

Elliptic Operators in Odd Subspaces

Anton Savin* and Boris Sternin*

Moscow State University

e-mail: antonsavin@mtu-net.ru

e-mail: sternin@math.uni-potsdam.de

Abstract

An elliptic theory is constructed for operators acting in subspaces defined via odd pseudodifferential projections. Index formulas are obtained for operators on compact manifolds without boundary and for general boundary value problems. A connection with Gilkey's theory of η -invariants is established.

Keywords: index of elliptic operators in subspaces, K -theory, eta invariant, Atiyah–Patodi–Singer theory, boundary value problems

1991 AMS classification: Primary 58G03, Secondary 58G10, 58G12, 58G25, 19K56

*Supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research under grants N 97-01-00703 and 97-02-16722a and by Arbeitsgruppe Partielle Differentialgleichungen und Komplexe Analysis, Institut für Mathematik, Universität Potsdam, and Soros Foundation.

Contents

Introduction	2
1 Odd vector bundles. Odd subspaces	3
2 The structure of odd subspaces. The dimension functional	6
3 The index of operators in subspaces	13
4 Dimension and the η-invariant	16
5 The index of boundary value problems with odd projections	18
6 Example	22
A Appendix	24

Introduction

The present paper is a continuation of [1], where we studied subspaces defined by pseudodifferential projections and constructed a homotopy invariant functional

$$d : \widehat{\text{Even}}(M^{\text{odd}}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z} \left[\frac{1}{2} \right] \quad (1)$$

on the class of even subspaces on odd-dimensional manifolds. The functional (1) is a generalization of the notion of dimension of a finite-dimensional vector space. In terms of this functional, we obtained index formulas for general boundary value problems with boundary conditions ranging in even subspaces and for elliptic operators in such subspaces.

Using Gilkey's results [2], we found that (under certain unessential restrictions) the functional d coincides with the η -invariant of Atiyah–Patodi–Singer for some self-adjoint elliptic operator A of even order. Let us point out that in this case the η -invariant is invariant with respect to homotopies of self-adjoint operators modulo integer jumps related to the spectral flow (see [3]).

On the other hand, it can be shown that the η -invariant is also a homotopy invariant (modulo integer jumps) for the class of odd-order operators on even-dimensional manifolds (see [4]). Operators of this kind and their η -invariants found numerous applications in differential geometry and topology of manifolds (e.g., see [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]).

Thus, there arises a natural question of defining the functional d on the subspaces corresponding to elliptic self-adjoint operators of odd order.

The present paper deals with the construction of the corresponding functional on the set of “odd” subspaces, i.e., subspaces corresponding to self-adjoint operators of odd order.

As in [1], in terms of the functional d we obtain index formulas for general boundary value problems in odd subspaces, as well as an index formula for elliptic operators acting in subspaces.

We also prove the equality of the functional d and the η -invariant. This equality, in particular, proves Gilkey's conjecture [4] that the η -invariant is always a dyadic rational.

The subject of this paper is largely analogous to the subject of our previous paper [1]. However, a number of constructions here are totally different. Because of this we are rather brief in the part of the paper that parallels [1], concentrating on the problems radically different from those considered previously.

Let us briefly describe the contents of the paper.

In the first section the notion of an odd subspace is introduced. Here we also show the relation of such subspaces to the spectral subspaces of elliptic self-adjoint operators with odd symbols. It is shown that an arbitrary odd subspace can be transformed into a spectral subspace of this form. In the second section we define the functional d on odd subspaces on even-dimensional manifolds. In terms of this functional, in sections 3 and 5 homotopy invariant index formulas are obtained for elliptic operators acting in the subspaces and for the general boundary value problems. In the fourth section, the equality of the functional d and the η -invariant of Atiyah-Patodi-Singer is established. In the next section, an example is considered in which both sides of the index formula for an operator in subspaces are computed independently and their equality is verified. At the end of the paper we place a short appendix explaining the notion of relative index of projections and of subspaces defined by projections.

Preliminary publications. The results of the paper were reported at the international conference "Operator algebras and asymptotics on manifolds with singularities," Warsaw, Poland [10]. The paper appeared as a preprint in [11]

1 Odd vector bundles. Odd subspaces

Let M be a closed smooth manifold and $E \in \text{Vect}(M)$ a vector bundle. Consider the involution

$$\alpha : T^*M \rightarrow T^*M, \quad \alpha(x, \xi) = (x, -\xi)$$

on the cotangent bundle.

Definition 1 A subbundle $L \subset \pi^*E$, $\pi : S^*M \rightarrow M$, is said to be *odd* with respect to the involution α if it is a complement of α^*L in π^*E , that is,

$$L \oplus \alpha^*L = \pi^*E. \tag{2}$$

Let $L \subset \pi^*E$ be an odd bundle. The *odd projection* on L in π^*E is the projection

$$p_L : \pi^*E \rightarrow \pi^*E, \tag{3}$$

on L along α^*L . The condition that a projection p_L is odd can be represented in the form

$$p_L + \alpha^*p_L = Id. \quad (4)$$

Remark 1 The minimum possible dimension of an odd subbundle $L \subset \pi^*E$ is very large as compared with the dimension of M . More precisely, on a manifold M of dimension n one has the following relation:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} n - 1 = 2k \\ n - 1 = 2k + 1 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \dim L \text{ is a multiple of } 2^{k-1}. \quad (5)$$

Indeed, for an odd projection p_L the matrix-valued function

$$2p_L - Id$$

is odd one on the sphere S^{n-1} . Now relation (5) follows readily from Theorem 1 in [12], which states that an odd function on the sphere ranging in invertible matrices obeys (5).

Example 1 Let $M = S^1$, and let E be the trivial line bundle, $E = \mathbf{C}$. The cotangent sphere bundle in this case is the disjoint union of two circles,

$$S^*S^1 = S_+^1 \sqcup S_-^1, \quad S_\pm^1 = \{(x, \xi) \in T^*S^1 \mid \xi = \pm 1\}.$$

Consider the subbundle $L \subset \pi^*E$, $\pi : S^*S^1 \rightarrow S^1$, such that $L = \mathbf{C}$ over the subspace S_+^1 and $L = \{0\}$ over S_-^1 . This bundle is obviously odd.

If E is equipped with a metric, then it is useful to consider the class of bundles L for which the direct sum (2) is orthogonal. Bundles L of this type are said to be *orthogonally odd*.

Remark 2 If

$$\sigma : \pi^*E \longrightarrow \pi^*F$$

is an isomorphism of vector bundles and σ is even in the sense that

$$\alpha^*\sigma = \sigma,$$

then each odd subbundle $L \subset \pi^*E$ is taken by σ into an odd subbundle $\sigma L \subset \pi^*F$.

Definition 2 A subspace $\hat{L} \subset C^\infty(M, E)$ is said to be *admissible* if

$$\hat{L} = \text{Im } P$$

for some pseudodifferential projection P of order zero that acts in the space of sections of the vector bundle E .

The subbundle $L = \text{Im } \sigma(P)$ is called the (principal) *symbol of the subspace* \widehat{L} (it is independent of the choice of projection on \widehat{L}). A subspace \widehat{L} is called *odd* if its symbol L is odd. The semigroup of odd subspaces (with respect to the direct sum of the ambient spaces) is denoted by $\widehat{\text{Odd}}(M)$.

Odd subspaces can be constructed by means of odd projections (i.e. pseudodifferential projections with principal symbols satisfying (4)) or with the help of self-adjoint elliptic operators, by virtue of the following proposition.

Proposition 1 *Let A be an elliptic self-adjoint operator on M with odd principal symbol:*

$$\alpha^* \sigma(A) = -\sigma(A). \quad (6)$$

Then the subspace $\widehat{L}_+(A)$ generated by the eigenvectors of A corresponding to nonnegative eigenvalues is odd.

Remark 3 The condition mentioned in Proposition 1 is satisfied, for example, for all differential operators of odd order.

Proof. It is well known (e.g., see [13]) that the principal symbol of the orthogonal projection on the nonnegative spectral subspace $\widehat{L}_+(A)$ is equal to the projection on the nonnegative spectral subspace $L_+(A)$ of the principal symbol $\sigma(A)$ along the corresponding negative subspace. By virtue of condition (6), the subbundle $L_+(A) \subset \pi^*E$ is odd. This proves the proposition.

Example 2 Consider the Hardy space, i.e., the subspace

$$\widehat{L} \subset C^\infty(S^1)$$

of boundary values of holomorphic functions in the unit disk

$$D \subset \mathbf{C}, \quad \partial D = S^1.$$

A simple calculation with Fourier series shows that the Hardy space \widehat{L} coincides with the nonnegative spectral subspace of an elliptic (essentially) self-adjoint operator $A = -i \frac{d}{d\varphi}$ on the circle S^1 . In particular, the symbol of the Hardy space is equal to

$$L_{\varphi, \xi} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{C} & \text{for } \xi = 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } \xi = -1. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that the symbol of the Hardy space is just the odd bundle from Example 1.

General odd subspaces can be reduced to the orthogonally odd subspaces in the sense of the following proposition.

Proposition 2 For an odd subspace \widehat{L} , there exists an invertible pseudodifferential operator U

$$U : C^\infty(M, E) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, E)$$

with even symbol such that the symbol of the subspace $U\widehat{L}$ is orthogonally odd.

Proof. Let us consider two metrics in the bundle π^*E , a metric g_0 induced by an arbitrary metric on E and an arbitrary metric g_1 even with respect to the involution α and such that the sum $L \oplus \alpha^*L$ is an orthogonal sum. For the linear homotopy

$$g_t = (1-t)g_0 + tg_1,$$

of these metrics, we take a covering homotopy of unitary transformations

$$u_t : (\pi^*E, g_0) \rightarrow (\pi^*E, g_t)$$

and consider an operator U_1 with principal symbol u_1 . It can be chosen to be invertible, since its symbol is homotopic to unity. The inverse $U = (U_1)^{-1}$ is the desired operator.

Proposition 2 permits us to extend results valid for orthogonally odd subspaces to the general case.

Proposition 3 An odd subspace \widehat{L} can be specified by an odd projection $P_{\widehat{L}}$. In particular, an odd subspace has an odd complement.

Proof. For the case in which \widehat{L} is orthogonally odd, we can take $P_{\widehat{L}}$ to be the orthogonal projection operator on \widehat{L} . The general case follows by Proposition 2.

2 The structure of odd subspaces. The dimension functional

In this section we obtain a stable classification of odd subspaces on even-dimensional manifolds with respect to invertible transformations given by even elliptic operators, i.e., pseudodifferential operators with even principal symbols. On the basis of this classification, we define an analog of the notion of dimension for odd subspaces in the end of the section.

Definition 3 Two subspaces $\widehat{L}_1 \subset C^\infty(M, E)$ and $\widehat{L}_2 \subset C^\infty(M, F)$ are called *equivalent* if there exists an even invertible operator

$$U : C^\infty(M, E) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, F)$$

transforming \widehat{L}_1 into \widehat{L}_2 .

The abelian semigroup of equivalence classes of odd subspaces is denoted by $\widehat{\text{Odd}}/\sim$. Let us denote the corresponding Grothendieck group by $K(\widehat{\text{Odd}}/\sim)$. We also consider the following equivalence relation in the usual semigroup $\text{Vect}(M)$ of vector bundles:

$$E \sim F$$

if there exists an even elliptic symbol

$$\sigma : \pi^* E \rightarrow \pi^* F$$

with trivial (topological) index. The corresponding Grothendieck group is denoted by $K(\text{Vect}(M)/\sim)$.¹

Consider the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{i} K(\widehat{\text{Odd}}/\sim) \xrightarrow{j} K(\text{Vect}(M)/\sim) \rightarrow 0. \quad (7)$$

Here i takes each integer k to the element

$$i(k) = [\widehat{L} + k] - [\widehat{L}], \quad (8)$$

where $\widehat{L} \subset C^\infty(M, E)$ is an odd subspace and $\widehat{L} + k \subset C^\infty(M, E)$ is the sum of \widehat{L} and an arbitrary subspace of dimension k in the complement of \widehat{L} . We note that the difference (8) is independent of the choice of \widehat{L} . The homomorphism j is induced by the mapping that takes each subspace $\widehat{L} \subset C^\infty(M, E)$ to the bundle E .

Finally, note that the sequence (7) is a complex: $ji = 0$.

Theorem 1 *On an even-dimensional manifold M , the sequence (7) is 2-exact. More precisely, the mapping i is an embedding, while the groups $\text{coker } j$ and $\text{ker } j/\text{im } i$ are 2-primary groups, i.e. torsion groups with orders powers of 2.*

Remark 4 In terms of localization (see [14], Sect. 1), Theorem 1 states that the localization of the sequence outside of two is exact, that is, the following sequence is exact

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \left[\frac{1}{2} \right] \xrightarrow{i} K(\widehat{\text{Odd}}/\sim) \otimes \mathbf{Z} \left[\frac{1}{2} \right] \xrightarrow{j} K(\text{Vect}(M)/\sim) \otimes \mathbf{Z} \left[\frac{1}{2} \right] \rightarrow 0, \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{Z} \left[\frac{1}{2} \right]$ is the group of dyadic rationals and the tensor products are taken over \mathbf{Z} .

¹This equivalence relation induces also an equivalence on the Grothendieck group $K(M)$. There is, in particular, a natural isomorphism of the groups

$$K(\text{Vect}(M)/\sim) \quad \text{and} \quad K(M)/\sim.$$

The proof of the theorem is divided into several lemmas.

Lemma 1 *The mapping i is an isomorphism onto the subgroup $K_0(\widehat{\text{Odd}}/\sim)$ generated by the differences of subspaces with equal symbols. In particular, this subgroup carries a well-defined relative index of subspaces (see Appendix).*

Proof. By construction, we obtain

$$\text{Im } i \subset K_0(\widehat{\text{Odd}}/\sim)$$

(see (8)). Let us now verify that i is an embedding. Let $i(k) = 0$; then the subspaces $\widehat{L}+k$ and \widehat{L} are equivalent,² i.e., they are transformed into each other by an even invertible operator D .

Consider the operator $D : \widehat{L}+k \rightarrow \widehat{L}$, which is an analog of Toeplitz operators (e.g., see [15]), i.e., an operator, acting in the subspaces with equal symbols. Since D is invertible, we obtain

$$\text{ind}(D, \widehat{L}+k, \widehat{L}) = 0. \quad (10)$$

At the same time, by applying the index formula for Toeplitz operators³ to the operator D , we obtain

$$\text{ind}(D, \widehat{L}+k, \widehat{L}) = \text{ind}(\sigma(D)|_L \oplus 1_{\alpha^*L}) + k. \quad (11)$$

Let us show that

$$\text{ind}(\sigma(D)|_L \oplus 1_{\alpha^*L}) = \frac{1}{2}\text{ind}D \quad (12)$$

for an even operator D on an even-dimensional manifold. Indeed, the cohomological Atiyah-Singer formula, together with the fact that the involution α preserves the orientation of the cotangent space T^*M , implies

$$\text{ind}(\sigma(D)|_L \oplus 1_{\alpha^*L}) = \text{ind}(\alpha^*(\sigma(D)|_L \oplus 1_{\alpha^*L})).$$

On the other hand, since D is even and L is odd, we obtain

$$\alpha^*(\sigma(D)|_L \oplus 1_{\alpha^*L}) = \sigma(D)|_{\alpha^*L} \oplus 1_L.$$

²Here and in what follows, standard considerations related to the stabilization of elements in Grothendieck groups are omitted. Whenever additional constructions are needed, they are discussed separately.

³For an elliptic operator

$$D : \widehat{L}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{L}_2$$

acting in subspaces with equal symbols $L_1 = L_2$, this formula states

$$\text{ind}(D, \widehat{L}_1, \widehat{L}_2) = \text{ind}(\sigma(D)|_L \oplus 1_{L^\perp}) + \text{ind}(\widehat{L}_1, \widehat{L}_2).$$

This is an easy exercise on the logarithmic property of the index.

Hence,

$$2 \cdot \text{ind} (\sigma(D)|_L \oplus 1_{\alpha^*L}) = \text{ind} (\sigma(D)|_{L \oplus \alpha^*L} \oplus 1_{\alpha^*L \oplus L}) = \text{ind } D.$$

By substituting (10), (12) into (11), and by taking into account the invertibility of the operator D , we obtain $k = 0$, as desired.

Let us check the opposite inclusion $K_0(\widehat{\text{Odd}}/\sim) \subset \text{Im } i$. Consider two odd subspaces $\widehat{L}_{1,2}$ with equal symbols. The corresponding orthogonal projections $P_{1,2}$ are pseudodifferential operators with equal principal symbols. Hence, it follows from the homotopy classification of projections with equal principal symbols that P_1 is homotopic to P_2 modulo a finite rank projection (see [16], cf. [17]). More precisely, the projections become homotopic after we add a finite rank projection to one of them. For a homotopy P_t of projections, consider the invertible operator U_t given by the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\dot{U}_t = [\dot{P}_t, P_t] U_t, \quad U_1 = \text{id}.$$

An explicit check shows that $\text{Im } P_t = \text{Im } U_t P_1$. This implies that the subspace \widehat{L}_1 is equivalent to \widehat{L}_2 modulo a finite-dimensional subspace. Q.E.D.

Lemma 2 *The quotient group $\text{coker } j = K(\text{Vect}(M)/\sim)/\text{Im } j$ is a 2-primary group, i.e., for an arbitrary vector bundle $E \in \text{Vect}(M)$ and for a sufficiently large positive integer N there exists an odd subbundle in the direct sum*

$$2^N \pi^* E, \quad \text{for } 2^N E = \underbrace{E \oplus \dots \oplus E}_{2^N \text{ times}}.$$

Proof. Let us verify the validity of this statement for the trivial bundle. We embed the manifold M in Euclidean space of dimension N . The cotangent bundle is then embedded in the trivial bundle,

$$T^*M \oplus \nu = \mathbf{R}^N, \tag{13}$$

where ν is the normal bundle to M in \mathbf{R}^N . Consider the Clifford algebra $\text{Cl}(\mathbf{C}^N)$ of the Hermitian space \mathbf{C}^N (e.g., see [18]). Obviously, \mathbf{C}^N (and hence \mathbf{R}^N) acts on the space $\text{Cl}(\mathbf{C}^N)$, $\dim \text{Cl}(\mathbf{C}^N) = 2^N$ by the Clifford multiplication. Moreover, using the embedding (13), we obtain the homomorphism

$$T^*M \xrightarrow{\text{cl}} \text{End}(\text{Cl}(\mathbf{C}^N))$$

of vector bundles given by the Clifford multiplication. For the covariant differential $\nabla = d \otimes 1_{2^N}$ in the vector bundle with fiber $\text{Cl}(\mathbf{C}^N)$, consider the self-adjoint Dirac operator (e.g., see [15])

$$C^\infty(M, \text{Cl}(\mathbf{C}^N)) \xrightarrow{\nabla} C^\infty(M, T^*M \otimes \text{Cl}(\mathbf{C}^N)) \xrightarrow{\text{cl}} C^\infty(M, \text{Cl}(\mathbf{C}^N)).$$

The positive subspace of its principal symbol is odd. This proves the lemma for the case of a trivial bundle. The proof for the general case $E \in \text{Vect}(M)$ is reduced to the one just described by means of the tensor product by the bundle E .

Lemma 3 *For an arbitrary odd bundle L and sufficiently large N , there exists an isomorphism*

$$2^N L \sim 2^N \alpha^* L$$

*of vector bundles over the space S^*M of cotangent spheres. Moreover, there exists an even isomorphism with zero (topological) index transforming the subbundle $2^N L \subset 2^N \pi^* E$ into $2^N \alpha^* L$.*

Proof. It follows from the stabilization theorem ⁴ (e.g., see [19]) that to prove the first part of the proposition, it suffices to check the equality of the elements $2^N L$ and $2^N \alpha^* L$ in the group $K(S^*M)$.

Consider the natural projection $p : S^*M \rightarrow P^*M$ of the bundle of cotangent spheres on its projectivization. Over a point x of the manifold M , the corresponding standard mapping

$$p_x : S^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{RP}^{2n-1}$$

induces a 2-isomorphism in K -theory

$$p^* : K^*(\mathbf{RP}^{2n-1}) \rightarrow K^*(S^{2n-1}), \quad \ker p^* = \mathbf{Z}_{2^{n-1}}, \text{ coker } p^* = \mathbf{Z}_2.$$

Now by the Mayer–Vietoris principle, the projection p induces a 2-isomorphism

$$p^* : K^*(P^*M) \rightarrow K^*(S^*M)$$

in K -theory of the total spaces. This readily implies that for some N we have $[2^N L] \in \text{Im } p^*$, i.e. $[2^N L] = [2^N \alpha^* L]$. This proves the first part of the lemma.

To prove the remaining part of the statement consider an arbitrary isomorphism

$$\sigma : 2^N L \rightarrow 2^N \alpha^* L.$$

Let us extend it to the entire space $2^N \pi^* E \supset 2^N L$ in accordance with the decompositions

$$\tilde{\sigma} : 2^N \pi^* E = 2^N L \oplus 2^N \alpha^* L \rightarrow 2^N \alpha^* L \oplus 2^N L = 2^N \pi^* E$$

by the formula

$$\tilde{\sigma}(\xi) = \sigma(\xi) \oplus \sigma(-\xi).$$

Then the even symbol

$$\tilde{\sigma} \oplus \tilde{\sigma}^{-1} : 2^{N+1} \pi^* E \rightarrow 2^{N+1} \pi^* E$$

is the desired one: it has zero (topological) index (it is even homotopic to identity), and it transforms $2^{N+1} L$ into $2^{N+1} \alpha^* L$. The lemma is thereby proved.

⁴This theorem claims that the equality $[E_1] = [E_2] \in K(X)$ of two elements of the K -group implies the isomorphism of vector bundles E_1 and E_2 provided the dimension of these bundles is large enough compared with the dimension of X .

Lemma 4 *The quotient group $\ker j / \text{Im } i$ is a 2-primary group.*

Proof. Let

$$j \left([\widehat{L}_1] - [\widehat{L}_2] \right) = 0,$$

$\widehat{L}_1 \subset C^\infty(M, E)$, $\widehat{L}_2 \subset C^\infty(M, F)$. It follows from the definition of the Grothendieck group $K(\text{Vect}(M)/\sim)$ that there exists an invertible even operator

$$D : C^\infty(M, E \oplus E') \rightarrow C^\infty(M, F \oplus E').$$

By considering sufficiently many copies of E' , we can assume without loss of generality that E' contains an odd subbundle L (see Lemma 2).

The difference

$$\left[D(\widehat{L}_1 \oplus \widehat{L}) \right] - [\widehat{L}_2 \oplus \widehat{L}] \in K(\widehat{\text{Odd}}/\sim)$$

of odd subspaces coincides with the original element $[\widehat{L}_1] - [\widehat{L}_2]$, but both subspaces $D(\widehat{L}_1 \oplus \widehat{L})$ and $\widehat{L}_2 \oplus \widehat{L}$ lie in the same space $C^\infty(M, F \oplus E')$.

In this way, the subspaces $\widehat{L}_{1,2}$ can be assumed to lie in the same space $C^\infty(M, E)$. By Lemma 3, for sufficiently large N there exist even invertible operators

$$D_{1,2} : C^\infty(M, 2^N E) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, 2^N E)$$

whose principal symbols are isomorphisms of subbundles

$$\sigma(D_{1,2}) : 2^N L_{1,2} \rightarrow 2^N \alpha^* L_{1,2}.$$

Hence, the subspaces $2^N \widehat{L}_{1,2}$ and $(D_{1,2})^{-1} 2^N \widehat{L}_{1,2}^\perp$ (here \widehat{L}^\perp denotes an arbitrary odd complement of \widehat{L}) have equal principal symbols. It follows that in the equality

$$2^{N+1} [\widehat{L}_{1,2}] = 2^N [\widehat{L}_{1,2} \oplus \widehat{L}_{1,2}^\perp] + [2^N \widehat{L}_{1,2}] - [D_{1,2}^{-1} 2^N \widehat{L}_{1,2}^\perp] \in K(\widehat{\text{Odd}}/\sim)$$

the last two subspaces have equal symbols. Consequently, by Lemma 1 we obtain

$$[2^N \widehat{L}_{1,2}] - [D_{1,2}^{-1} 2^N \widehat{L}_{1,2}^\perp] \in \text{Im } i.$$

To complete the proof of the lemma, let us show

$$[\widehat{L}_1 \oplus \widehat{L}_1^\perp] = [\widehat{L}_2 \oplus \widehat{L}_2^\perp].$$

Indeed, let P and Q be odd projections on $\widehat{L}_{1,2}$, respectively. Then the even invertible operator

$$D' : \begin{array}{ccc} C^\infty(M, E) & & C^\infty(M, E) \\ \oplus & \longrightarrow & \oplus \\ C^\infty(M, E) & & C^\infty(M, E) \end{array}, \quad (14)$$

$$D' = \begin{pmatrix} QP + (1-Q)(1-P) & (1-Q)P + Q(1-P) \\ Q(1-P) + (1-Q)P & QP + (1-Q)(1-P) \end{pmatrix},$$

takes \widehat{L}_1 to \widehat{L}_2 . The proof of the lemma and hence of the theorem is complete.

Remark 5 In the proof of Lemma 2, we constructed an odd subspace $\widehat{L} \subset C^\infty(M, 2^N E)$ in the space of sections of the bundle $2^N E$ for an arbitrary vector bundle $E \in \text{Vect}(M)$. Let us show that the mapping, taking E to the subspace $\widehat{L} \oplus \widehat{L}^\perp$, is essentially a splitting of the sequence (9). More precisely, the following proposition holds.

Proposition 4 *The mapping*

$$j' : (K(\text{Vect}(M)/\sim)) \otimes \mathbf{Z} \left[\frac{1}{2} \right] \rightarrow K(\widehat{\text{Odd}}/\sim) \otimes \mathbf{Z} \left[\frac{1}{2} \right]$$

induced by the relation

$$E \mapsto [\widehat{L} \oplus \widehat{L}^\perp] \otimes \frac{1}{2^{N+1}}$$

is a well-defined splitting of the sequence (9), i.e., $jj' = Id$.

Proof. One can readily show that j' is well-defined, since

$$[\widehat{L} \oplus \widehat{L}^\perp] = [\widehat{L}_1 \oplus \widehat{L}_1^\perp]$$

for two different decompositions of the space $C^\infty(M, 2^N E)$ into odd components, as was already demonstrated in the end of the proof of Lemma 4 (see (14)).

The desired equality $jj' = Id$ follows directly from the definition. The proposition is proved.

The splitting j' of the exact sequence (9) leads to the following result.

Corollary 1 *Homomorphisms of groups*

$$K(\widehat{\text{Odd}}(M)/\sim) \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \tag{15}$$

extending the relative index $\text{ind} : K_0(\widehat{\text{Odd}}/\sim) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ of subspaces with equal principal symbols are in a one-to-one correspondence with homomorphisms

$$\chi : K(\text{Vect}(M)/\sim) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R};$$

moreover the homomorphisms (15) corresponding to integer normalizations χ take dyadic rational values.

Let us consider the homomorphism (15) defined by the zero normalization $\chi \equiv 0$ in more detail. Expanding the definitions of the Grothendieck groups, of the normalization χ and of the splitting j' of the exact sequence (9), we readily obtain the following statement.

Theorem 2 *There is a unique additive functional*

$$d : \widehat{\text{Odd}}(M) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z} \left[\frac{1}{2} \right]$$

with the following properties:

1) *invariance: for an arbitrary invertible operator U with even principal symbol*

$$d(U\hat{L}) = d(\hat{L});$$

2) *relative dimension: for two subspaces \hat{L}_1 and \hat{L}_2 with equal principal symbols*

$$\text{ind}(\hat{L}_1, \hat{L}_2) = d(\hat{L}_1) - d(\hat{L}_2);$$

3) *complement: for an arbitrary odd subspace \hat{L}*

$$d(\hat{L}) + d(\hat{L}^\perp) = 0.$$

Remark 6 *The first property of the functional d implies its homotopy invariance.*

Indeed, it was shown in the proof of lemma 1 that for a smooth homotopy of odd projections P_t corresponding to a family of subspaces \hat{L}_t it is possible to construct a family of invertible operators U_t such that $\hat{L}_t = U_t \hat{L}_0$. Thus, by the invariance property of the functional d , we obtain

$$d(\hat{L}_t) = \text{const.}$$

Remark 7 The problem of finding an explicit formula for d is not simple. The previous theorem only shows that this invariant can be expressed in terms of the relative index of subspaces and the invertible even operator D constructed by the principal symbol from Lemma 3,

$$D : C^\infty(M, 2^N E) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, 2^N E), \quad \sigma(D) : 2^N L \rightarrow 2^N \alpha^* L,$$

by the formula

$$d(\hat{L}) = \frac{1}{2^{N+1}} \text{ind}(D 2^N \hat{L}, 2^N \hat{L}^\perp).$$

In section 4 we obtain a formula for d in terms of the η -invariant of Atiyah–Patodi–Singer.

3 The index of operators in subspaces

Let us recall the notion of a pseudodifferential operator acting in subspaces [1].

A pseudodifferential operator

$$D : C^\infty(M, E) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M, F)$$

of order m is said to act in subspaces

$$\hat{L}_1 \subset C^\infty(M, E), \quad \hat{L}_2 \subset C^\infty(M, F)$$

if

$$D\hat{L}_1 \subset \hat{L}_2.$$

For the restriction

$$D : \hat{L}_1 \longrightarrow \hat{L}_2 \tag{16}$$

of the operator D to the subspaces, there is a criterion for the Fredholm property of the closure of this operator with respect to Sobolev norms (see [20]).

Proposition 5 *An operator*

$$D : \hat{L}_1 \longrightarrow \hat{L}_2,$$

where

$$\hat{L}_1 \subset H^s(M, E), \quad \hat{L}_2 \subset H^{s-m}(M, F),$$

is Fredholm if and only if it is elliptic, i.e., its principal symbol

$$\sigma(D) : L_1 \longrightarrow L_2$$

is an isomorphism of the vector bundles L_1 and L_2 .

For the case of operators acting in odd subspaces on even-dimensional manifolds, which were studied in the previous section, let us obtain an index formula in terms of the functional d .

Theorem 3 *For an operator*

$$D : \hat{L}_1 \longrightarrow \hat{L}_2,$$

where

$$\hat{L}_{1,2} \in \widehat{\text{Odd}}(M^{ev}), \quad \hat{L}_1 \subset C^\infty(M, E), \hat{L}_2 \subset C^\infty(M, F),$$

the following index formula is valid:

$$\text{ind}(D, \hat{L}_1, \hat{L}_2) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ind} \widetilde{D} + d(\hat{L}_1) - d(\hat{L}_2), \tag{17}$$

where

$$\widetilde{D} : C^\infty(M, E) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M, F)$$

is an elliptic operator with principal symbol

$$\sigma(\widetilde{D}) : \begin{array}{ccc} \pi^* E & \longrightarrow & \pi^* F, \\ L_1 \oplus \alpha^* L_1 & \xrightarrow{\sigma(D) \oplus \alpha^* \sigma(D)} & L_2 \oplus \alpha^* L_2. \end{array}$$

Proof. Modifying, if necessary, the subspaces $\widehat{L}_{1,2}$ by finite-dimensional subspaces, we can assume that D is an isomorphism.⁵ Let us choose the operator \widetilde{D} in such a way that it coincides with the original operator D on the subspace \widehat{L}_1 . To be definite, we can also assume that $\text{ind}\widetilde{D} \geq 0$ and the following relations are valid:

$$\text{coker}\widetilde{D} = 0, \quad \ker\widetilde{D} \subset \widehat{L}_1^\perp. \quad (18)$$

(In the case $\text{ind}\widetilde{D} < 0$, the index formula can be proved for the inverse of D .) Let us verify the index formula (17) in this case.

On the one hand, by virtue of conditions (18), we have

$$\text{ind}(D, \widehat{L}_1, \widehat{L}_2) = 0, \quad \text{ind}\widetilde{D} = \dim \ker \widetilde{D}. \quad (19)$$

Let us now calculate the difference $d(\widehat{L}_1) - d(\widehat{L}_2)$. To this end, we consider an invertible even operator U

$$U : \begin{array}{ccc} C^\infty(M, E) & & C^\infty(M, E) \\ \oplus & \longrightarrow & \oplus \\ C^\infty(M, F) & & C^\infty(M, F) \end{array}, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} P_{\ker \widetilde{D}} & \widetilde{D}^{-1} \\ \widetilde{D} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $P_{\ker \widetilde{D}}$ is the projection on the (finite-dimensional) kernel of \widetilde{D} and the operator \widetilde{D}^{-1} maps into the orthogonal complement to this kernel.

It is easy to check that the operator U isomorphically maps

$$\widehat{L}_1 \oplus \widetilde{D}\widehat{L}_1^\perp \quad \text{onto} \quad (\widehat{L}_1^\perp \ominus \ker \widetilde{D}) \oplus \widehat{L}_2. \quad (20)$$

It follows from (18) that $\widetilde{D}\widehat{L}_1^\perp = \widehat{L}_2^\perp$. By applying the functional d to the subspaces in (20), we obtain

$$d(\widehat{L}_1) - d(D\widehat{L}_1) = -d(\widehat{L}_1) - \dim \ker \widetilde{D} + d(\widehat{L}_2).$$

Hence

$$d(\widehat{L}_1) - d(\widehat{L}_2) = -\frac{1}{2} \dim \ker \widetilde{D} = -\frac{1}{2} \text{ind}\widetilde{D},$$

which, together with relations (19), proves the desired formula

$$\text{ind}(D, \widehat{L}_1, \widehat{L}_2) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ind}\widetilde{D} + d(\widehat{L}_1) - d(\widehat{L}_2).$$

The proof is complete.

⁵One can obtain this, for example, by deleting the kernel of the operator D from the subspace \widehat{L}_1 and the cokernel of this operator from \widehat{L}_2 .

Corollary 2 *The following index formula is valid for an operator acting between a subspace and a space:*

$$\operatorname{ind} \left(D, \widehat{L}, C^\infty(M, F) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ind} \widetilde{D} + d \left(\widehat{L} \right), \quad (21)$$

where the principal symbol of the operator \widetilde{D}

$$\widetilde{D} : C^\infty(M, E) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, F \oplus F)$$

is given by the formula

$$\sigma \left(\widetilde{D} \right) = \sigma(D) \oplus \alpha^* \sigma(D).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2, for sufficiently large N the space $C^\infty(M, 2^N E)$ can be decomposed into a direct sum of two odd subspaces. Formula (21) then readily follows from (17).

4 Dimension and the η -invariant

Recall [2] that a classical pseudodifferential operator A of a positive integer order m is said to be *admissible* if its complete symbol

$$a(x, \xi) \sim a_m(x, \xi) + a_{m-1}(x, \xi) + \dots$$

satisfies the parity conditions

$$a_\alpha(x, -\xi) = (-1)^\alpha a_\alpha(x, \xi), \quad \forall \xi \neq 0, \quad x, \quad \alpha = m, m-1, m-2, \dots$$

(the admissibility of the operator is independent of the choice of the coordinate system where the complete symbol is considered).

Theorem 4 *For an admissible self-adjoint elliptic operator A of odd order with nonnegative spectral subspace \widehat{L} on an even-dimensional manifold, the following formula is valid:*

$$d \left(\widehat{L} \right) = \eta(A).$$

Proof. The fact that the operator A is admissible implies that its principal symbol is odd,

$$\alpha^* \sigma(A) = -\sigma(A).$$

Hence, it follows from Proposition 1 that the nonnegative spectral subspace \widehat{L} is odd (and even orthogonally odd). By Lemma 3, there exists an even isomorphism σ

$$\sigma : 2^N \pi^* E \rightarrow 2^N \pi^* E$$

with zero (topological) index that takes the subbundle $2^N L$ to $2^N \alpha^* L$. Consider an invertible even-order operator U_0 with principal symbol σ . We can also assume that the operator U_0 is admissible. An operator with these properties can be constructed in the following way. By the usual method, we construct an admissible pseudodifferential operator with principal symbol σ , using local charts on the manifold. Then, modifying the operator thus obtained by a finite rank operator, we construct an invertible operator. Let us construct a unitary operator U by the formula

$$U = U_0 \left(\sqrt{U_0^* U_0} \right)^{-1}.$$

It isomorphically translates the subspace $2^N \widehat{L}$ to a subspace with the principal symbol equal to $2^N \alpha^* L$. Thus, we obtain the following expression for the functional d via the relative index of subspaces (see Remark 7)

$$2^{N+1} d(\widehat{L}) = \text{ind} \left(U 2^N \widehat{L}, 2^N \widehat{L}^\perp \right). \quad (22)$$

On the other hand, the η -invariant of an elliptic self-adjoint operator is determined by the spectrum of the operator. Therefore, the unitary property of the operator U implies

$$\eta \left(2^N A \right) = \eta \left(U 2^N A U^{-1} \right).$$

The operators $-2^N A$ and $U 2^N A U^{-1}$ have equal principal symbols and are admissible operators of odd order on an even-dimensional manifold. Hence, the difference of their η -invariants is equal to the relative index of the nonnegative spectral subspaces for these self-adjoint operators:

$$\eta \left(-2^N A \right) - \eta \left(U 2^N A U^{-1} \right) = \text{ind} \left(\widehat{L}_+ \left(-2^N A \right), \widehat{L}_+ \left(U 2^N A U^{-1} \right) \right).$$

Thus, we express the η -invariant in terms of the relative index by the formula

$$2^{N+1} \eta(A) = \text{ind} \left(U 2^N \widehat{L}, 2^N \widehat{L}^\perp \right).$$

Comparing the last formula with the expression (22), we obtain the desired identity

$$d(\widehat{L}) = \eta(A).$$

Remark 8 An odd subspace $\widehat{L} \subset C^\infty(M, E)$ can be realized as the nonnegative spectral subspace of an admissible elliptic self-adjoint operator of odd order if and only if it is orthogonally odd, the orthogonal projection P onto it is a classical pseudodifferential operator with symbol

$$\sigma(P)(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{j \leq 0} p_j(x, \xi),$$

and the homogeneous lower-order terms of the complete symbol have the following symmetry for $j < 0$

$$p_j(x, -\xi) = (-1)^{j+1} p_j(x, \xi). \quad (23)$$

Indeed, for an invertible self-adjoint elliptic operator A , the positive spectral projection is equal to

$$P_+(A) = \frac{1 + A|A|^{-1}}{2}.$$

From this equality, using the calculus of complete symbols of pseudodifferential operators, we can verify the necessity of the condition (23).

Conversely, for a classical pseudodifferential orthogonal projection P satisfying (23), the desired self-adjoint operator can be defined by the formula

$$A = \sqrt[4]{\Delta} (2P - 1) \sqrt[4]{\Delta},$$

where Δ is a positive Laplacian in the bundle E .

5 The index of boundary value problems with odd projections

Boundary value problems for general elliptic operators, that is, operators that in general violate the Atiyah-Bott condition [21], were introduced in [20]. These boundary value problems have the form

$$\begin{cases} Du = f \in H^{s-m}(M, F), & u \in H^s(M, E), \\ Bu = g \in \widehat{L} \subset H^\delta(\partial M, G), \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

where the subspace \widehat{L} is the range of a pseudodifferential projection and B is some boundary operator.

In the paper [1] we obtained a reduction of a general boundary value problem of the form (24) to the so-called *spectral boundary value problem* [22] for a first-order operator of a special form in a neighborhood of the boundary of manifold M . Thus, in the present paper we can restrict ourselves to the index problem for spectral boundary value problems only. Let us describe these problems in more detail.

On a smooth compact manifold M with boundary $X = \partial M$, consider an elliptic operator

$$D : C^\infty(M, E) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M, F)$$

that is a first-order elliptic pseudodifferential operator outside some neighborhood of the boundary, while in the collar neighborhood

$$U_{\partial M} = [0, 1) \times \partial M$$

with the normal coordinate t to the boundary, it has the form

$$D|_{U_{\partial M}} = \chi(t) \left[-i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - iA(t) \right], \quad (25)$$

for a smooth isomorphism $\chi(t)$ of the vector bundles E and F , where $A(t)$ is a smooth family of first-order pseudodifferential operators on the boundary with principal symbols $\sigma(A(t))(\xi')$ that have no pure imaginary eigenvalues for $|\xi'| \neq 0$. Moreover, it can be assumed that the principal symbol of the operator $A(0)$ on the unit cotangent spheres to the boundary S^*X has only two eigenvalues ± 1 ,

$$\sigma(A(0))^2 = 1 \quad \text{for } |\xi'| = 1, \quad (26)$$

and has a basis of eigenvectors.

Remark 9 The operator D in (25) is in the general case an elliptic operator with *continuous* symbol in the sense of [23].

Definition 4 A *spectral boundary value problem* for an elliptic operator D on a manifold M with boundary is a system of equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} Du = f & \in H^{s-1}(M, E), u \in H^s(M, F), \\ P u|_{\partial M} = g & \in \widehat{L} = \text{Im } P \subset H^{s-1/2}(\partial M, E|_{\partial M}) \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

(see [1]), where an admissible subspace \widehat{L} on the boundary ∂M has the symbol equal to the nonnegative spectral subspace of the symbol $\sigma(A(0))$.

Let us denote boundary value problems of the form (27) by (D, \widehat{L}) .

Consider a spectral boundary value problem (27) such that the subspace \widehat{L} is odd. In this case, the principal symbol of D can be extended to the double

$$2M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M \bigcup_{\partial M} M$$

of the manifold M by the formula

$$\sigma(D) \bigcup_{S^*M|_{\partial M}} \alpha^* \sigma^{-1}(D).$$

Let us verify the continuity of the symbol obtained at the place of gluing of the manifolds, i.e. let us show the coincidence of the symbols $\sigma(D)$ and $\alpha^* \sigma^{-1}(D)$ on the bundle $S^*M|_{\partial M}$ of cotangent spheres to the manifold M over the boundary.

Let us denote the dual variables to the normal and tangent variables on the boundary ∂M by τ and ξ' , respectively. The principal symbol of the operator $A(0)$ is denoted by $\sigma(\xi')$. Formula (25) then implies (for the isomorphism $\chi(0) = \text{Id}$ for brevity)

$$\sigma(D)(\tau, \xi') = \tau - i\sigma(\xi').$$

On the other hand, since $\sigma(\xi')$ is odd, we have

$$\sigma(D)(\tau, -\xi') = \tau + i\sigma(\xi').$$

Hence, by (26) we obtain

$$\sigma^{-1}(D)(\tau, -\xi') = \tau - i\sigma(\xi')$$

on the unit cospheres $S^*M|_{\partial M} : \tau^2 + |\xi'|^2 = 1$. In this way, we establish the equality

$$\sigma(D)(\tau, \xi') = \sigma^{-1}(D)(\tau, -\xi').$$

This equation is readily seen to be equivalent to the condition of the continuous gluing for the elliptic symbols $\sigma(D)$ and $\alpha^*\sigma^{-1}(D)$ that come from the two halves of the double $2M$.

An elliptic operator constructed from the symbol thus obtained is denoted by \widetilde{D} :

$$\sigma(\widetilde{D}) = \sigma(D) \bigcup_{S^*M|_{\partial M}} \alpha^*\sigma^{-1}(D). \quad (28)$$

On an even-dimensional manifold M , the procedure just described leads to one of the terms in the index formula for boundary value problems with odd subspaces. We state this formula in the form of a theorem.

Theorem 5 *For a spectral boundary value problem (D, \widehat{L}) with an odd subspace $\widehat{L} \in \widehat{\text{Odd}}(M^{ev})$, the following index formula is valid*

$$\text{ind}(D, \widehat{L}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ind} \widetilde{D} - d(\widehat{L}), \quad (29)$$

where \widetilde{D} is an elliptic operator on the double $2M$ with principal symbol (28) and the functional d is defined in Section 2.

Proof. By analogy with the case of even boundary value problems [1], the basic idea of the proof is to make a reduction to a boundary value problem that is classical in a certain sense.

We check, first of all, that the index formula in question is true for the case in which the subspace \widehat{L} is a sum of two complementary subspaces,

$$\widehat{L} = \widehat{L}_0 \oplus \widehat{L}_0^\perp, \quad \widehat{L}_0 \subset C^\infty(\partial M, G). \quad (30)$$

By virtue of the complement property (see Theorem 2), we obtain $d(\widehat{L}) = 0$. Thus, the check is reduced to finding out whether

$$\text{ind}(D, \widehat{L}_0 \oplus \widehat{L}_0^\perp) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ind} \widetilde{D}. \quad (31)$$

To this end, we take a closer look at the spectral boundary value problem $(D, \widehat{L}_0 \oplus \widehat{L}_0^\perp)$. This problem, by virtue of the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} B_0 : \widehat{L}_0 \oplus \widehat{L}_0^\perp &\longrightarrow C^\infty(\partial M, G), \\ u \oplus v &\longrightarrow u + v, \end{aligned}$$

can be viewed as a classical boundary value problem. The cohomological index formula for classical boundary value problems then implies (cf. the proof of (12))

$$\text{ind}_t(\sigma(D), L_0 \oplus \alpha^* L_0) = -\text{ind}_t(\alpha^*[\sigma(D), L_0 \oplus \alpha^* L_0]).$$

Hence,

$$2 \cdot \text{ind}(D, \widehat{L}_0 \oplus \widehat{L}_0^\perp) = \text{ind}_t(\sigma(D), L_0 \oplus \alpha^* L_0) - \text{ind}_t(\alpha^*[\sigma(D), L_0 \oplus \alpha^* L_0]).$$

Finally, the additivity of the topological index gives

$$\text{ind}_t(\sigma(D), L_0 \oplus \alpha^* L_0) - \text{ind}_t(\alpha^*[\sigma(D), L_0 \oplus \alpha^* L_0]) = \text{ind}_t(\sigma(D) \cup \alpha^* \sigma^{-1}(D)) = \text{ind} \widetilde{D}.$$

Thus, we have proved the index formula for the decomposition (30). Let us now verify that the index formula is also valid for the case in which the decomposition (30) takes place for the symbols of subspaces,

$$L = L_0 \oplus \alpha^* L_0. \quad (32)$$

Indeed, by the logarithmic property of the index, we obtain

$$\text{ind}(D, \widehat{L}) = \text{ind}(D, \widehat{L}_0 \oplus \widehat{L}_0^\perp) + \text{ind}(\widehat{L}_0 \oplus \widehat{L}_0^\perp, \widehat{L}). \quad (33)$$

On the one hand,

$$\text{ind}(\widehat{L}_0 \oplus \widehat{L}_0^\perp, \widehat{L}) = d(\widehat{L}_0 \oplus \widehat{L}_0^\perp) - d(\widehat{L}) = -d(\widehat{L}),$$

and on the other hand, we have already proved that

$$\text{ind}(D, \widehat{L}_0 \oplus \widehat{L}_0^\perp) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ind} \widetilde{D}.$$

Substituting these two results into (33), we obtain the index formula in this case as well.

To complete the proof of the index formula (29), let us reduce a general boundary value problem (D, \widehat{L}) to the form already considered. For this purpose, let us apply the invertible even operator

$$U : C^\infty(\partial M, 2^N E) \longrightarrow C^\infty(\partial M, 2^N E),$$

given by Lemma 3 of Section 2, with principal symbol u that establishes an isomorphism of bundles

$$u : 2^N L \longrightarrow \alpha^* 2^N L.$$

This operator enables us to deform the odd subspace $2^{N+2} \widehat{L}$ to a subspace with principal symbol having a decomposition of the form (32)

$$2^{N+1}(L \oplus \alpha^* L),$$

by the formula

$$U_\varphi : C^\infty(\partial M, 2^{N+2}E) \longrightarrow C^\infty(\partial M, 2^{N+2}E), \quad \varphi \in [0, \pi/2],$$

$$U_\varphi = 1_{2^{N+2}E} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi & -U^{-1} \sin \varphi \\ U \sin \varphi & \cos \varphi \end{pmatrix}. \quad (34)$$

In [24] it is shown that the homotopy of subspaces occurring in the boundary value problem can be lifted to a homotopy of spectral boundary value problems by deforming the operator D in a neighborhood of the boundary. Hence, the spectral boundary value problem

$$(2^{N+2}D, 2^{N+2}\hat{L})$$

is homotopic to a spectral boundary value problem with principal symbol of the form (31)

$$(\sigma(D'), 2^{N+1}(L \oplus \alpha^*L)).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem, since the components of the index formula (29) are homotopy invariant.

6 Example

On a compact smooth manifold M without boundary consider an elliptic pseudodifferential operator D

$$D : C^\infty(M, E) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M, F).$$

From the operator D we construct an elliptic self-adjoint operator A by the formula

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D^* \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{ccc} C^\infty(M, E) & & C^\infty(M, E) \\ & \oplus & \\ C^\infty(M, F) & \longrightarrow & C^\infty(M, F) \end{array}.$$

Let $\hat{L}_+(A)$ be the subspace generated by the eigenvectors of A corresponding to nonnegative eigenvalues;

$$\hat{L}_+(A) \subset C^\infty(M, E) \oplus C^\infty(M, F). \quad (35)$$

The orthogonal projection on the second term in this formula will be denoted by P_0 .

Proposition 6 *The operator in subspaces*

$$P_0 : \hat{L}_+(A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M, F)$$

is elliptic, and its index can be calculated by the formula

$$\ker P_0 = \ker D \oplus 0, \quad \text{coker } P_0 = 0, \quad \text{ind}(P_0, \hat{L}_+(A), C^\infty(M, F)) = \dim \ker D.$$

Proof. First, let us calculate the subspace $\widehat{L}_+(A)$ in terms of the decomposition (35). A simple calculation shows that this subspace is generated by the following eigenvectors of the operator A :

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} u \\ \frac{Du}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{c} u' \\ 0 \end{array} \right), \quad u' \in \ker D, \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ v \end{array} \right), \quad v \in \ker D^*, \quad (36)$$

where u is an eigenvector of the nonnegative operator D^*D corresponding to a positive eigenvalue λ . The statement we need to prove follows directly from this formula.

Now we calculate the index of the operator P_0 , using the index formula for operators in subspaces (see formula (21)). To this end, we suppose that the operator D is, for example, an odd-order differential operator on an even-dimensional manifold M .

Let us calculate the terms in the index formula

$$\text{ind} \left(P_0, \widehat{L}_+(A), C^\infty(M, F) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ind} \tilde{P}_0 + \eta(A). \quad (37)$$

The elliptic operator \tilde{P}_0

$$\tilde{P}_0 : C^\infty(M, E \oplus F) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M, F \oplus F),$$

by definition, has the principal symbol

$$\sigma(\tilde{P}_0)(\xi) = \sigma(P_0)(\xi) \oplus \sigma(P_0)(-\xi) : L_+(A) \oplus L_-(A) \longrightarrow \pi^*(F \oplus F).$$

This, in compliance with formula (36), permits us to rewrite this symbol in the form

$$\sigma(\tilde{P}_0) = \frac{\sigma(D)}{\sqrt{\sigma(D^*)\sigma(D)}} \oplus 1 : \pi^*(E \oplus F) \longrightarrow \pi^*(F \oplus F).$$

From this we obtain

$$\text{ind} \tilde{P}_0 = \text{ind} D.$$

We finally calculate the η -invariant of the operator A . This operator has a spectral symmetry (i.e., its eigenvalues lie symmetrically on the real line with respect to zero, and eigenvalues of opposite signs have equal multiplicities). Thus, the η -function of the operator is constant:

$$\eta(A)(s) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\dim \ker A + \sum_{\lambda_i \neq 0} |\lambda_i|^{-s} \text{sgn} \lambda_i \right) \equiv \frac{\dim \ker A}{2}.$$

For the η -invariant we obtain

$$\eta(A) = \eta(A)(0) = \frac{\dim \ker D + \dim \ker D^*}{2} = -\frac{\text{ind} D}{2} + \dim \ker D.$$

Hence, the right-hand side of the index formula is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{ind} \tilde{P}_0 + \eta(A) = \frac{\text{ind} D}{2} - \frac{\text{ind} D}{2} + \dim \ker D = \dim \ker D,$$

which coincides with the index computation for the operator P_0 from Proposition 6.

A Appendix

Consider two subspaces $\widehat{L}_{1,2}$ with equal principal symbols. The *relative index of subspaces* \widehat{L}_1 and \widehat{L}_2 is, by definition, the index of the following Fredholm operator defined by the projections on these subspaces:

$$\text{ind}(\widehat{L}_1, \widehat{L}_2) = \text{ind}(P_2 : \text{Im } P_1 \rightarrow \text{Im } P_2), \quad \text{for } \widehat{L}_{1,2} = \text{Im } P_{1,2}.$$

The relative index is independent of the choice of projections $P_{1,2}$ on the corresponding subspaces. It has the following geometric interpretation.

Consider the Grassmanian $\text{Gr}(L)$ of all admissible subspaces with symbol L (we assume that the symbol L is nontrivial, i.e., $0 < \dim L < \dim E$). The following theorem was proved in [16].

Theorem 6 *The Grassmanian $\text{Gr}(L)$ is a classifying space for K -theory.*

On the other hand, it is known by Atiyah–Jänich theorem [25] that the classifying space for K -theory is the space of Fredholm operators in a given separable Hilbert space H . That is, for a space X there is an isomorphism of groups

$$\text{ind} : [X, \text{Fred}(H)] \longrightarrow K(X), \quad (38)$$

where the map is given by the index of a family of Fredholm operators.

Both realizations of K -theory (i.e., by means of Theorem 6 or by the Atiyah–Jänich theorem) are linked by a simple formula namely, by the relative index of subspaces. Specifically, by fixing a certain subspace \widehat{L}_0 with principal symbol L , we assign a Fredholm operator to any subspace $\widehat{L} \in \text{Gr}(L)$ by the formula

$$P_0 : \widehat{L} \longrightarrow \widehat{L}_0, \quad \widehat{L}_0 = \text{Im } P_0.$$

Thus, we define a mapping to the set of Fredholm operators in Hilbert spaces

$$\text{Gr}(L) \longrightarrow \text{Fred}.$$

We point out that in this case we obtain a Fredholm operator that, depending on the point of the Grassmanian $\widehat{L} \in \text{Gr}(L)$, acts in different Hilbert spaces.⁶ In terms of the Grassmanian $\text{Gr}(L)$, the isomorphism map

$$[X, \text{Gr}(L)] \xrightarrow{\beta} K(X)$$

and its inverse are given by the formulas

$$\beta(\widehat{L}(x)) = \text{ind}(P_0 : \widehat{L}(x) \longrightarrow \widehat{L}_0) \in K(X),$$

⁶Meanwhile, by the theorem of Kuiper [26] on the contractibility of the unitary group of the Hilbert space, the resulting operators can be reduced to operators in a fixed Hilbert space.

where $\widehat{L}(x)$ denotes a family of subspaces with symbol equal to L and with parameter $x \in X$. The inverse mapping is given by the formula

$$\beta^{-1}([E] - [F]) = [(\widehat{L}_0 \ominus F) \oplus E] \in [X, \text{Gr}(L)],$$

where the family of subspaces denoted by $(\widehat{L}_0 \ominus F) \oplus E$ is constructed as follows: since \widehat{L}_0 is finite-dimensional and finite-codimensional, we embed the vector bundle F in the trivial vector bundle over X with fiber \widehat{L}_0 , while E is embedded in the bundle with fiber \widehat{L}_0^\perp , which is an orthogonal complement to \widehat{L}_0 .

References

- [1] A.Yu. Savin and B.Yu. Sternin. *Elliptic Operators in Even Subspaces*. Univ. Potsdam, Institut für Mathematik, Potsdam, Juni 1999. Preprint N 99/10, to appear in Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. Math.
- [2] P.B. Gilkey. The eta invariant of even order operators. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **1410**, 1989, 202–211.
- [3] M. Atiyah, V. Patodi, and I. Singer. Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry II. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **78**, 1976, 405–432.
- [4] P.B. Gilkey. The eta invariant for even dimensional Pin^c manifolds. *Advances in Mathematics*, **58**, 1985, 243–284.
- [5] A. Bahri and P. Gilkey. The eta invariant, Pin^c bordism, and equivariant $Spin^c$ bordism for cyclic 2-groups. *Pacific Jour. Math.*, **128**, No. 1, 1987, 1–24.
- [6] P. Gilkey. The eta invariant of Pin manifolds with cyclic fundamental groups. preprint, 1997.
- [7] S. Stolz. Exotic structures on 4-manifolds detected by spectral invariants. *Invent. Math.*, **94**, 1988, 147–162.
- [8] K. Liu and W. Zhang. Elliptic genus and η -invariant. *Int. Math. Research Notices*, **8**, 1994, 319–328.
- [9] K. Liu. Modular invariance and characteristic numbers. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **174**, No. 1, 1995, 29–41.
- [10] A. Savin and B. Sternin. On the index of boundary value problems for general elliptic operators. In *Conference: Operator Algebras and Asymptotics on Manifolds with Singularities*, 1999, pages 30–32, Warsaw. Stefan Banach International Mathematical Center, Universität Potsdam, Institut für Mathematik.

- [11] A.Yu. Savin and B.Yu. Sternin. *Elliptic Operators in Odd Subspaces*. Univ. Potsdam, Institut für Mathematik, Potsdam, Juni 1999. Preprint N 99/11.
- [12] P.B. Gilkey. The eta invariant and non-singular bilinear products on \mathbf{R}^n . *Can. Math. Bull.*, **30**, 1987, 147–154.
- [13] M. Atiyah, V. Patodi, and I. Singer. Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry I. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **77**, 1975, 43–69.
- [14] Sullivan D. *Geometric topology. Localization, periodicity and Galois symmetry*. MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1970.
- [15] P. Baum and R.G. Douglas. K -homology and index theory. In R. Kadison, editor, *Operator Algebras and Applications*, number 38 in Proc. Symp. Pure Math, 1982, pages 117–173. American Mathematical Society.
- [16] K. Wojciechowski. A note on the space of pseudodifferential projections with the same principal symbol. *J. Operator Theory*, **15**, No. 2, 1986, 207–216.
- [17] Epstein C. and Melrose R. Contact degree and the index of Fourier integral operators. *Math. Res. Lett.*, **5**, No. 3, 1998, 363–381.
- [18] H.B. Lawson and M.L. Michelsohn. *Spin geometry*. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1989.
- [19] Husemoller D. *Fiber bundles*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
- [20] B.-W. Schulze, B. Sternin, and V. Shatalov. On general boundary value problems for elliptic equations. *Math. Sb.*, **189**, No. 10, 1998, 1573–1586.
- [21] M.F. Atiyah and R. Bott. The index problem for manifolds with boundary. In *Bombay Colloquium on Differential Analysis*, 1964, pages 175–186, Oxford. Oxford University Press.
- [22] V. Nazaiinskii, B.-W. Schulze, B. Sternin, and V. Shatalov. Spectral boundary value problems and elliptic equations on singular manifolds. *Differents. Uravnenija*, **34**, No. 5, 1998, 695–708. [Russian].
- [23] S. Rempel and B.-W.Schulze. *Index Theory of Elliptic Boundary Problems*. Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [24] A. Savin, B.-W. Schulze, and B. Sternin. On the invariant index formulas for spectral boundary value problems. *Differentsial'nye uravnenija*, **35**, No. 5, 1999, 705–714. [Russian].

- [25] M.F. Atiyah. *K-Theory*. The Advanced Book Program. Addison-Wesley, Inc., second edition, 1989.
- [26] N.H. Kuiper. The homotopy type of the unitary group of Hilbert spaces. *Topology*, **3**, 1965, 19–30.

Moscow State University