

Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Fakultät

Isabel Bäurle | Inês Trindade

Chromatin regulation of somatic abiotic stress memory

Suggested citation referring to the original publication: Journal of experimental botany 71 (2020) 17, pp. 5269 - 5279 DOI https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eraa098 ISSN 0022-0957, 1460-2431

Journal article | Version of record

Secondary publication archived on the Publication Server of the University of Potsdam: Zweitveröffentlichungen der Universität Potsdam : Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Reihe 1408 ISSN: 1866-8372 https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:kobv:517-opus4-516668 DOI: https://doi.org/10.25932/publishup-51666

Terms of use: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License. This does not apply to quoted content from other authors. To view a copy of this license visit https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.



REVIEW PAPER

Chromatin regulation of somatic abiotic stress memory

Isabel Bäurle^{*,^(D)} and Inês Trindade

Institute for Biochemistry and Biology, University of Potsdam, D-14476 Potsdam, Germany

* Correspondence: lsabel.baeurle@uni-potsdam.de

Received 27 November 2019; Editorial decision 13 February 2020; Accepted 19 February 2020

Editors: Geraint Parry, Cardiff University, UK

Abstract

In nature, plants are often subjected to periods of recurrent environmental stress that can strongly affect their development and productivity. To cope with these conditions, plants can remember a previous stress, which allows them to respond more efficiently to a subsequent stress, a phenomenon known as priming. This ability can be maintained at the somatic level for a few days or weeks after the stress is perceived, suggesting that plants can store information of a past stress during this recovery phase. While the immediate responses to a single stress event have been extensively studied, knowledge on priming effects and how stress memory is stored is still scarce. At the molecular level, memory of a past condition often involves changes in chromatin structure and organization, which may be maintained independently from transcription. In this review, we will summarize the most recent developments in the field and discuss how different levels of chromatin regulation contribute to priming and plant abiotic stress memory.

Keywords: Abiotic stress, chromatin regulation, heat stress memory, histone modifications, priming, transcriptional memory, vernalization.

Introduction

Plant growth and development are highly dependent on the surrounding environment, which can have a strong impact on overall fitness. In order to cope with environmental challenges, plants have developed a series of responses to different types of acute abiotic stress, which have been widely studied. To date, several regulatory pathways have been identified that result in the activation of genes involved in plant development and metabolism, which mediate increased tolerance to stress conditions (for comprehensive reviews, see Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki, 2006; Zhu, 2016; Ohama *et al.*, 2017).

However, in nature, single stress events are not the norm. Instead, in specific seasons, plants are frequently subjected to multiple periods of adverse conditions intercalated by times of recovery. To cope with recurrent stress, plants have developed the ability to remember a past stress and be better prepared to survive a subsequent one, a process known as priming (Hilker *et al.*, 2016). At the somatic level (within the same generation),

the effects of priming can be maintained/memorized for a few days or weeks, suggesting that information can be stored during this period (Bäurle, 2018). So far, only a few stress-inducible genes, known as 'memory/trainable genes', have been linked to stress memory (Charng et al., 2006, 2007; Ding et al., 2012a; Lämke et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2018). Based on their transcriptional profile, memory genes can be classified into two groups (Fig. 1): type I—genes that are up-regulated upon a first stress and show sustained expression during the recovery phase (Fig. 1B); and type II—genes that are hyperinduced upon a recurrent stress (Fig. 1C) (Bäurle, 2018). However, how these genes are regulated and which features distinguish them from so-called non-memory genes (Fig. 1A) remains to be elucidated.

Chromatin regulation has recently emerged as a key feature of plant responses to abiotic stress and it has been associated with the storage of information of a previous condition, given that

[©] The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/),



Fig. 1. Transcriptional behaviour of stress memory genes. (A) Nonmemory genes are induced by stress and their expression quickly returns to pre-stress levels after the stress subsides; these genes behave identically upon a first or recurrent stress. (B) Type I memory genes are characterized by a sustained induction, which is maintained at elevated levels throughout the memory phase. (C) Type II memory genes are induced upon a first stress and hyperinduced upon a recurrent stress separated by a few days or weeks of recovery under stress-free conditions. Grey bars represent a stress stimulus of limited duration.

chromatin states may be maintained independently from transcription. Chromatin is composed of positively charged histone octamers, around which 147 bp of negatively charged DNA is wrapped, forming nucleosomes (Luger et al., 1997). Each nucleosome consists of an octamer of two molecules of each four histones, H2A, H2B, H3, and H4, linked by a strand of DNA with which the linker histone H1 may be associated (Kornberg, 1974; Thoma et al., 1979). Plants have multiple genes coding for the canonical (more conserved) histone forms, as well as variants that contain minor differences in their amino acid sequences and often have distinct functions (reviewed in Jiang and Berger, 2017). Histones are composed of a globular domain and an N-terminal tail, which is highly conserved and can be modified at the post-translational level, resulting in altered protein charge, thus changing the affinity with which the DNA is wrapped around nucleosomes. Histone modifications may also create or occlude binding sites of other chromatin regulators. Changes in chromatin organization can have a strong impact on gene expression through the regulation of DNA accessibility for the transcriptional machinery or for regulatory proteins. Based on a combined analysis of different features, such as genomic location, histone modifications, and nucleosome occupancy, nine chromatin states have been identified in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Sequeira-Mendes *et al.*, 2014). Adding to this complexity, chromatin compaction inside the nucleus is not random. Instead, chromosomes occupy a defined space in the nuclear matrix and form stable interactions with different nuclear regions (reviewed in Gibcus and Dekker, 2013). Interestingly, these domains are often associated with specific chromatin states (Feng *et al.*, 2014; Liu *et al.*, 2016; Bi *et al.*, 2017) and are therefore relevant for transcription regulation.

In this review we will discuss some of the latest findings on how different levels of chromatin regulation, ranging from histone post-translational modifications to structure, impact plant responses to abiotic stress. The role of chromatin in priming and abiotic stress memory will be highlighted by presenting recent work in plants or by drawing comparisons with other organisms. Finally, we will discuss some open questions that we believe are likely to shape the near future of research in the field.

The role of H3K4me3 in dehydration and heat stress memory

One of the best studied histone post-translational modifications is the trimethylation of lysine 4 of histone H3 (H3K4me3), which is widely accepted to be associated with active chromatin states (Zhang et al., 2009). Recently, this modification was also linked to transcriptional memory at several abiotic stress-responsive genes. Ding et al. (2012a) identified a subset of type II dehydration memory genes at which H3K4me3 accumulates upon a first priming stimulus and is maintained during the memory phase, when the genes are expressed at basal levels. A similar accumulation of H3K4me2/3 after a first triggering stress was observed in A. thaliana plants primed with the biotic stress hormone jasmonic acid (JA; Liu and Avramova, 2016) or primed by heat stress (HS; Lämke et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2018). Together, these observations suggest that enhanced H3K4 methylation may be a general mechanism to mark recently active genes for stronger reactivation upon a recurrent stress/signal (Fig. 2A, B). The exact mechanism is still not fully understood, but it seems to be related to a faster and, in some cases, more pronounced induction of memory genes upon a second stress.

In plants subjected to repeated dehydration, the enrichment of stalled RNA polymerase II (Ser5P Pol II) was detected at memory genes during the entire memory phase (Ding *et al.*, 2012a). Nuclear run-on assays confirmed that the transcription of these genes occurred at a three times higher rate during a recurrent stress, when compared with a first stress. Additionally, Liu and Avramova (2016) reported an increased occupancy of the TATA-binding protein (TBP), a key step in the formation of the pre-initiation complex (PIC), at the promoters of memory genes during the recovery phase (Fig. 2B). This suggests that the transcriptional machinery is maintained at these promoters, probably facilitating their faster or higher expression upon recurrent stress. It is therefore tempting to speculate



Fig. 2. Transcriptional memory of abiotic stress is regulated at the chromatin level. (A) In response to HS, HSFA2, probably in a complex with other HSFs, binds heat shock elements (HSEs) at the promotors of HS memory genes and triggers the deposition of H3K4me3, which is sustained throughout the memory phase. Additionally, FGT1, in a complex with the chromatin remodellers BRM and CHR11/17, is required to maintain low nucleosome occupancy around the +1 nucleosome, keeping chromatin in an active state, thus probably facilitating the recruitment or activity of RNA Pol II. (B) Similar mechanisms, such as the accumulation of H3K4me3 and reduction of nucleosome occupancy (through the activity of an as yet unidentified chromatin-remodelling complex, CRC), regulate dehydration memory genes. The binding of MYC2 to the promotors of dehydration memory genes is also required for transcriptional memory. MYC2 interacts with the Mediator complex through the MED25 subunit, which in turn recruits the pre-initiation complex (here represented by TBP and Ser5P RNA Pol II), allowing a faster re-activation upon a recurrent stress cue. (C) The chromatin remodeller and transcriptional repressor PKL, which is known to be involved in the deposition and maintenance of the repressive histone mark H3K27me3, is required for cold stress priming. H3K27me3 plays a key role in long-term cold memory (vernalization) and was recently suggested to be involved in short-term memory as well. Dashed circles represent regulators whose direct involvement in abiotic stress memory remains to be validated.

that the deposition of H3K4me3 may create the necessary chromatin environment for the accessibility of the transcriptional machinery. Accordingly, in yeast mutants where Lys4 of histone H3 was replaced by either alanine or arginine, RNA Pol II was not recruited to target genes during the memory phase (D'Urso *et al.*, 2016). However, in *A. thaliana*, the accumulation of this mark at the transcriptional start site (TSS) of a subset of genes occurs downstream of the recruitment of

PIC and is required for transcription elongation (Ding *et al.*, 2012b), suggesting a somewhat different mechanism.

The majority of H3K4me is deposited by the COMPASSlike complex, which is known to regulate different aspects of plant development (Jiang et al., 2011). Upon induction of the unfolded protein response (UPR), the COMPASS-like complex was recruited by the bZIP28/60 transcription factors (TFs), which are required for the deposition of H3K4me3 at a subset of genes (Song et al., 2015). The UPR is a common response to abiotic stresses (Liu and Howell, 2010); therefore, it is conceivable that the same mechanism might trigger the deposition of this mark at some HS and dehydration memory genes. Alternatively, the COMPASS-like complex might be recruited to these genes by alternative stress-specific TFs (see below). In yeast, both COMPASS and Mediator complexes are required for the transcriptional memory of the inositol-1-phosphate synthase-encoding gene INO1, which also exhibits increased levels of H3K4me upon activation (Light et al., 2013; D'Urso et al., 2016). Interestingly, the protein composition of these complexes is altered under memory and non-memory conditions, allowing them to perform different functions during poised and active transcription (D'Urso et al., 2016).

However, the question remains of whether any specific enzymes are responsible for the deposition of H3K4me at memory genes. Plants defective in the H3K4me3 methyltransferase ARABIDOPSIS TRITHORAX1 (ATX1) are more sensitive to dehydration than the wild type, due to impaired abscisic acid (ABA) production and consequently increased stomatal opening under stress (Ding *et al.*, 2011). On the other hand, *atx5* and *atx4* mutants showed an increased tolerance to dehydration and hypersensitivity to ABA treatment, thus acting as negative regulators in these processes (Y. Liu *et al.*, 2018). However, these proteins do not target exclusively memory genes, suggesting that other factors may provide memory specificity.

Some stress-induced TFs have been identified as key components of transcriptional memory and are likely to account for this specificity and recruit specific chromatin-regulatory proteins to target loci. For instance, the HS-dependent deposition of H3K4me was dependent on HEAT SHOCK FACTOR A2 (HSFA2; Fig. 2A; Lämke et al., 2016). The A. thaliana HSF gene family consists of 21 members and, so far, only HSFA2 is known to have a controlling function in somatic HS memory (Charng et al., 2007; Lämke et al., 2016). Genetic evidence, however, suggests that additional factors dependent on the HSFA1 master regulators are also involved in this process (Liu et al., 2018). Interestingly, the promoter of the type II memory gene ASCORBATE PEROXIDASE 2 (APX2) is sufficient for transcriptional memory (Liu et al., 2018), indicating that TF binding and *cis*-regulatory motifs are key elements in this process. As heat shock factors (HSFs) act in trimeric complexes together with other HSFs (reviewed in Scharf et al., 2012), one exciting possibility is that HS memory specificity is a property of certain complexes and not of individual TFs.

ABA-dependent dehydration memory genes show a similar requirement for TFs. Priming plants by dehydration induces transient binding of MYC2, which is required for the transcriptional memory of a subset of genes (Liu and Avramova, 2016). Interestingly, this binding correlates with the accumulation of Ser5P RNA Pol II and involves the recruitment of the Mediator complex by direct interaction of MYC2 with the subunit MED25 (Fig. 2B; Liu and Avramova, 2016). The Mediator complex is a key integrator between TF binding to target genes and RNA Pol II transcriptional activity, and it has been shown to affect different aspects of plant development and responses to biotic and abiotic stress (reviewed in Samanta and Thakur, 2015). Whether the binding of MYC2–MED25 is also required for H3K4me3 deposition at dehydration memory genes remains to be elucidated.

Memory of short and prolonged exposure to low temperature

Stress priming of plants by low temperature has been reported in several plant species (Byun et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014; Zuther et al., 2019). However, knowledge of the role of chromatin regulation in this process is still scarce. Exposure to cold leads to an open chromatin environment at several loci in potato, characterized by reduced nucleosome occupancy and the presence of bivalent H3K4me3 (active) and H3K27me3 (repressive) chromatin marks (Zeng et al., 2019). In metazoans, bivalent marks are frequently found in undifferentiated cells and are correlated with poised genes that can be quickly switched on/off upon specific triggering stimuli (Voigt et al., 2013). However, the dynamics of these marks upon exposure to low temperatures remain to be elucidated. Co-existence of both marks at stressresponsive loci has been previously reported upon dehydration, where genes were activated by stress-induced deposition of H3K4me3, despite exhibiting high levels of H3K27me3 (Liu et al., 2014). Additionally, in A. thaliana, the cold-induced activation of COLD RESPONSIVE (COR) genes was shown to involve the accumulation of histone acetylation as well as reduced nucleosome occupancy at these loci (Pavangadkar et al., 2010; Park et al., 2018). In maize, cold also induced activation of repetitive elements by increasing their histone acetylation, which was also accompanied by changes in nucleosome occupancy (Hu et al., 2012). However, both histone acetylation and nucleosome occupancy return to pre-stress levels during the recovery period, when gene expression is back to baseline levels, suggesting that these changes may be a consequence of active transcription. Therefore, the question remains of how cold stress memory is regulated at the chromatin level.

The most detailed knowledge of cold-induced epigenetic regulation comes from vernalization in *A. thaliana*, the mechanism by which plants can remember a previous prolonged exposure to low temperatures and flower only when the conditions are favourable. At the molecular level, vernalization consists of the chromatin-mediated silencing of the flower repressor *FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC)* by the Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (PRC2; Michaels and Amasino, 1999; Bastow *et al.*, 2004), which deposits H3K27me3 at target loci (Schubert *et al.*, 2006). *FLC* silencing occurs in two different phases (Yang *et al.*, 2017): nucleation of H3K27me3 during the exposure to cold; and spreading of the mark to the gene body upon return to warmer temperatures. H3K27me3

nucleation takes place in a specific region downstream of the TSS (Finnegan et al., 2007; Angel et al., 2011) and it occurs digitally, meaning that an individual FLC allele is either ON (enriched in H3K36me3) or OFF (enriched in H3K27me3), with the intensity of silencing being achieved by a cell population average (Angel et al., 2011, 2015; Yang et al., 2014). The coordinated switch between the active and repressive states depends on the expression from the 3' end of a set of antisense transcripts collectively named COOLAIR (Swiezewski et al., 2009; Csorba et al., 2014; Rosa et al., 2016). Two PHD proteins, VERNALIZATION INSENSITIVE 3 (VIN3; Sung and Amasino, 2004) and VERNALIZATION 5 (VRN5; Greb et al., 2007), and a sense non-coding RNA named COLDAIR (Heo and Sung, 2011) also play a key role in cold-induced silencing of FLC by recruiting PRC2 to the locus through interaction with its core components VRN2 and CURLY LEAF (CLF; Wood et al., 2006; de Lucia et al., 2008; Heo and Sung, 2011). Consistently, vin3, vrn5, and vrn2 mutants are all unable to completely silence FLC during exposure to cold, and all show reactivation upon return to warmer temperatures (Yang et al., 2017). In A. thaliana, VIN3 expression is activated only after a long period of cold in the absence of warmer temperatures, which is the first trigger for the epigenetically stable repression of FLC (Hepworth et al., 2018). Additionally, VIN3 is itself required for the recruitment of VRN5 (de Lucia et al., 2008). Upon return to warmer temperatures, VIN3 binding is quickly reduced, while VRN5 acquires a broader distribution throughout the FLC gene body, accompanying the spreading of H3K27me3 (Yang et al., 2017). Interestingly, different PRC2 components seem to be required for each of the FLC silencing phases (Yang et al., 2017). For instance, while the methyltransferase SWINGER (SWN) was shown to be predominantly required for the nucleation, CLF and the Polycomb-associated LIKE HETEROCHROMATIN PROTEIN 1 (LHP1) seem to be more important for the spreading of the mark (Yang et al., 2017). This change in function by altering the composition of regulatory complexes resembles in part the regulation of transcriptional memory by the yeast COMPASS described in the previous section.

Vernalization responses in temperate cereals, such as wheat and barley, involve distinct molecular mechanisms (Dennis and Peacock 2009), indicating that vernalization evolved separately in different plant groups. Prolonged exposure to cold in cereals leads to the stable induction of VRN1 (Trevaskis *et al.*, 2003 Yan *et al.*, 2003), a TF related to the *A. thaliana* APETALA1. This process is accompanied by a decrease in H3K27me3 and accumulation of H3K4me at the *VRN1* locus (Oliver *et al.*, 2009; Huan *et al.*, 2018), indicating a possible similar requirement for chromatin regulation in long-term memory of cold in cereals. However, further studies are required to confirm this hypothesis, and to identify the participating chromatin regulators.

Impact of nucleosome composition and density

Changes at the nucleosome level, through the incorporation of specific histone variants or alterations in nucleosome

Chromatin regulation of abiotic stress responses | 5273

occupancy, may impact DNA accessibility and therefore transcription. Some of these mechanisms have been shown to be triggered under specific environmental conditions and could play a role in abiotic stress memory.

Besides the canonical H2A histone, three other variants have been identified in A. thaliana: H2A.Z which is mostly associated with euchromatin; H2A.W linked to constitutive heterochromatin; and H2A.X which has a broad localization in the genome (Yelagandula et al., 2014). Notably, phosphorylated H2A.X, but not the widely distributed unphosphorylated form, marks sites of DNA damage (Lang et al., 2012; Waterworth et al., 2019). H2A.Z has been implicated in responses to environmental stimuli, particularly in the perception of temperature. Binding of H2A.Z at several loci is disrupted by a temperature increase within the ambient temperature range (Kumar and Wigge, 2010). At these loci, H2A.Z-associated chromatin is generally less open and accessible to digestion with micrococcal nuclease compared with regions occupied by the canonical H2A (Kumar and Wigge, 2010). Interestingly, in A. thaliana, this histone variant has been associated with both active and repressive chromatin states, depending on the genic region it occupies (Coleman-Derr and Zilberman, 2012; Sura et al., 2017). However, the repressive role of H2A.Z depends at least in part on its monoubiquitination (H2A.Zub) by AtBMI1, a core component of PRC1 (Gómez-Zambrano et al., 2019). The temperature-induced eviction of H2A.Z was additionally shown to be dependent on the activity of HSFA1 (Cortijo et al., 2017). However, the dynamics of this process remain unknown. H2A.Z is deposited at target loci mainly through the activity of the SWR1 chromatin-remodelling complex (Mizuguchi et al., 2004), but there is still poor knowledge on how it is evicted. H2Bub1 may stabilize the H2A.Zchromatin association at inducible enhancers by preventing the binding of INO80 (Segala et al., 2016), suggesting a possible role for histone post-translational modifications in this process. In yeast, H2A.Z eviction seems, at least in part, to rely on the chromatin remodeller INO80, which counteracts the action of SWR1 (Papamichos-Chronakis et al., 2011). No equivalent mechanism has yet been reported in plants, but it will be interesting to see whether HSFA1 TFs could be involved in the recruitment of identical complexes. In A. thaliana, the MYB-like TF SWR COMPLEX 4 (SWC4) interacts with and is required for SWR1 recruitment and H2A.Z deposition at developmental genes (Gómez-Zambrano et al., 2018). In swc4 mutants, several HS-responsive genes, including HSFA6A, are up-regulated (Gómez-Zambrano et al., 2018), suggesting that they are repressed by the SWC4-SWR1 complex under nonstress conditions. Nevertheless, a possible role for this complex under stress conditions requires further validation.

Arabidopsis thaliana also has three variants of the linker histone H1. Besides a role in heterochromatin formation, H1 influences nucleosome mobility at euchromatic sites and the maintenance of histone post-translational modifications (Rutowicz *et al.*, 2019). One of these variants (H1.3 in *A. thaliana* and H1-S in tomato) is induced by dehydration (Ascenzi and Gantt, 1999; Scippa *et al.*, 2000). In *A. thaliana*, two pools of H1.3 were identified: a constitutive one that localizes to stomata under non-stress conditions; and a broader

5274 | Bäurle and Trindade

stress-inducible pool (Rutowicz *et al.*, 2015). Although tomato plants depleted of this variant performed less well than the wild type under progressive water deprivation (Scippa *et al.*, 2004), no differences were observed in the responses of *A. thaliana* h1.3 mutants subjected to a similar treatment (Ascenzi and Gantt, 1999). However, upon exposure to combined dehydration/low light conditions, the h1.3 mutant displayed altered patterns of stress-induced DNA methylation, suggesting that this variant might be important for the accessibility of DNA methyltransferases under more complex stress regimes (Rutowicz *et al.*, 2015).

Nucleosome assembly and disassembly are achieved through the activity of highly conserved histone chaperones (reviewed in Hammond et al., 2017), which can also regulate plant responses to abiotic stress. Mutants in the NUCLEOSOME ASSEMBLY PROTEIN 1 (NAP1), an H2A-H2B chaperone, exhibit altered responses to the drought stress hormone ABA and to salt stress (Liu et al., 2009). Plants with lower levels of SSRP1 and SPT16, both subunits of the FACT (facilitates activation of transcription) complex, show reduced induction of anthocyanin biosynthesis genes upon exposure to high light (Pfab et al., 2018). The H2A-H2B chaperone complex FACT has also been related to responses to abiotic stress in other organisms. Yeast mutants depleted in SPT16 showed increased thermotolerance, which correlated with an impaired recovery of nucleosome occupancy and increased binding of RNA Pol II at HS-responsive genes (Erkina and Erkine, 2015). The opposite effect was observed for the H3-H4 chaperone ANTI-SILENCING FUNCTION 1 (ASF1) in A. thaliana (Weng et al., 2014); asf1 mutants showed increased sensitivity to high temperatures and lower expression of HS-inducible genes, which was accompanied by reduced removal of H3 and reduced accumulation of RNA Pol II (Weng et al., 2014).

Orthologues of additional histone H3 chaperones such as the histone regulator A (HIRA) and the chromatin assembly factor 1 (CAF1) have also been identified in A. thaliana (Duc et al., 2015; Muñoz-Viana et al., 2017). In contrast to other chaperones, HIRA and CAF1 act preferentially on specific histone variants; while the former is responsible for the deposition of the replication-independent histone H3.3, the latter acts mostly on the replication-specific H3.1 variant (Tagami et al., 2004). Arabidopsis thaliana mutants depleted in FASCIATA 2 (FAS2), a core subunit of CAF1, show a reduction in nucleosome occupancy at a subset of defence response genes (Mozgová et al., 2015; Muñoz-Viana et al., 2017), mimicking primed plants. A similar phenomenon was observed for HS-activated DNA repeats in *fas1* mutants, where restoration of nucleosome occupancy during the recovery phase was impaired, indicating a role for CAF1 in re-silencing of repeats (Pecinka et al., 2010). Fission yeast HIRA is involved in priming (cross-tolerance) by promoting nucleosome eviction and enhancing RNA Pol II occupancy at a subset of stress response genes (Chujo et al., 2012). As similar chromatin features have been reported in plants primed for different abiotic stresses, it will be interesting to see whether HIRA is also required for these processes in plants. In Caenorhabditis elegans, the knockout of all five H3.3encoding genes led to increased mortality upon HS (Delaney et al., 2018). However, these differences were abolished when

the worms were subjected to a prior priming treatment with a milder HS, suggesting that H3.3 in this organism is mostly required for the immediate responses to high temperature (Delaney *et al.*, 2018). The high degree of conservation of histones in different organisms allows us to speculate that some of the mechanisms uncovered in other species may be conserved. To what extent histone variants and chaperones are involved in abiotic stress memory in plants remains unresolved.

Transcriptional changes in response to stress are often accompanied by changes in nucleosome occupancy. Accordingly, several ATP-dependent chromatin-remodelling complexes of the subfamilies ISWI, Switch/Sucrose Non-Fermentable (SWI/SNF), and CHD (reviewed in Han et al., 2015) are required for priming in different organisms. In a forward genetic screen for regulators of HS memory, Brzezinka et al. (2016) isolated FORGETTER1 (FGT1) as a key element of type I HS transcriptional memory in A. thaliana. FGT1 interacts with the chromatin remodellers BRAHMA (BRM) and CHR11/17, forming a complex required to maintain low nucleosome occupancy during the memory phase (Brzezinka et al., 2016). In agreement, the expression of HS memory genes in fgt1, brm, and chr11 chr17 decreased more quickly and the mutants displayed lower survival upon recurrent HS (Brzezinka et al., 2016). Both FGT1 and BRM are also functional under non-stress conditions; therefore, the question remains of how the FGT1-BRM complex is recruited to HS memory genes. In humans, the SWI/SNF remodeller BRG1 is recruited to HS-responsive genes by HSF1 (Sullivan et al., 2001). Hence, a similar mechanism may be active in plants.

Lower nucleosome occupancy may be a widespread feature of memory genes after stress is perceived (Brzezinka et al., 2016; Park et al., 2018; Zeng et al., 2019). For instance, A. thaliana plants defective in the chromatin remodeller PICKLE (PKL) are less 'primable' by cold than the wild type (Yang et al., 2019). Although no differences between *pkl-1* and the wild type were observed in plants subjected to a single freezing stress, mutants that had been previously primed by exposure to mild cold stress exhibited lower survival (Yang et al., 2019). This observation suggests that PKL acts in cold stress memory responses, although the molecular mechanisms are still not fully understood (Fig. 2C). PKL belongs to the subfamily CHD3 and it is required for the deposition and maintenance of the repressive chromatin mark H3K27me3 (Zhang et al., 2008; Ho et al., 2013; Carter et al., 2018). As discussed above, this histone modification is known to play a key role in prolonged cold stress memory during vernalization (Finnegan et al., 2007) and it was recently suggested to be involved in short-term cold stress memory as well (Vyse et al., 2020). Whether PKL also acts in cold stress priming through the regulation of H3K27me3 remains to be elucidated.

Effect of chromatin spatial organization

Chromatin inside the nucleus often occupies defined regions that are associated with pools of regulatory proteins and exhibit specific transcriptional profiles. At a finer scale, several local and long-distance chromatin loops that bring together genes and *cis*-regulatory elements have been identified in different organisms, forming another layer of transcription regulation (reviewed in Gibcus and Dekker, 2013).

Current knowledge on how plant chromatin 3D organization impacts abiotic stress responses is still scarce, with only a few studies reporting changes upon exposure to adverse conditions. Using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), Pecinka et al. (2010) found that upon prolonged HS nucleosome occupancy was reduced and heterochromatic chromocentres were strongly dispersed. While the former recovered quickly after return to ambient temperatures, overall heterochromatin de-condensation prevailed for up to 1 week, suggesting a possible role in stress memory (Pecinka et al., 2010). Similarly, rice chromosomes de-condensed after exposure to cold, although this was not accompanied by global changes in chromatin structural organization (Liu et al., 2017). Moreover, cold-induced repression of FLC involves loci clustering in the nucleus, which is positively correlated with the duration of exposure to cold (Rosa et al., 2013), suggesting that vernalization requires chromatin spatial re-organization.

Local chromatin interaction domains (gene loops) have also been correlated with transcriptional changes and memory, as they allow the faster recycling of RNA Pol II at specific loci (Tan-Wong et al., 2009). For instance, in yeast, gene loops induced by changes in the supplied carbon source were shown to be positively correlated with a stronger reinduction upon a recurrent stress (Laine et al., 2009; Tan-Wong et al., 2009). This process probably involves the recruitment of specific chromatin regulators, as reinduction of the GAL10 gene was reduced in a mutant for the chromatin remodeller Snf2 (Laine et al., 2009). Interestingly, transcriptional memory was also dependent on the association of these loops with nuclear pore proteins, reinforcing the idea that subnuclear localization is important for regulation of gene expression (Tan-Wong et al., 2009). On the other hand, in Drosophila, HS led to dramatic changes in the 3D organization of the nucleus due to the increased number of interactions between different topologically associated domains (TADs), which correlated with a weakening of the domain borders (Li et al., 2015). TADs are secondary interaction domains within higher order chromatin domains that are well conserved between cell types and different species (Dixon et al., 2012).

Plant chromatin 3D structure seems to differ from that of other organisms (Fig. 3). For instance, in contrast to metazoans, no canonical TADs were identified in A. thaliana, which may be linked to the absence of orthologues of metazoan CTCF insulator proteins (Feng et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015). Alternatively, it could be a consequence of the compact genome, as TADlike domains have been observed in plants with larger genomes (Dong et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2017), probably reflecting a lower gene density (Doğan and Liu, 2018). However, local chromatin loops formed by the interaction of 5' and 3' ends of highly expressed genes have been observed in A. thaliana (Liu et al., 2016). For FLC, silencing by vernalization correlated with the disruption of a gene loop that encompasses the whole locus (Crevillén et al., 2013). Disruption of the FLC loop additionally required the activity of CHC1 (Fig. 3B), a subunit of SWI/SNF chromatin-remodelling complexes (Jégu et al., 2014), suggesting a role for these proteins in the regulation

of chromatin 3D structure. Recently, BORDER (BRD) proteins were found to be associated with gene loop formation at several *A. thaliana* loci by regulating RNA Pol II pausing/ recycling and thus preventing transcriptional read-through to neighbouring genes (Yu *et al.*, 2019). BRD proteins were shown to contribute to the rapid induction of several lightresponsive genes (Yu *et al.*, 2019), showing that local chromatin interaction domains act in the regulation of plant responses to the environment.

Additional studies indirectly suggest a role for chromatin 3D organization in responses to abiotic stress. PWWP INTERACTOR OF POLYCOMBS1 (PWO1), which recruits PRC2 to specific nuclear foci (Hohenstatt et al., 2018), interacts physically and genetically with CROWDED NUCLEI (CRWN) family proteins (Mikulski et al., 2019), which may be functional orthologues of nuclear lamina proteins (Wang et al., 2013). In A. thaliana, chromatin interactions with the nuclear periphery have been linked to an accumulation of repressive chromatin features, including H3K27me3, at protein-coding genes and transposable elements (Bi et al., 2017), which is in agreement with a possible recruitment of PRC2 to these locations. Gene Ontology analysis revealed an enrichment for stress-induced genes amongst those up-regulated in both pwo1 and crwn1/2 mutants (Mikulski et al., 2019), suggesting that localization at the nuclear periphery might be involved in repressing stress-inducible genes under non-stress conditions (Fig. 3C). In yeast, the recruitment of genes to the nuclear periphery was associated with transcriptional memory and a faster re-induction following repression (reviewed in Brickner, 2009). Additionally, tethering at the subnuclear location and faster re-induction depended on the incorporation of the histone variant H2A.Z during previous active transcription (Brickner et al., 2007). In A. thaliana, H2A.Z is known to be involved in plant responses to environmental cues (see above); however, evidence for its involvement in abiotic stress memory in plants is still lacking.

Conclusions and perspectives

It is becoming increasingly clear that chromatin regulation plays an important part in modulating plant responses to environmental stresses. Changes in chromatin structure and composition have been associated with storage of information of a previous condition. Such marking may allow plants to save energy not only by responding faster and/or more strongly to recurrent stresses, but also allowing temporary shut-down of defence mechanisms without compromising survival (Hilker *et al.*, 2016). From the available data, some transcriptional memory features emerge that are common to more than one stress type. These include the accumulation of H3K4me and possibly RNA Pol II at memory loci, as well as reduced nucleosome occupancy (Fig. 2A, B). However, each stress memory type also has specific elements (Fig. 2).

Our review has focused on abiotic stress memory at the somatic level, which is usually limited to a few days or weeks. An important unresolved question is how the duration of the memory phase is regulated. Despite the fitness advantages of priming, one study suggests it can be detrimental under



Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/jxb/article/71/17/5269/5740974 by Universitaetsbibliothek Potsdam user on 08 September 2022

Fig. 3. Chromatin 3D organization can have an impact on abiotic stress responses. (A) Chromosomes are packed inside the nucleus in a non-random way, and in metazoans have been shown to interact with different nuclear domains, such as the lamina in the periphery and the nucleolus. In plants, no such large-scale domains have been identified, but local chromatin interactions seem to be important for regulation of gene expression. (B) A gene loop at the *FLC* locus is associated with active transcription, possibly by facilitating the recycling of RNA Pol II. Upon prolonged exposure to cold, this loop is disrupted in a CHC1-dependent manner, allowing for the transcription of the lncRNA COOLAIR, thus triggering the cold-induced silencing of *FLC*. (C) The PRC2 interactor PWO1 also interacts with CRWN proteins, which may be functional orthologues of nuclear lamina proteins. Both PWO1 and CRWN were shown to target an overlapping subset of stress-related genes. Tethering to the nuclear periphery has been associated with gene repression and faster reactivation, suggesting that PWO and CRWN could have a role in abiotic stress responses and perhaps transcriptional memory. This probably involves the recruitment of PRC2 to the nuclear periphery. However, the role of these proteins in transcriptional memory remains to be elucidated. Dashed circles represent regulators whose direct involvement in abiotic stress responses remains to be validated.

non-stress conditions (van Hulten *et al.*, 2006), indicating that, in the absence of a recurrent stress, it might be advantageous to limit the duration of the memory phase. Two scenarios are therefore conceivable: either this duration is limited by the biochemical stability of the induced changes, and thus memory would be ended in a passive way; or, alternatively, it may be actively terminated through the activity of chromatin regulators with opposing functions. A few works favour the latter, although a simultaneous occurrence of both scenarios cannot be discarded. Recently, Huang *et al.* (2019) reported the identification of the H3K4 demethylase JUMONJI 17 (JMJ17) as a negative regulator of dehydration stress responses in *A. thaliana.* Additionally, the H3K27 histone demethylase EARLY FOWERING (ELF6) is known to be required for

the reactivation of *FLC* in reproductive tissues at the onset of a new generation (Crevillén *et al.*, 2014). Whether these or similar mechanisms also take place at memory genes during the memory phase requires further investigation.

Additional questions have been raised concerning the effect of plant age in priming responses and whether tissues respond differently to environmental conditions. HS transcriptional memory in different parts of *A. thaliana* seedlings was similar across tissues (Liu *et al.*, 2018). In contrast, HS-triggered heterochromatin de-condensation occurred only in differentiated cells (Pecinka *et al.*, 2010). Moreover, these results raise the question of if/how priming responses are mitotically transmitted from stress-exposed tissues to the newly formed ones, as well as general mitotic heritability.

Stress priming and memory have a great potential when it comes to crop improvement towards increased tolerance to environmental conditions. Therefore, understanding how these phenomena are regulated at the molecular level and what is the outcome of the interplay between the different regulatory mechanisms is of utmost importance and urgency. We believe recent advances in microscopy techniques, single cell and single molecule analysis, and genome editing strategies will strongly contribute to finding answers to the above-mentioned questions.

Acknowledgements

IB acknowledges funding from CRC973 (project A2, Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft) and the European Research Council (ERC CoG 725295 CHROMADAPT).

References

Angel A, Song J, Dean C, Howard M. 2011. A Polycomb-based switch underlying quantitative epigenetic memory. Nature **476**, 105–108.

Angel A, Song J, Yang H, Questa JI, Dean C, Howard M. 2015. Vernalizing cold is registered digitally at FLC. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 2, 4146–4151.

Ascenzi R, Gantt JS. 1999. Molecular genetic analysis of the droughtinducible linker histone variant in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Molecular Biology **41**, 159–169.

Bastow R, Mylne JS, Lister C, Lippman Z, Martienssen RA, Dean C. 2004. Vernalization requires epigenetic silencing of FLC by histone methylation. Nature **427**, 164–167.

Bäurle I. 2018. Can't remember to forget you: chromatin-based priming of somatic stress responses. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology **83**, 133–139.

Bi X, Cheng YJ, Hu B, Ma X, Wu R, Wang JW, Liu C. 2017. Nonrandom domain organization of the Arabidopsis genome at the nuclear periphery. Genome Research **27**, 1162–1173.

Brickner DG, Cajigas I, Fondufe-Mittendorf Y, Ahmed S, Lee PC, Widom J, Brickner JH. 2007. H2A.Z-mediated localization of genes at the nuclear periphery confers epigenetic memory of previous transcriptional state. PLoS Biology 5, e81.

Brickner JH. 2009. Transcriptional memory at the nuclear periphery. Current Opinion in Cell Biology **21**, 127–133.

Brzezinka K, Altmann S, Czesnick H, et al. 2016. Arabidopsis FORGETTER1 mediates stress-induced chromatin memory through nucleosome remodeling. eLife 5, 17061.

Byun YJ, Koo MY, Joo HJ, Ha-Lee YM, Lee DH. 2014. Comparative analysis of gene expression under cold acclimation, deacclimation and reacclimation in Arabidopsis. Physiologia Plantarum **152**, 256–274. Carter B, Bishop B, Ho KK, Huang R, Jia W, Zhang H, Pascuzzi PE, Deal RB, Ogas J. 2018. The chromatin remodelers PKL and PIE1 act in an epigenetic pathway that determines H3K27me3 homeostasis in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **30**, 1337–1352.

Charng YY, Liu HC, Liu NY, Chi WT, Wang CN, Chang SH, Wang TT. 2007. A heat-inducible transcription factor, HsfA2, is required for extension of acquired thermotolerance in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **143**, 251–262.

Charng YY, Liu HC, Liu NY, Hsu FC, Ko SS. 2006. Arabidopsis Hsa32, a novel heat shock protein, is essential for acquired thermotolerance during long recovery after acclimation. Plant Physiology **140**, 1297–1305.

Chujo M, Tarumoto Y, Miyatake K, Nishida E, Ishikawa F. 2012. HIRA, a conserved histone chaperone, plays an essential role in low-dose stress response via transcriptional stimulation in fission yeast. Journal of Biological Chemistry **287**, 23440–23450.

Coleman-Derr D, Zilberman D. 2012. Deposition of histone variant H2A.Z within gene bodies regulates responsive genes. PLoS Genetics **8**, e1002988.

Cortijo S, Charoensawan V, Brestovitsky A, Buning R, Ravarani C, Rhodes D, van Noort J, Jaeger KE, Wigge PA. 2017. Transcriptional regulation of the ambient temperature response by H2A.Z nucleosomes and HSF1 transcription factors in Arabidopsis. Molecular Plant **10**, 1258–1273.

Crevillén P, Sonmez C, Wu Z, Dean C. 2013. A gene loop containing the floral repressor FLC is disrupted in the early phase of vernalization. The EMBO Journal **32**, 140–148.

Crevillén P, Yang H, Cui X, Greeff C, Trick M, Qiu Q, Cao X, Dean C. 2014. Epigenetic reprogramming that prevents transgenerational inheritance of the vernalized state. Nature **515**, 587–590.

Csorba T, Questa JI, Sun Q, Dean C. 2014. Antisense COOLAIR mediates the coordinated switching of chromatin states at FLC during vernalization. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **111**, 16160–16165.

Delaney K, Mailler J, Wenda JM, Gabus C, Steiner FA. 2018. Differential expression of histone H3.3 genes and their role in modulating temperature stress response in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics **209**, 551–565.

De Lucia F, Crevillen P, Jones AM, Greb T, Dean C. 2008. A PHDpolycomb repressive complex 2 triggers the epigenetic silencing of FLC during vernalization. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **105**, 16831–16836.

Dennis ES, Peacock WJ. 2009. Vernalization in cereals. Journal of Biology 8, 57.

Ding Y, Avramova Z, Fromm M. 2011. The Arabidopsis trithorax-like factor ATX1 functions in dehydration stress responses via ABA-dependent and ABA-independent pathways. The Plant Journal **66**, 735–744.

Ding Y, Fromm M, Avramova Z. 2012*a*. Multiple exposures to drought 'train' transcriptional responses in Arabidopsis. Nature Communications **3**, 1–9.

Ding Y, Ndamukong I, Xu Z, Lapko H, Fromm M, Avramova Z. 2012*b*. ATX1-generated H3K4me3 is required for efficient elongation of transcription, not initiation, at ATX1-regulated genes. PLoS Genetics **8**, e1003111.

Dixon JR, Selvaraj S, Yue F, Kim A, Li Y, Shen Y, Hu M, Liu JS, Ren B. 2012. Topological domains in mammalian genomes identified by analysis of chromatin interactions. Nature **485**, 376–380.

Doğan ES, Liu C. 2018. Three-dimensional chromatin packing and positioning of plant genomes. Nature Plants **4**, 521–529.

Dong P, Tu X, Chu PY, Lu P, Zhu N, Grierson D, Du B, Li P, Zhong S. 2017. 3D chromatin architecture of large plant genomes determined by local A/B compartments. Molecular Plant **10**, 1497–1509.

Duc C, Benoit M, Le Goff S, Simon L, Poulet A, Cotterell S, Tatout C, Probst AV. 2015. The histone chaperone complex HIR maintains nucleosome occupancy and counterbalances impaired histone deposition in CAF-1 complex mutants. The Plant Journal **81**, 707–722.

D'Urso A, Takahashi Y, Xiong B, Marone J, Coukos R, Randise-Hinchliff C, Wang J, Shilatifard A, Brickner JH. 2016. Set1/COMPASS and Mediator are repurposed to promote epigenetic transcriptional memory. eLife 5, e16691.

Erkina TY, Erkine A. 2015. ASF1 and the SWI/SNF complex interact functionally during nucleosome displacement, while FACT is required for

5278 | Bäurle and Trindade

nucleosome reassembly at yeast heat shock gene promoters during sustained stress. Cell Stress & Chaperones 20, 355–369.

Feng S, Cokus SJ, Schubert V, Zhai J, Pellegrini M, Jacobsen SE. 2014. Genome-wide Hi-C analyses in wild-type and mutants reveal high-resolution chromatin interactions in Arabidopsis. Molecular Cell **55**, 694–707.

Finnegan EJ, Dennis ES. 2007. Vernalization-induced trimethylation of histone H3 lysine 27 at FLC is not maintained in mitotically quiescent cells. Current Biology **17**, 1978–1983.

Gibcus JH, Dekker J. 2013. The hierarchy of the 3D genome. Molecular Cell 49, 773–782.

Gómez-Zambrano Á, Crevillén P, Franco-Zorrilla JM, et al. 2018. Arabidopsis SWC4 binds DNA and recruits the SWR1 complex to modulate histone H2A.Z deposition at key regulatory genes. Molecular Plant **11**, 815–832.

Gómez-Zambrano Á, Merini W, Calonje M. 2019. The repressive role of Arabidopsis H2A.Z in transcriptional regulation depends on AtBMI1 activity. Nature Communications **10**, 2828.

Greb T, Mylne JS, Crevillen P, Geraldo N, An H, Gendall AR, Dean C. 2007. The PHD finger protein VRN5 functions in the epigenetic silencing of Arabidopsis FLC. Current Biology **17**, 73–78.

Hammond CM, Strømme CB, Huang H, Patel DJ, Groth A. 2017. Histone chaperone networks shaping chromatin function. Nature Reviews. Molecular Cell Biology **18**, 141–158.

Han S, Wu M, Cui S, Wagner D. 2015. Roles and activities of chromatin remodeling ATPases in plants. The Plant Journal 83, 62–77.

Heo JB, Sung S. 2011. Vernalization-mediated epigenetic silencing by a long intronic noncoding RNA. Science **331**, 76–79.

Hepworth J, Antoniou-Kourounioti RL, Bloomer RH, et al. 2018. Absence of warmth permits epigenetic memory of winter in Arabidopsis. Nature Communications **9**, 639.

Hilker M, Schwachtje J, Baier M, et al. 2016. Priming and memory of stress responses in organisms lacking a nervous system. Biological Reviews **91**, 1118–1133.

Ho KK, Zhang H, Golden BL, Ogas J. 2013. PICKLE is a CHD subfamily II ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling factor. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta **1829**, 199–210.

Hohenstatt ML, Mikulski P, Komarynets O, Klose C, Kycia I, Jeltsch A, Farrona S, Schubert D. 2018. PWWP-DOMAIN INTERACTOR OF POLYCOMBS1 interacts with polycomb-group proteins and histones and regulates arabidopsis flowering and development. The Plant Cell **30**, 117–133.

Hu Y, Zhang L, He S, et al. 2012. Cold stress selectively unsilences tandem repeats in heterochromatin associated with accumulation of H3K9ac. Plant, Cell & Environment **35**, 2130–2142.

Huan Q, Mao Z, Chong K, Zhang J. 2018. Global analysis of H3K4me3/ H3K27me3 in *Brachypodium distachyon* reveals VRN3 as critical epigenetic regulation point in vernalization and provides insights into epigenetic memory. New Phytologist **219**, 1373–1387.

Huang S, Zhang A, Jin JB, et al. 2019. Arabidopsis histone H3K4 demethylase JMJ17 functions in dehydration stress response. New Phytologist **223**, 1372–1387.

Jégu T, Latrasse D, Delarue M, Hirt H, Domenichini S, Ariel F, Crespi M, Bergounioux C, Raynaud C, Benhamed M. 2014. The BAF60 subunit of the SWI/SNF chromatin-remodeling complex directly controls the formation of a gene loop at FLOWERING LOCUS C in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **26**, 538–551.

Jiang D, Berger F. 2017. Histone variants in plant transcriptional regulation. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta **1860**, 123–130.

Jiang D, Kong NC, Gu X, Li Z, He Y. 2011. Arabidopsis COMPASS-like complexes mediate histone H3 lysine-4 trimethylation to control floral transition and plant development. PLoS Genetics 7, e1001330.

Kornberg RD. 1974. Chromatin structure: a repeating unit of histones and DNA. Science **184**, 868–871.

Kumar SV, Wigge PA. 2010. H2A.Z-containing nucleosomes mediate the thermosensory response in Arabidopsis. Cell **140**, 136–147.

Lainé JP, Singh BN, Krishnamurthy S, Hampsey M. 2009. A physiological role for gene loops in yeast. Genes & Development 23, 2604–2609.

Lämke J, Brzezinka K, Altmann S, Bäurle I. 2016. A hit-and-run heat shock factor governs sustained histone methylation and transcriptional stress memory. The EMBO Journal **35**, 162–175.

Lang J, Smetana O, Sanchez-Calderon L, Lincker F, Genestier J, Schmit AC, Houlné G, Chabouté ME. 2012. Plant γ H2AX foci are required for proper DNA DSB repair responses and colocalize with E2F factors. New Phytologist **194**, 353–363.

Li L, Lyu X, Hou C, *et al.* 2015. Widespread rearrangement of 3D chromatin organization underlies polycomb-mediated stress-induced silencing. Molecular Cell **58**, 216–231.

Li X, Cai J, Liu F, Dai T, Cao W, Jiang D. 2014. Cold priming drives the sub-cellular antioxidant systems to protect photosynthetic electron transport against subsequent low temperature stress in winter wheat. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry **82**, 34–43.

Light WH, Freaney J, Sood V, Thompson A, D'Urso A, Horvath CM, Brickner JH. 2013. A conserved role for human Nup98 in altering chromatin structure and promoting epigenetic transcriptional memory. PLoS Biology **11**, e1001524.

Liu C, Cheng YJ, Wang JW, Weigel D. 2017. Prominent topologically associated domains differentiate global chromatin packing in rice from Arabidopsis. Nature Plants **3**, 742–748.

Liu C, Wang C, Wang G, Becker C, Zaidem M, Weigel D. 2016. Genome-wide analysis of chromatin packing in *Arabidopsis thaliana* at single-gene resolution. Genome Research **26**, 1057–1068.

Liu HC, Lin SY, Hung MJ, Liu KM, Charng YY, Lämke J, Bäurle I. 2018. Distinct heat shock factors and chromatin modifications mediate the organautonomous transcriptional memory of heat stress. The Plant Journal **95**, 401–413.

Liu JX, Howell SH. 2010. Endoplasmic reticulum protein quality control and its relationship to environmental stress responses in plants. The Plant Cell **22**, 2930–2942.

Liu N, Avramova Z. 2016. Molecular mechanism of the priming by jasmonic acid of specific dehydration stress response genes in Arabidopsis. Epigenetics & Chromatin 9, 8.

Liu N, Fromm M, Avramova Z. 2014. H3K27me3 and H3K4me3 chromatin environment at super-induced dehydration stress memory genes of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Molecular Plant **7**, 502–513.

Liu Y, Zhang A, Yin H, Meng Q, Yu X, Huang S, Wang J, Ahmad R, Liu B, Xu ZY. 2018. Trithorax-group proteins ARABIDOPSIS TRITHORAX4 (ATX4) and ATX5 function in abscisic acid and dehydration stress responses. New Phytologist **217**, 1582–1597.

Liu ZQ, Gao J, Dong AW, Shen WH. 2009. A truncated Arabidopsis NUCLEOSOME ASSEMBLY PROTEIN 1, AtNAP1;3T, alters plant growth responses to abscisic acid and salt in the Atnap1;3-2 mutant. Molecular Plant 2, 688–699.

Luger K, Mäder AW, Richmond RK, Sargent DF, Richmond TJ. 1997. Crystal structure of the nucleosome core particle at 2.8 Å resolution. Nature **389**, 251–260.

Michaels SD, Amasino RM. 1999. FLOWERING LOCUS C encodes a novel MADS domain protein that acts as a repressor of flowering. The Plant Cell **11**, 949–956.

Mikulski P, Hohenstatt ML, Farrona S, Smaczniak C, Stahl Y, Kalyanikrishna, Kaufmann K, Angenent G, Schubert D. 2019. The chromatin-associated protein PWO1 interacts with plant nuclear lamin-like components to regulate nuclear size. The Plant Cell **31**, 1141–1154.

Mizuguchi G, Shen X, Landry J, Wu WH, Sen S, Wu C. 2004. ATPdriven exchange of histone H2AZ variant catalyzed by SWR1 chromatin remodeling complex. Science **303**, 343–348.

Mozgová I, Wildhaber T, Liu Q, Abou-Mansour E, L'Haridon F, Métraux JP, Gruissem W, Hofius D, Hennig L. 2015. Chromatin assembly factor CAF-1 represses priming of plant defence response genes. Nature Plants 1, 15127.

Muñoz-Viana R, Wildhaber T, Trejo-Arellano MS, Mozgová I, Hennig L. 2017. Arabidopsis chromatin assembly factor 1 is required for occupancy and position of a subset of nucleosomes. The Plant Journal **92**, 363–374.

Ohama N, Sato H, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. 2017. Transcriptional regulatory network of plant heat stress response. Trends in Plant Science **22**, 53–65.

Oliver SN, Finnegan EJ, Dennis ES, Peacock WJ, Trevaskis B. 2009. Vernalization-induced flowering in cereals is associated with changes in histone methylation at the VERNALIZATION1 gene. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **106**, 8386–8391. **Papamichos-Chronakis M, Watanabe S, Rando OJ, Peterson CL.** 2011. Global regulation of H2A.Z localization by the INO80 chromatinremodeling enzyme is essential for genome integrity. Cell **144**, 200–213.

Park J, Lim CJ, Shen M, et al. 2018. Epigenetic switch from repressive to permissive chromatin in response to cold stress. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **115**, E5400–E5409.

Pavangadkar K, Thomashow MF, Triezenberg SJ. 2010. Histone dynamics and roles of histone acetyltransferases during cold-induced gene regulation in Arabidopsis. Plant Molecular Biology **74**, 183–200.

Pecinka A, Dinh HQ, Baubec T, Rosa M, Lettner N, Scheid OM. 2010. Epigenetic regulation of repetitive elements is attenuated by prolonged heat stress in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **22**, 3118–3129.

Pfab A, Breindl M, Grasser KD. 2018. The Arabidopsis histone chaperone FACT is required for stress-induced expression of anthocyanin biosynthetic genes. Plant Molecular Biology **96**, 367–374.

Rosa S, De Lucia F, Mylne JS, Zhu D, Ohmido N, Pendle A, Kato N, Shaw P, Dean C. 2013. Physical clustering of FLC alleles during Polycombmediated epigenetic silencing in vernalization. Genes & Development **27**, 1845–1850.

Rosa S, Duncan S, Dean C. 2016. Mutually exclusive sense–antisense transcription at FLC facilitates environmentally induced gene repression. Nature Communications **7**, 13031.

Rutowicz K, Lirski M, Mermaz B, et al. 2019. Linker histones are fine-scale chromatin architects modulating developmental decisions in Arabidopsis. Genome Biology 20, 157.

Rutowicz K, Puzio M, Halibart-Puzio J, et al. 2015. A specialized histone H1 variant is required for adaptive responses to complex abiotic stress and related DNA methylation in arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **169**, 2080–2101.

Samanta S, Thakur JK. 2015. Importance of mediator complex in the regulation and integration of diverse signaling pathways in plants. Frontiers in Plant Science **6**, 757.

Scharf KD, Berberich T, Ebersberger I, Nover L. 2012. The plant heat stress transcription factor (Hsf) family: structure, function and evolution. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta **1819**, 104–119.

Schubert D, Primavesi L, Bishopp A, Roberts G, Doonan J, Jenuwein T, Goodrich J. 2006. Silencing by plant Polycomb-group genes requires dispersed trimethylation of histone H3 at lysine 27. The EMBO Journal **25**, 4638–4649.

Scippa GS, Di Michele M, Onelli E, Patrignani G, Chiatante D, Bray EA. 2004. The histone-like protein H1-S and the response of tomato leaves to water deficit. Journal of Experimental Botany 55, 99–109.

Scippa GS, Griffiths A, Chiatante D, Bray EA. 2000. The H1 histone variant of tomato, H1-S, is targeted to the nucleus and accumulates in chromatin in response to water-deficit stress. Planta **211**, 173–181.

Segala G, Bennesch MA, Pandey DP, Hulo N, Picard D. 2016. Monoubiquitination of histone H2B blocks eviction of histone variant H2A.Z from incible enhancers. Molecular Cell **64**, 334–346.

Sequeira-Mendes J, Aragüez I, Peiró R, Mendez-Giraldez R, Zhang X, Jacobsen SE, Bastolla U, Gutierrez C. 2014. The functional topography of the arabidopsis genome is organized in a reduced number of linear motifs of chromatin states. The Plant Cell **26**, 2351–2366.

Song ZT, Sun L, Lu SJ, Tian Y, Ding Y, Liu JX. 2015. Transcription factor interaction with COMPASS-like complex regulates histone H3K4 trimethylation for specific gene expression in plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 112, 2900–2905.

Sullivan EK, Weirich CS, Guyon JR, Sif S, Kingston RE. 2001. Transcriptional activation domains of human heat shock factor 1 recruit human SWI/SNF. Molecular and Cellular Biology **21**, 5826–5837.

Sung S, Amasino RM. 2004. Vernalization in *Arabidopsis thaliana* is mediated by the PHD finger protein VIN3. Nature **427**, 159–164.

Sura W, Kabza M, Karlowski WM, Bieluszewski T, Kus-Slowinska M, Pawełoszek Ł, Sadowski J, Ziołkowski PA. 2017. Dual role of the histone variant H2A.Z in transcriptional regulation of stress-response genes. The Plant Cell **29**, 791–807.

Swiezewski S, Liu F, Magusin A, Dean C. 2009. Cold-induced silencing by long antisense transcripts of an Arabidopsis Polycomb target. Nature **462**, 799–802.

Tagami H, Ray-Gallet D, Almouzni G, Nakatani Y. 2004. Histone H3.1 and H3.3 complexes mediate nucleosome assembly pathways dependent or independent of DNA synthesis. Cell **116**, 51–61.

Tan-Wong SM, Wijayatilake HD, Proudfoot NJ. 2009. Gene loops function to maintain transcriptional memory through interaction with the nuclear pore complex. Genes & Development **23**, 2610–2624.

Thoma F, Koller T, Klug A. 1979. Involvement of histone H1 in the organization of the nucleosome and of the salt-dependent superstructures of chromatin. Journal of Cell Biology 83, 403–427.

Trevaskis B, Bagnall DJ, Ellis MH, Peacock WJ, Dennis ES. 2003. MADS box genes control vernalization-induced flowering in cereals. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **100**, 13099–13104.

van Hulten M, Pelser M, Loon LC van, Pieterse CMJ, Ton J. 2006. Costs and benefits of priming for defense in Arabidopsis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **103**, 5602–5607.

Voigt P, Tee WW, Reinberg D. 2013. A double take on bivalent promoters. Genes & Development 27, 1318–1338.

Vyse K, Faivre L, Romich M, Pagter M, Schubert D, Hincha DK, Zuther E. 2020. Transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation and transcriptional memory of chromatin regulators in response to low temperature. Frontiers in Plant Science **11**, doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00039.

Wang C, Liu C, Roqueiro D, Grimm D, Schwab R, Becker C, Lanz C, Weigel D. 2015. Genome-wide analysis of chromatin packing in *Arabidopsis thaliana* at single-gene resolution. Genome Research **25**, 246–256.

Wang H, Dittmer TA, Richards EJ. 2013. Arabidopsis CROWDED NUCLEI (CRWN) proteins are required for nuclear size control and heterochromatin organization. BMC Plant Biology **13**, 200.

Waterworth W, Wilson M, Wang D, Nuhse T, Warward S, Selley J, West CE. 2019. Phosphoproteomic analysis reveals plant DNA damage signalling pathways with a functional role for histone H2AX phosphorylation in plant growth under genotoxic stress. The Plant Journal **100**, 1007–1021.

Weng M, Yang Y, Feng H, Pan Z, Shen WH, Zhu Y, Dong A. 2014. Histone chaperone ASF1 is involved in gene transcription activation in response to heat stress in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant, Cell & Environment **37**, 2128–2138.

Wood CC, Robertson M, Tanner G, Peacock WJ, Dennis ES, Helliwell CA. 2006. The *Arabidopsis thaliana* vernalization response requires a polycomblike protein complex that also includes VERNALIZATION INSENSITIVE 3. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **103**, 14631–14636.

Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. 2006. Transcriptional regulatory networks in cellular responses and tolerance to dehydration and cold stresses. Annual Review of Plant Biology **57**, 781–803.

Yan L, Loukoianov A, Tranquilli G, Helguera M, Fahima T, Dubcovsky J. 2003. Positional cloning of the wheat vernalization gene VRN1. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **100**, 6263–6268.

Yang H, Berry S, Olsson TSG, Hartley M, Howard M, Dean C. 2017. Distinct phases of Polycomb silencing to hold epigenetic memory of cold in Arabidopsis. Science **357**, 1142–1145.

Yang H, Howard M, Dean C. 2014. Antagonistic roles for H3K36me3 and H3K27me3 in the cold-induced epigenetic switch at Arabidopsis FLC. Current Biology **24**, 1793–1797.

Yang R, Hong Y, Ren Z, Tang K, Zhang H, Zhu JK, Zhao C. 2019. A role for PICKLE in the regulation of cold and salt stress tolerance in Arabidopsis. Frontiers in Plant Science **10**, 900.

Yelagandula R, Stroud H, Holec S, et al. 2014. The histone variant H2A.W defines heterochromatin and promotes chromatin condensation in Arabidopsis. Cell **158**, 98–109.

Yu X, Martin PGP, Michaels SD. 2019. BORDER proteins protect expression of neighboring genes by promoting 3' Pol II pausing in plants. Nature Communications 10, 4359.

Zeng Z, Zhang W, Marand AP, Zhu B, Buell CR, Jiang J. 2019. Cold stress induces enhanced chromatin accessibility and bivalent histone modifications H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 of active genes in potato. Genome Biology **20**, 123.

Zhang H, Rider SD Jr, Henderson JT, et al. 2008. The CHD3 remodeler PICKLE promotes trimethylation of histone H3 lysine 27. Journal of Biological Chemistry **283**, 22637–22648.

Zhang X, Bernatavichute YV, Cokus S, Pellegrini M, Jacobsen SE. 2009. Genome-wide analysis of mono-, di- and trimethylation of histone H3 lysine 4 in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Genome Biology **10**, R62.

Zhu J-K. 2016. Abiotic stress signaling and responses in plants. Cell 167, 313–324.
Zuther E, Schaarschmidt S, Fischer A, Erban A, Pagter M, Mubeen U, Giavalisco P, Kopka J, Sprenger H, Hincha DK. 2019. Molecular signatures associated with increased freezing tolerance due to low temperature

memory in Arabidopsis. Plant, Cell & Environment 42, 854-873.