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ABSTRACT

Due to their extraordinary photophysical properties CdSe/ZnS core/shell nanocrystals (quantum dots) are excellent luminescence dyes for fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) systems. By using a supramolecular lanthanide complex with central terbium cation as energy donor, we show that commercially available biocompatible biotinilated quantum dots are excellent energy acceptors in a time-resolved FRET fluoroimmunoassay (FRET-FIA) using streptavidin-biotin binding as biological recognition process. The efficient energy transfer is demonstrated by quantum dot emission sensitization and a thousandfold increase of the nanocrystal luminescence decay time. A Förster Radius of 90 Å and a picomolar detection limit were achieved in quantum dot borate buffer. Regarding biological applications the influence of bovine serum albumin (BSA) and sodium azide (a frequently used preservative) to the luminescence behaviour of our FRET-system is reported.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Immunoassays are important analytical systems for in-vitro diagnostics,¹ and there is great demand for homogeneous, high throughput screening (HTS) assays for multiple analyte detection (multiplex screening). Semiconductor nanoparticles, also called quantum dots (qdots), have extraordinary photophysical properties like size-dependent emission spectra and enormous absorption cross sections, which makes them interesting candidates for fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) immunoassays.² Quantum dots have become essential tools in all types of nanotechnology and their electronic and optical properties and applications were widely scrutinized in literature over the last 15 years.³-⁵ Their extinction coefficients are extremely high over a wide wavelength range, they are very photostable compared to organic dyes or luminescent proteins, and qdots with well passivated surface (e.g. CdSe qdot with ZnS surface) display narrow emission bands with high quantum yields.⁶-⁸ Photon absorption leads to a promotion of electrons from the valence band to the conduction band leaving a hole behind. The electron-hole pair (exciton) can then recombine resulting in photon emission. Size-tunability is accomplished by producing semiconductor nanocrystals smaller than the exciton Bohr radius leading to a confinement in all three dimensions and a blue shift of the emission wavelength the smaller the particles are.

Due to effective surface functionalization allowing for attachment of proteins and other biomolecules as well as progress in suppressing cytotoxicity, qdots have found wide application in biological systems,⁶-²⁵ also by using them as donors in FRET.²⁶, ²⁷ Although the effective use of qdots as FRET acceptors with organic dye donors could not be realized,²⁸ an efficient energy transfer from a Terbium complex donor to qdots in a biological system was demonstrated.²⁹

In this contribution we take a deeper look at efficient energy transfer to surface funcionalized biotinilated CdSe/ZnS core/shell qdots from the supramolecular terbium complex (TbL) as donor labeled to streptavidin, regarding luminescence decaytime measurements, the influence of azide ions to TbL. An improved calculation for the necessary FRET parameters is presented. The biotin-streptavidin binding process, which gives rise to FRET in this donor-acceptor
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system, is used as a model for all kinds of biological recognition processes (e.g. antigen-antibody binding). This opens the possibility to employ it in monitoring biological interactions occurring on the nanometer scale in general (e.g. in immunoassays).

2. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

2.1. FRET-donor-acceptor-system

The donor side of our FRET system consisted of a terbium complex TbL, which was functionalized with a N-Hydroxysulfosuccinimide (Sulfo-NHS) for biomolecular coupling (figure 1). For labeling the complex to streptavidin, TbL was incubated with streptavidin (purchased from Promega GmbH, Mannheim - Germany) in PBS buffer overnight at room temperature and the unreacted complex was removed by extensive dialysis of the protein. The labeling ratio (number of TbL per streptavidin) was obtained by UV-VIS spectroscopy and MALDI-TOF. Comparing a linear combination of streptavidin and TbL to the streptavidin labeled complex (TbL-Strep) absorption spectra suggested a labeling ratio of 3.5 TbL/Strep (figure 2). This was confirmed by MALDI-TOF mass spectra of pure streptavidin and TbL-Strep (figure 3), which display (due to the ionisation process of MALDI) only single monomers of the tetrameric streptavidin complex. The pure streptavidin displays mainly five peaks ranging from 12975 to 13262 Da, all of them being a streptavidin monomer with mass differences of ca. 72 Da from peak to peak, suggesting monomers with different amounts of residues (e.g. Lysine residue C4H10N: m = 72 Da). Regarding the spectrum of TbL-Strep, a labeling of one TbL per streptavidin monomer (13400 – 13900 Da) is the major species, but double (14000 – 14500 Da) and higher (14600 – 15500 Da, only traces) labeling also occurs. Further splitting up of the peaks for the labeled species arises from different conformations of TbL resulting from the MALDI ionisation process.

![Figure 1: TbL lanthanide complex used as donor for FRET.](image1)

![Figure 2: UV-Vis absorption spectra of streptavidin (--, c = 4.3 x 10^-7 M), TbL (---, c = 2.3 x 10^-6 M), TbL-Strep (—, c = 1.6 x 10^-7 M) and a linear combination of streptavidin and TbL (•).](image2)

![Figure 3: MALDI-TOF spectra of pure streptavidin and TbL-Strep, suggesting a major single and double labeling of TbL per streptavidin monomer.](image3)
Luminescence decay times of the TbL-Strep were measured on a modified KRYPTOR™ system for time-resolved fluoroimmunoassays using an excitation wavelength of 308 nm from a XeCl excimer laser with excitation energy of approx. 10 µJ and 20 Hz repetition rate, detecting at (545±5) nm. For decay time analysis the FRET measurements (section 2.2.) with TbL-Strep concentration of 1 x 10⁻⁹ M were chosen, instead of measuring decay times at higher concentrations resulting in higher signals. The reason for this is the influence of sodium azide on TbL-Strep, which is stronger at low TbL concentrations if the NaN₃ concentration inside the buffer is constant (more azide per TbL-Strep). Furthermore the donor lifetimes are used for calculating FRET parameters together with sensitized acceptor decay times, which are also taken from the FRET experiments. We preferred deconvoluting low emission signals and keeping the FRET experiment conditions stable. At a concentration of 1 x 10⁻⁹ M TbL-Strep in 50 mM borate buffer pH 8.3 containing 2 % BSA and 0.05 % sodium azide (Qdot-buffer) a two-exponential decay behaviour could be found (figure 4) displaying decay times of \( \tau_1 = (1200±60) \mu s \) and \( \tau_2 = (380±30) \mu s \) with intensity ratios of (55±5) % and (45±5) % for \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \), respectively, resulting in an average decay time of \( \tau = (830±50) \mu s \).

The quenching effect of azide ions on trivalent lanthanide ions is already known in literature. To use the commercial Qdot-buffer supplied with Biot-QD this drawback had to be accepted. To get to know about the effect on our system, we recorded luminescence decay spectra of TbL-Strep \( (c = 4 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M}) \) in water with varying azide concentrations (figure 5). For undisturbed TbL-Strep a decaytime of 1520 µs was measured, which is quenched to 1270 µs by addition of 0.01 % NaN₃. Adding 0.05 % leads to a two-exponential decay, with decay times of \( \tau_1 = 1410 \mu s \) and \( \tau_2 = 730 \mu s \), which are further decreased to \( \tau_1 = 1380 \mu s \) and \( \tau_2 = 500 \mu s \) (0.1 % azide), \( \tau_1 = 1180 \mu s \) and \( \tau_2 = 270 \mu s \) (0.25 % azide) and \( \tau_1 = 980 \mu s \) and \( \tau_2 = 170 \mu s \) (0.5 % azide). These strong quenching effects show the enormous influence of azide ions on

![Figure 4: Luminescence decay of TbL-Strep \( (c = 1 \times 10^{-9} \text{ M}) \) deconvoluted with decay times of \( (1200±60) \) and \( (380±30) \mu s \) (weighted statistically).](image)

![Figure 5: Luminescence decays of TbL-Strep \( (c = 4 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M}) \) with sodium azide concentrations of 0, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.25 and 0.5 % (from top to bottom). A two exponential decay becomes obvious from an azide concentration of 0.05 %.]
TbL-Strep and can explain the difference of luminescence decay times for varying ratios of azide per TbL-Strep. Also it strengthens the argument of using donor and acceptor decays from one and the same measurement, in order to to keep the azide influence constant.

For the acceptor side of the FRET systems we used biotinilated CdSe/ZnS core/shell qdots (Qdot 655 Biotin Conjugate purchased from Quantum Dot Corp., Hayward, CA - USA). The polymer coating around these ellipsoidally shaped qdots binds 5 to 7 biotin molecules resulting in an overall average size of 10 – 12 nm for the long axis of the qdots (Biot-QD). Absorption and emission spectra are displayed in figure 8. The luminescence decay times were measured on an Andor iStar ICCD spectrometer setup with XeCl-laser excitation at 308 nm detecting at (655±30) nm. A three-exponential decay, probably due to the size dispersion of Biot-QD, could be deconvoluted inside the Qdot-buffer with decay times of $\tau_1 = 23 \text{ ns}$ (41 %), $\tau_2 = 47 \text{ ns}$ (53 %) and $\tau_3 = 160 \text{ ns}$ (6 %), respectively (figure 6).

Combination of the donor and acceptor components leads to a TbL-Strep/Biot-QD complexes like shown in figure 7. After formation of the Ln-Strep/Biot-QD complex, the distance between donor and acceptor is in the good range for efficient FRET. According to Förster’s theory about resonance energy transfer, with a refractive index of $n = 1.4$ for biomolecules in aqueous solution and the assumption of statistical distributed donor-acceptor dipoles ($\kappa^2 = 2/3$), the Förster radius $R_0$ (distance at which FRET is 50 % efficient) can be calculated. An important value for calculating $R_0$ is the spectral overlap between donor emission and acceptor absorption spectra, which are displayed in figure 8. From the spectra it can be readily seen, that the overlap of TbL-Strep and Biot-QD fits quite well to get a big overlap integral $J_{\lambda}$. Another advantage is the weak emission of TbL-Strep at 655 nm, recorded as background signal when measuring the Biot-QD emission. Taking the extinction coefficient (in M$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$) as well as the normalized TbL-Strep emission spectra $J_{\lambda}$ can be calculated to $J_{\lambda} = 1.3 \times 10^{17}$ M$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$nm$^4$ using the following equation:

$$J_{\lambda} = \int \varepsilon_{\lambda}(\lambda) \cdot I_{\lambda}(\lambda) \cdot \lambda^4 \cdot d\lambda$$

(1)
For calculation of the Förster radius the donor quantum yield is another necessary value. As TbL is a complex where already energy transfer processes from ligand to terbium cation take place, Selvin and Xiao proposed, that the donor quantum yield, responsible for FRET, must be the quantum yield of the lanthanide ion \( \Phi_{\text{Ln}} \) itself rather than the one of the whole complex \( \Phi_{\text{comp}} \). Thereby the transfer efficiency \( \Phi_{\text{trans}} \) is disregarded, as this is not a measure for FRET from Ln to an acceptor but for the emission intensity or brightness of the complex \( \Phi_{\text{comp}} = \Phi_{\text{trans}} \cdot \Phi_{\text{Ln}} \). Taking \( \Phi_{\text{comp}} \) as donor quantum yield leads therefore to an underestimation of \( R_0 \) while the improved method of Selvin and Xiao should give better results. The terbium quantum yield of TbL-Strep can be calculated by comparison of decay time and \( \Phi_{\text{Tb}} \) for TbL in water \( (\tau = 1480 \mu s, \Phi_{\text{Tb}} = 0.49) \) to the average lifetime of \( \tau = 830 \mu s \) for TbL-Strep in our system, which leads to a donor quantum yield of \( \Phi_{\text{Tb}} = 0.28 \pm 0.02 \). \( R_0 \) (in Å) can then be calculated by:

\[
R_0 = \left( \frac{8.79 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot \kappa^2 \cdot \Phi_{\text{Tb}} \cdot n^{-4} \cdot J_j}{\lambda^2 \cdot \sigma} \right)^{1/6}
\]

(2)

In Qdot-buffer this leads to a Förster radius of \( R_0 = (90\pm2) \) Å for TbL-Strep and Biot-QD.

2.2. FRET experiments

FRET measurements were performed on the modified KRYPTOR system with 150 µl measuring volume multiplates using gated acquisition, integrating the emission intensity from 250 to 1000 µs at two detection channels (Ch-Tb: (545±5) nm for TbL and Ch-QD: (665±5) nm for Biot-QD). A 10 nm wavelength shift from the emission maximum of Biot-QD (655 nm) to the red was chosen due to weaker background luminescence of TbL-Strep at 665 nm. Taking a time-window from 250 to 1000 µs suppresses most of the strong short-lived background luminescence of Biot-QD and Qdot-buffer. For suppression of emission intensity fluctuations (e.g. due to laser pulse to pulse instability), the ratio of the two detection channel emission intensities \( R_1 = I(\text{Ch-QD})/I(\text{Ch-Tb}) \) is used for further analysis.

Stock solutions of TbL-Strep \( (c = 1 \times 10^{-9} \text{ M}) \) and Biot-QD \( (c = 1 \times 10^{-9} \text{ M}) \) in Qdot-buffer were prepared and incubated for approx. 2 h. Mixing inside the 150 µl measuring wells was performed by decreasing amounts of TbL-Strep stock solution \( (150 \text{ to } 50 \mu l) \) with increasing amounts of Biot-QD stock solution \( (0 \text{ to } 100 \mu l) \). Measurements were recorded approx. every 30 min. over a period of ca. 5 hours. Although the strong biotin-streptavidin binding should be very fast, we found an equilibration time of approx. 4.5 hours to achieve the best FRET results. This long equilibration time is probably resulting from non-specific binding (NSB) between BSA and streptavidin, which must first be disassociated before TbL-Strep and Biot-QD can efficiently bind.

As control experiments, to exclude the influence of dynamic energy transfer, stock solution of free TbL \( (c = 3.5 \times 10^{-9} \text{ M}) \) were prepared in Qdot-buffer and mixed with Biot-QD like mentioned above for TbL-Strep. The Biot-QD stock solutions were the same like for TbL-Strep addition, which also rules out the influence of directly excited Biot-QD emission.

The results, displayed in figure 9, show a very steep increase of the intensity ratio \( R_1 \) with Biot-QD concentration, which levels off at approx. \( 1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ M} \) and saturates at \( 3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ M} \) at a normalized \( R_1 \) of 9. Regarding \( R_1 \) of the control
experiment, this strong increase cannot be found. The slight increase up to $R_I = 2.3$ for the Biot-QD end concentration of $6.67 \times 10^{-10}$ M arises from a decrease of the TbL emission intensity due to dilution of TbL-Strep. Figure 10 shows that this decrease is linear with $c(TbL)$ and at one third of the starting concentration only one third of the emission intensity can be measured, like it would be expected. As this emission intensity $I(Ch-Tb)$ in the denominator of $R_I$, the ratio increases, because the Ch-QD intensity (numerator) is almost not changed (figure 11). This is also a strong evidence for lacking acceptor sensitization. The very small decrease is due to background emission from Tb in this channel, decreasing by dilution.

While the terbium emission decreases with concentration for free TbL, the intensity curve rises for TbL-Strep, before it starts to fall after ca. 20 % dilution (figure 10). This phenomenon is probably caused by NSB of BSA and streptavidin, as well. TbL-Strep stock solution is already incubated in Qdot-buffer and TbL-Strep binding is saturated by BSA. As 2 % BSA corresponds to a concentration of $3 \times 10^{-5}$ M BSA, the ratio of BSA per TbL-Strep is $3 \times 10^7$. At such a high saturation there might be a relatively thick layer of BSA around TbL-Strep leading to a shielding and a concomitant decrease of Tb luminescence. Due to the stronger binding affinity of streptavidin to biotin, the NSB is disconnected by addition of Biot-QD resulting in an increase of the Tb emission intensity, which then decreases again due to dilution of TbL-Strep.

Regarding figure 11, the strong Qdot emission sensitization by FRET from TbL becomes obvious. The long-lived luminescence intensity in Ch-QD is rising by one order of magnitude, which can only be caused by FRET, as the control curve for unlabeled TbL stays flat over the complete $c(Biot-QD)$ range.
The limit of detection (LOD) was calculated using the linear rising part of the ratio function in figure 9 (c(Biot-QD) from 0 to 7 x 10^{-11} M) by equation 3

\[ LOD = 3 \sigma(0) \Delta R, \Delta c(Biot-QD) \]

with \( \sigma(0) \) being the standard deviation of \( R_i \) at c(Biot-QD) = 0 for 9 measurements. A detection limit of \( 3 \times 10^{-12} M \) for Biot-QD was calculated.

2.3. Distance and FRET efficiency calculations

As the intrinsic luminescence decay of Biot-QD is very short (tens of ns, see section 2.1) compared to the decay times of TbL-Strep (hundreds of µs), the long-lived components observed for Biot-QD in Ch-QD in the presence of TbL-Strep can be attributed to the luminescence decays of the donor in the presence of acceptor, \( \tau_{DA} \). Taking the lifetime spectra in Ch-QD (figure 12) two long-lived components were deconvoluted, namely \( \tau_1 = (590\pm50) \mu s \) and \( \tau_1 = (190\pm20) \mu s \) with respective intensity ratios of 55 % and 45 %, resulting in an average decay time of \( \tau_{DA} = (410\pm40) \mu s \). This more than 1000-fold increase of Biot-QD luminescence decay time is another strong evidence for efficient FRET from the lanthanide donors to the Qdot acceptors.

Taking the Förster Radius \( R_0 = (90\pm2) \) Å and the average donor decay time in absence of the acceptor measured before with \( \tau_D = (830\pm50) \mu s \), FRET efficiency \( E_{FRET} \) as well as the mean distance between donor and acceptor \( r \) can be calculated using equations 4 and 5.

\[ E_{FRET} = 1 - \frac{\tau_{DA}}{\tau_D} \]

\[ r^* = R_0^*(\frac{1}{E_{FRET}} - 1) \]

For the donor-acceptor pair TbL-Strep/Biot-QD a FRET efficiency of \( E_{FRET} = (0.50\pm0.08) \) as well as an average distance of \( r = (90\pm7) \) Å were obtained.

3. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

Using the long luminescing lanthanide complex TbL labeled to streptavidin (TbL-Strep) as donor in a biological FRET system with biotinilated quantum dots (Biot-QD) as acceptor leads to an efficient energy transfer from the terbium cation to the quantum dots. For FRET calculations with donor systems having an energy transfer process in itself, e.g. from ligand to central lanthanide ions, the quantum yield of the actual donor (lanthanide ion) has to be taken into account rather than the quantum yield of the whole complex. For our FRET system a Förster radius of 90 Å was achieved, which is a very large value compared to conventional donor-acceptor pairs with distances ranging from 21 – 65 Å.² ²⁷ ⁴⁰ FRET was established in a biological system (streptavidin-biotin), which is transferable to all kinds of biochemical relevant binding processes occurring on a nanometer scale like e.g. immunoassays. The enormous Förster radius obtained by the extraordinary strong Qdot absorption can be of great interest to biological processes occuring on larger distances, which could not have been measured with conventional FRET systems, so far.

Figure 12: Luminescence decay kinetics measured in the Qdot channel (Ch-QD) for c(Biot-QD) = 0, 1.3 x 10^{-11}, 3.3 x 10^{-11}, 6.7 x 10^{-11} and 2.0 x 10^{-10} M from bottom to top, as well as pure Biot-QD (dotted grey line) for comparison (c(Biot-QD) = 1 x 10^{-10} M).
As TbL is strongly influenced by azide ions, buffers without this preservative or at least with low concentrations should be used. Also the interaction of BSA with other proteins like e.g. streptavidin can be a drawback for efficiently monitoring biological interactions.

Quantum dots are very interesting luminophores for biological applications but they are still mostly used under scientific circumstances, rather than in industry. The results presented here should encourage commercial companies to enlarge the scope of biofunctionalized quantum dots which can be used in a variety of buffering media and with reduced cytotoxicity for safe use in biomonitoring.
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