(^+\) electrode was used as reference electrode and a platinum wire as auxiliary electrode. The measurements were performed in a tetrabutylammonium perchlorate solution \((0.1 \text{ mol/L in acetonitrile})\) using ferrocene \((\Delta E_{1/2} = 85 \text{ mV})\) as internal standard.

The solvent polarity was measured using an ELC-131D meter (Schmidt Scientific, Taipei, Taiwan) connected to a 17.2 pF ring capacitator.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Intermolecular electron transfer

Intermolecular electron transfer reactions between an electronically excited fluorophore \(1^F^*\) and a quencher \(Q\) can be described by the model displayed in Scheme 1 \([16]\).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
1^F^* + Q \xrightleftharpoons[k_D]{k_{-D}} (1^F^* \cdots Q) \xrightleftharpoons[k_{CS}]{k_{-CS}} (F^\ddagger \cdots Q^\ddagger) \xrightarrow{k_{Sep}} 2F^\ddagger + 2Q^\ddagger \\
\downarrow_{k_{G}} \quad \downarrow_{k_{CR}} \\
1^F + Q \rightarrow 3F^* + 3Q
\end{array}
\]

Scheme 1
This model, which was later refined by Farid and Gould (see ref. 17 and references therein), implies the following processes: diffusion controlled formation (rate constant $k_D$) and dissociation ($k_D$) of a collision complex ($^1F^* - - - Q$) followed by forward and backward charge separation (CS) reactions ($k_{CS,} k_{CS}$) yielding the charge transfer complex ($F^\pm - - - Q^\pm$). The latter can dissociate into the free ions $^2F^\pm$ and $^2Q^\pm$ ($k_{Sep}$), or undergo charge recombination (CR) reactions producing ground state ($^1F$) or triplet state ($^3F^*$) fluorophore molecules ($k_{CR}^G, k_{CR}^T$). Under steady-state conditions the experimental quenching constant $k_q$, as determined by Stern-Volmer analysis, can be expressed as a function of the standard free energy change of charge separation ($\Delta G_{CS}^0$) and the corresponding free energy change of activation ($\Delta G_{CS}^\theta$) [16]:

$$k_q = \frac{k_D}{1 + \frac{k_D}{k_{CS}} \cdot e^{\Delta G_{CS}^*/RT} + \frac{k_D}{k_S} \cdot e^{\Delta G_{CS}^*/RT}}$$

Equ. 1

with $k_S = k_{Sep} + k_{CR}^G + k_{CR}^T$ and $k_{CS}^0 = k_{CS} \cdot \exp(\Delta G_{CS}^*/RT)$. In an empirical approach, $\Delta G_{CS}^\theta$ is directly connected to $\Delta G_{CS}^0$ and $\lambda$ [16,18]:

$$\Delta G_{CS}^\theta = \frac{\Delta G_{CS}^0}{2} + \left(\frac{\Delta G_{CS}^0}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)^{1/2}$$

Equ. 2

For the determination of $\lambda$, the dependence of $k_q$ on $\Delta G_{CS}^0$ has to be evaluated in a so-called Rehm-Weller plot. $\Delta G_{CS}^0$ can be calculated from electrochemical data using the redox potentials of the reaction partners. According to the Weller equation (Equ. 3), $\Delta G_{CS}^0$ of a photoinduced electron transfer is a function of the donor and acceptor redox potentials $E_{ox}(D)$ and $E_{red}(A)$, the excitation energy $E_{ex}$ and the solvent dependent Coulomb term $C$ [19].

$$\Delta G_{CS}^0 = E_{ox}(D) - E_{red}(A) - E_{ex} + C$$

Equ. 3
The Coulomb term $C$ is relatively small for polar solvents like acetonitrile (ACN) and is therefore often neglected. However, in nonpolar solvents, $C$ as well as the redox potentials change and Equ. 4 can be used for the calculation of $\Delta G_{\text{CS}}^0$ in less polar solvents [19, 20].

$$\Delta G_{\text{CS}}^0 = (E_{\text{ex}}(D) - E_{\text{red}}(A))_{\text{ACN}} - E_{\text{ex}} + \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{R^+} - \frac{1}{R_{DA}}\right) - \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_{\text{ACN}}} R^+$$  \text{Eq}u. 4

Here, $\varepsilon$ is the solvent polarity, $R_{DA}$ an average donor-acceptor distance and $R^+$ an average ion radius (obtained under the simplifying assumption that $^2\text{F}^+$ and $^2\text{Q}^+$ can be regarded as identical spheres). Essentially, Equ. 4 allows the recalculation of $\Delta G_{\text{CS}}^0$ for solvents of different polarities with respect to corresponding data in acetonitrile (denoted by the subscript ACN).

For the experimental determination of $\lambda$ in [N]phenylene systems, two different approaches were employed. First, $\Delta G_{\text{CS}}^0$ was varied by using different electron acceptors, which has the inherent disadvantage that $\lambda$ might also change slightly. Therefore, in a second approach, $\Delta G_{\text{CS}}^0$ in the (2)/nitrotoluene system was varied through a change of the solvent polarity.

In order to estimate $\Delta G_{\text{CS}}^0$ for the electron transfer reactions between [N]phenylenes (1) and (2) and selected electron acceptors in acetonitrile, the redox potentials of (1) and (2) were determined via cyclovoltammetry in acetonitrile. Both compounds exhibit reversible one-electron reduction waves at $-2.27\text{ V}$ (1) and $-2.15\text{ V}$ (2), respectively. The peak to peak distance is 120 mV at a scan rate of 100 mV and depends on the scan rate. This points to a hindered electron transfer at the electrode surfaces. At a scan rate of 100 mV/s, both substances show irreversible oxidation at $+940\text{ mV}$ and $+1020\text{ mV}$, respectively. However, at higher scan rates (up to 12.8 V/s), the oxidation of (1) becomes reversible. This is an indication that in the $\mu$s-time domain, in which charge separation and recombination will occur most likely, the oxidation of the [N]phenylene fluorophor is reversible. The redox potentials ($E_{1/2}$) of the electron acceptors used for intermolecular electron transfer reactions and $\Delta G_{\text{CS}}^0$ calculated for (1) and (2) are summarized in Table 1.
Tab. 1: Redox potentials vs. SCE of electron acceptors and resulting $\Delta G_{CS}^0$ as derived from Weller’s equation ($a$: Ref. 24, $b$: Ref. 18, $c$: Ref. 14, $d$: Ref. 25).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electron acceptor</th>
<th>$E_{\text{red}}$ / V</th>
<th>$\Delta G_{CS}^0$ (1) / eV</th>
<th>$\Delta G_{CS}^0$ (2) / eV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,4-Dinitrobenzene</td>
<td>$-0.68^a$</td>
<td>$-1.27$</td>
<td>$-1.13$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-Dinitrobenzene</td>
<td>$-0.79^a$</td>
<td>$-1.16$</td>
<td>$-1.02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-Dinitrobenzene</td>
<td>$-0.89^a$</td>
<td>$-1.06$</td>
<td>$-0.92$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Nitrotoluene</td>
<td>$-1.42^b$</td>
<td>$-0.53$</td>
<td>$-0.39$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4-Dibromobenzene</td>
<td>$-1.76^c$</td>
<td>$-0.19$</td>
<td>$-0.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-Bromophenanthrene</td>
<td>$-2.03^a$</td>
<td>$0.08$</td>
<td>$0.22$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrene</td>
<td>$-2.09^c$</td>
<td>$0.14$</td>
<td>$0.28$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Bromonaphthalene</td>
<td>$-2.17^d$</td>
<td>$0.22$</td>
<td>$0.36$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chrysene</td>
<td>$-2.25^c$</td>
<td>$0.30$</td>
<td>$0.44$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromobenzene</td>
<td>$-2.32^d$</td>
<td>$0.37$</td>
<td>$0.51$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>$-2.39^b$</td>
<td>$0.44$</td>
<td>$0.58$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2: Rehm-Weller plot for the bimolecular quenching reactions of (1) (squares) and (2) (circles) with electron acceptors in acetonitrile at 298 K. The values for $k_q$ of the solvent dependent quenching reactions between (2) and 4-nitrotoluene are given as triangles. $k_q$ of chrysene is below $10^5$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$. The solid line represents the model function obtained from Equ. 1.
The Rehm-Weller plot for the bimolecular quenching reactions in acetonitrile is displayed in Fig. 2. The solid line represents a fit of the model function (Equ. 1) to the experimental results, with $\Delta G_{CS}^\theta$ being substituted by Equ. 2 and $\lambda$ as free parameter. With respect to the other parameters, $k_D = 3 \times 10^{10}$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ and 0.25 for $k_{-D}/k_{CS}^0$ and $k_{D}/k_S$ (as originally introduced by Rehm and Weller) were employed [16]. From this fit, $\lambda = (0.7 \pm 0.1)$ eV was determined. The deviation of 9-bromopenanthrene, 1-bromonaphthalene and bromobenzene from the fit is caused by an increase of the intersystem crossing efficiency due to the heavy atom effect.

For measurements on the (2)/nitrotoluene system, $\Delta G_{CS}^0$ was altered by using ethyl acetate/acetonitrile mixtures of different polarities from $\varepsilon = 6$ (ethyl acetate) to $\varepsilon = 37.5$ (acetonitrile). The results are also given in Fig. 2. For the average donor-acceptor distance $R_{DA}$, a value of 6 Å for $\Delta G_{CS}^0 \approx -0.8$ eV was used [21], and an average ion radius $R^\pm = 4$ Å was assumed. Again, for $\lambda = 0.7$ eV, the model function Equ. 1 with the parameters evaluated for the results obtained in acetonitrile, fits the experimental results (cf. Fig. 2). Thus, despite rough approximation concerning $R_{DA}$ and $R^\pm$, the determination of $\Delta G_{CS}^0$ in nonpolar solvents is sufficient for the evaluation of $\lambda$.

3.2 Intramolecular electron transfer

To study intramolecular electron transfer reactions involving [N]phenylene groups, the bichromophor (4), which resembles a bridged intramolecular version of the (2)/nitrotoluene system, and the corresponding reference compound (3) were synthesized. Fluorescence emission and excitation spectra of (3) and (4) and the absorption spectrum of (3) are displayed in Fig. 3. Clearly, the spectral behaviour of the [N]phenylene fluorophore is not influenced noticeably by substitution of the acceptor part of the molecule. However, in comparison to the unsubstituted triangular [4]phenylene (2), attachment of the carboxy groups to the chromophor changes the electrochemical and photophysical properties [2]. The 0–0 transition of the bichromophors is red-shifted by 14 nm, from 438 nm to 452 nm. The electron withdrawing effect of the carboxyl groups shifts the oxidation as well as the reduction potential of the [N]phenylene moiety to more positive values. By cyclovoltammetry, the reduction potential of (3) is found to be at $-1.7 \pm 0.1$ V and the oxidation potential at $1.2 \pm 0.1$ V. If $\Delta G_{CS}^0$ for electron transfer in (4) is calculated by using Equ. 3 and the reduction potential of 4-nitrotoluene (Tab. 1), the shift of $E_{ox(D)}$ and $E_{ex}$ results in $\Delta G_{CS}^0 \approx -0.1$ eV in acetonitrile. As Equ. 3 is only an approximation (e.g. in respect of neglecting the Coulomb
term C), $\Delta G^0_{CS}$ for (4) can be expected to be around 0 eV. Given the experimental uncertainties, the CS reaction can thus considered to be almost isoenergetic.

The $S_0$–$S_1$ transition, which is symmetrically forbidden for (2) is allowed in the case of (3) and (4) because the symmetry of the chromophor is reduced by the carboxy groups. This also causes a decreased fluorescence lifetime for (3) of 18 ns (81 for (2)). The fluorescence ($\Phi_F$) and intersystem crossing ($\Phi_{ISC}$) quantum yields of (3) are 0.16 and 0.40, respectively. From these values, the rate constants for fluorescence ($k_F = 9 \times 10^6$ s$^{-1}$), intersystem crossing ($k_{ISC} = 2.2 \times 10^7$ s$^{-1}$) and internal conversion ($k_{IC} = 2.4 \times 10^7$ s$^{-1}$) can be calculated. As for non substituted [N]phenylenes, the solvent polarity has no effect on the $\tau_F$, $\Phi_F$ and $\Phi_{ISC}$ values of (3). Therefore, (3) is a suitable reference for studying electron transfer reactions of (4). In nonpolar solvents, (4) exhibits nearly the same $\tau_F$, $\Phi_F$ and $\Phi_{ISC}$ values as (3). It follows that there are no additional deactivation paths for (4) in nonpolar solvents. In contrast to reference compound (3), in polar solvents, $\tau_F$ and $\Phi_F$ of (4) decrease dramatically (Fig. 4), whereas $k_F$, as calculated from $\tau_F$ and $\Phi_F$, remains constant. Despite the change in $\tau_F$, the decay of fluorescence intensity follows first order kinetics in all solvents.

Fig. 3: Excitation ($\lambda_{em} = 500$ nm) and fluorescence spectra ($\lambda_{ex} = 400$ nm) of (3) (dashed) and (4) (solid) in ethyl acetate at 298 K. Inset: Absorption spectrum of (3) in ethyl acetate.
Fig. 4: Solvent effect on fluorescence lifetime ($\tau_F$, triangles), fluorescence quantum yield ($\Phi_F$, circles) and intersystem crossing quantum yields ($\Phi_{ISC}/2$, squares) of (4) at 298 K. The solid line represents a fit of Equ. 6 to the experimental data for $\tau_F(\varepsilon)$.

For the results displayed in Fig. 4 a wide variety of solvents and solvent mixtures was used, from nonpolar (cyclohexane; $\varepsilon = 2.1$) to very polar (dimethylformamide/water 1:1; $\varepsilon \approx 60$). It is important to note that different types of solvents, e.g., alkanes, ethers, ketones and nitriles, were used for these experiments. Thus, the observed dependence of $\tau_F$ and $\Phi_F$ is caused exclusively by the solvent polarity and not by any other solvent properties. Interestingly, $\Phi_{ISC}$ is seemingly not influenced by the solvents within experimental error, although is $\Phi_{ISC}$ not accessible for $\varepsilon \sim 60$ due to insufficient solubility. (cf. Fig. 4).

In order to explain these observations, Scheme 2 is employed [22], which describes intramolecular in analogy to the intermolecular deactivation (cf. Scheme 1).
In this model, an equilibrium between the locally excited bichromophor $^{1}D^{*}–A$ and the intramolecular charge transfer complex $^{2}D^+–2A^-$ is suggested. A single exponential decay, as observed in the time resolved measurements, requires that the equilibrium is far on the side of $^{1}D^{*}–A$. Otherwise, it would be possible to monitor the equilibration of the system. Based on this assumption, a steady state approximation can be employed for $[2D^+–2A^-]$, and the decay of $^{1}D^{*}–A$ can be expressed by ($k_{CR} = k_{CR}^G + k_{CR}^T$):\

$$\frac{d[^1D^*–A]}{dt} = - \left( k_F + k_{IC} + k_{ISC} + \frac{k_{CS}k_{CR}}{k_{CS} + k_{CR}} \right) [^1D^*–A] \quad \text{Equ. 5}$$

The sum of $k_F$, $k_{IC}$ and $k_{ISC}$ is given the inverse fluorescence lifetime ($1/\tau_F^{\text{ref}}$) of the reference compound (3). If it is further supposed that $k_{CS} \gg k_{CR}$, Equ. 5 can be simplified to Equ. 6 with the equilibrium constant $K = k_{CS}/k_{CS} = \exp(-\Delta G_0^{CS}/RT)$:

$$\frac{d[^1D^*–A]}{dt} = - (1/\tau_F^{\text{ref}} + K \cdot k_{CR}) [^1D^*–A] = - \frac{1}{\tau_F(\varepsilon)} [^1D^*–A] \quad \text{Equ. 6}$$

This latter approximation is possible in this case because $\Delta G_0^{CS}$ is considered to be around 0 eV, resulting in electron transfer rates that are usually about $10^{10}$ s$^{-1}$ [21], whereas $k_{CR}$ is on the order of $10^8$ s$^{-1}$ (see below). Equ. 6 leads to the expectation of first order kinetics, as observed for (4), and allows the evaluation of the polarity dependence of the measured
fluorescence lifetimes $\tau_F(\varepsilon)$. Hereto, $\Delta G_{CS}^0$ was again obtained by Equ. 4, however with $R_{DA} = 7$ Å (including the approximate length of the methoxy linkers). The quantities $k_{CR}$ and $\Delta G_{CS}^0$(ACN) corresponding to $(E_{ox}(D) - E_{red}(A))_{ACN} - E_{ex}$ in Equ. 4, were taken as free parameters. From the fit of the model function (solid line in Fig. 4) to the $\tau_F(\varepsilon)$ data, values of $k_{CR} = 3 \times 10^8$ s$^{-1}$ and $\Delta G_{CS}^0$(ACN) = (+0.09 ± 0.06) eV were obtained, which is in fact close to zero as expected from the electrochemical data. As $\Phi_{ISC}$ of (4) remains constant, despite the decrease of $\Phi_F$, the CR reaction of $[^2D^+ - 2A^-]$ leads most likely to considerable formation of the triplet state species $[^3D^* - A]$ with $k_{CR}^T$ being on the order of $10^8$ s$^{-1}$. It is illustrative to investigate the temperature dependence of the term $K \cdot k_{CR}$ in Equ. 6, which can be described using the Eyring equation for $k_{CR}$:

$$K \cdot k_{CR} = \exp(-\Delta G_{CS}^0 / RT) \cdot k_{CR}^0 \cdot \exp(-\Delta G_{CR}^\# / RT) =$$

Equ. 7

$$= k_{CR}^0 \cdot \exp(-(\Delta G_{CS}^0 + \Delta G_{CR}^\#) / RT)$$

The temperature dependence of $K \cdot k_{CR}$ of (4), as obtained from $1/\tau_F(4) - 1/\tau_F(3)$ at each temperature in acetonitrile, is shown in Fig. 5. Obviously, a significant variation by almost one order of magnitude occurs within the temperature interval $80 - 300$ K. The constant low-temperature value derives from slightly different lifetimes of (3) and (4) (e. g., 30 and 28 ns at 80 K). From a corresponding fit, a value of (+0.12 ± 0.02) eV is obtained for the sum $\Delta G_{CS}^0 + \Delta G_{CR}^\#$. As expected, this number is larger than that for $\Delta G_{CS}^0$(ACN) of +0.09 eV obtained above.
Fig. 5: Temperature dependence of $K \cdot k_{CR}$ in acetonitrile at 80–280 K. The solid line represents an exponential fit of the experimental data.

4. Conclusion

In this work, we demonstrate that electron transfer properties of angular [N]phenylenes, which may be of interest for functional donor-acceptor type dyads, can be described within the framework of the Marcus theory. The unsubstituted [N]phenylenes (1) and (2) exhibit a reorganization energy $\lambda$ of 0.7 eV, which is smaller than the usual values for classical PAH (1.1 – 1.8 eV) [23]. As the internal reorganization energy $\lambda$ is expected to be small for the [N]phenylene part, its value for the bimolecular electron transfer reactions is due mainly to the internal reorganization energy of the electron acceptor and the external reorganization energy.

The first electron transfer investigations of [N]phenylene bichromophors provide an interesting insight into intramolecular electron transfer reactions with positive $\Delta G_{CS}^0$ values, with special emphasis on the polarity tuning of the proposed equilibrium between the locally excited donor and the charge transfer complex. However, further work is required to identify and characterize the transient ionic species and to explore the possibility of practical use of angular [N]phenylene dyads. This is not possible with (4), because the percentage of the intramolecular charge transfer complex in the equilibrium is very small. Therefore, new [N]phenylene bichromophors are being targeted currently for synthesis, devoid of the perturbing carboxy groups attached to the [N]phenylene unit.
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Synthetic section

Di(para-nitrobenzyl) acetylenedicarboxylate (5)

Acetylenedicarboxylic acid (2.00 g, 17.5 mmol), para-toluenesulfonic acid (203 mg, 1.18 mmol) and para-nitrobenzyl alcohol (6.83 g, 44.6 mmol) were diluted in toluene (40 ml). The solution was heated in a Dean-Stark apparatus (bath 145 °C) until all the water had been removed. The resulting solution was washed with a saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ (3 × 50 ml) and brine (50 ml). The toluene fraction was then dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent evaporated. The remaining solid was chromatographed over a silica gel column with 50% AcOEt/hexanes to yield the diester (5), 5.51 g (82%), as a white solid.
Dibenzyl triangular [4]phenylene-2,3-dicarboxylate (3)

A solution of 5,6-bis(trimethylsilylethynyl) angular [3]phenylene (149 mg, 0.36 mmol) and Bu₄NF (1 ml of a 1.0 M solution in THF, 1.0 mmol) in degassed toluene (50 ml) was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The reaction mixture was washed with degassed water (3 × 50 ml), dried over MgSO₄ and filtered into a 100 ml flask. To it was added CpCo(CO)₂ (100 μL, 0.78 mmol) and di(benzyl) acetylenedicarboxylate (2.31 g, 7.80 mmol),[26] and the mixture was transferred into a 50 ml syringe. The solution was injected into boiling toluene (100 ml) over a 10 h period. During the addition and for an additional 8 h, the reaction mixture was irradiated with a slide projector lamp. After cooling to room temperature, the toluene was removed under reduced pressure. The solid residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel with 2:1 AcOEt/hexanes to yield a crude product, which crystallized by adding hexanes to a diethyl ether solution to give a yellow solid. Kugelrohr distillation produced 13 mg (6.4 %) of (3) as a bright yellow solid.

Di(para-nitrobenzyl) triangular [4]phenylene-2,3-dicarboxylate (4)

A solution of 5,6-bis(trimethylsilylethynyl) angular [3]phenylene (151 mg, 0.36 mmol) and Bu₄NF (1 ml of a 1.0 M solution in THF, 1.0 mmol) in degassed toluene (50 ml) was permitted to stir at room temperature for 2 h. The reaction mixture was washed with degassed water (3 × 50 ml), dried over MgSO₄, and filtered into a 100 ml flask. All these steps were
carried out in a glove bag flushed with N₂. To this mixture was added CpCo(CO)₂ (100 μL, 0.78 mmol), and the solution was transferred into a 50 ml syringe. The solution was injected into boiling toluene (100 ml) containing the diester (5) (3.09 g, 8.0 mmol) over an 11 h period. During the addition and for an additional 8 h, the reaction mixture was irradiated with a slide projector lamp. After cooling to room temperature, the toluene was removed under reduced pressure. The solid residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel with 50% AcOEt/hexanes to yield a crude red product, which was washed twice with AcOEt to provide 15.6 mg (6.6%) of (4) as a yellow solid.

Mp = 234°C; UV-VIS (acetonitrile) λ_{max} (logε) 239 (4.57), 248 (4.49), 261 (4.62), 271 (4.67), 277 (4.67), 287 (4.78), 301 (4.32), 307 (4.26), 313 (4.26), 319 (4.27), 326 (4.14), 332 (4.28), 341 (sh, 3.75), 355 (sh, 3.63), 361 (3.76), 460 (3.29) nm; MS (FAB) m/z 659 (MH⁺); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.22 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 4H), 7.55 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 4H), 7.51 (s, 2H), 7.22 (m, 8H), 5.37 (s, 4H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.0, 151.1, 148.9, 147.8, 147.6, 142.5, 133.4, 131.7, 129.7, 129.2, 128.6, 127.6, 126.4, 123.9, 120.4, 120.2, 119.4, 77.9. Anal calcd for C₄₀H₂₂O₈N₂: C, 72.95; H, 3.37; N, 4.25. Found: C, 71.19; H, 3.80; N, 4.50.