

How to elicit semi-spontaneous focus realizations with specific tonal patterns *

Susanne Genzel & Frank Kügler

Universität Potsdam

This article presents a situation description production experiment investigating the interaction between syntax and information structure in Akan, a tone language that belongs to the Kwa branch of the Niger-Congo family spoken in Ghana. Information structure was elicited via context questions that put the object in narrow informational focus or narrow corrective focus while controlling for the tonal structure of the target word. Contrary to the prediction that corrective focus is marked by fronting and morphological marking of the focused constituent the data suggest that the in-situ strategy is the preferred one.

Keywords: Akan, information structure, syntax

1 Introduction

Akan is a tone language exhibiting lexical high (H) and low (L) tones (Dolphyne 1988). It is one of the major languages of Ghana spoken by about 8.3 million people (Lewis (ed.) 2009), belonging to the Kwa languages, within the Niger-Congo phylum (Storch 2001). This paper focuses on Asante-Twi, although throughout the paper we will use the name Akan. This is in order to preclude tonal and segmental differences among the dialects (for a detailed discussion about the differences see Cahill 1985; Dolphyne 1988; Abakah 2002; 2005 and

*Our gratitude goes to the University of Ghana, especially to Dr. Kofi Saah and Dr. Kofi Dorvlo. We would like to thank Afua Blay and Akua Appiah-Akuramaa for help with the glossing and translation, Steffen Schuster for the artistic realization, all our participants and Ines Fiedler, Anne Schwarz, Markus Greif and Stavros Skopeteas for their helpful comments. The research was funded by the DFG (SFB 632).

Abakah & Koranteng 2007 among others). Akan is a SVO language (Kobele & Torrence 2006). The following example (1) illustrates this.

- (1) Kofi b - ¹ ama
 kofi hit-PST² ama
 ‘Kofi hit Ama.’

(adapted from Kobele & Torrence 2006, p. 162 gloss adjusted)

A simple clause as in (1) uttered without any further context is considered to be used with information focus. The usage of information structural terminology is inspired by Krifka (2008) distinguishing between information and correction focus. Both can be either wide or narrow, and refer to the corresponding alternatives that the context may evoke. If a constituent appears in its base position we will refer to this construction as *in-situ*, while the term *ex-situ* means that the constituent is moved from its base position to a designated focus position. Narrow focused constituents in Akan can be left *in-situ*, or marked by an *ex-situ* construction which shows the following characteristics:

- (i) left-peripheral dislocation of a constituent
- (ii) introduction of a clitic morpheme after the dislocated element
- (iii) pronoun resumption in a canonical clause position

(Marfo & Bodo mo 2005, p. 180)

If, for example, the direct object in (1) is narrowly focused it moves to the left periphery and is followed by the focus marker *na*. The following example (2) illustrates this.

¹ In the examples we will use the Akan orthography as laid out in Dolphyne (1988).

² The abbreviations used follow the Leipzig Glossing Rules (Comrie et al. 2008).

- (2) (ε-yε) ama na kofi bɔ-ɔ no (no)³
 PRO-be.PRS ama FM kofi hit-PST PRO the
 ‘It was Ama who Kofi hit.’

(adapted from Kobele & Torrence 2006, p. 164 gloss and bracketing adjusted)

All NPs can be pronominalized in object position, the resumptive pronoun is overt if the dislocated element is animate and covert if it is inanimate (Saah, 1988). According to Boadi (1974) two particles, *na* and *deε*, may function as the clitic morpheme after the dislocated element. *Na* is characterized by him as exclusive focus marker, which narrows down the referential range of the constituent it attaches to and places it in an exclusive class so that it contrasts with other members of the sets of alternatives (Boadi 1974, p. 7). *Deε* however is not exclusive. Moreover it presupposes a weaker commitment of the speaker towards the new information (see Ameka 2010 for an analysis of *deε* as topic marker). *Na* can be used to mark any constituent whereas *deε* is more distributionally limited (Boadi 1974, p. 9). Concerning the prosodic marking of the ex-situ constructions, Boadi (1974) claims that L toned *na*-focused elements are raised to H and that H toned elements are raised one step higher (Boadi 1974, p. 19).

In Akan, focus-constructions and wh-questions show interesting common characteristics (Saah 1988, p. 26). With respect to wh-questions, Saah (1988) and Kobele & Torrence (2006) report that Akan has two options for wh-placement: the wh-element may appear in-situ (3a) or ex-situ (3b). In the ex-situ variant the fronted question word is also followed by the focus marker *na*.

³ Brackets indicate optionality.

(3) a. Kofi b    hena
 kofi hit-PST who
 ‘Who did Kofi hit?’

b. Hena na o-b    kofi
 who FM PRO-hit-PST kofi
 ‘Who is it that Kofi hit?’

(adapted from Kobele & Torrence 2006, pp. 165–166 gloss adjusted)

This is interesting for us, because the two options (3a) and (3b) are not equally distributed. The in-situ strategy seems to be more restricted in use. The *wh*-element has to be moved out of its base position if it is on the right of a negation particle, and/ or focus sensitive particles like *only*, or *even*, and in imbedded clauses (Kobele & Torrence 2006). Saah (1988) reports a slight semantic difference between the two *wh*-constructions with ex-situ *wh*-constructions being more emphatic. A similar claim is made by Ermisch (2006). For the focus construction she reports on a difference in marking between informational focus and identificational focus⁴. Informational focus goes along with the in-situ construction and identificational focus is marked by the ex-situ construction and has an exhaustive reading. Marfo & Bodomo (2005) also observe that a constituent cannot be contrastively focused in-situ in Akan (Marfo & Bodomo 2005, p. 187). It is not clear how exhaustive this statement is meant since they only show that in-situ focus with *na* is ungrammatical.

What becomes evident from this review is that there are two possible constructions for marking narrow focus in Akan, in-situ and ex-situ. Whereas the former is said to occur with informational focus, the latter with corrective focus. The dependence on focus type as put forward by Ermisch (2006) and Saah (1988) will be tested here.

⁴ We use the term corrective focus instead of identificational focus (see Krifka 2008).

2 Method

Eliciting information structure can be done in many ways. If the researcher has an advanced knowledge of the language under observation and is familiar with the different focus strategies a simple question-answer design brings good results in the laboratory. The main advance is that the researcher can fully control presuppositions and factors involved. Difficulties arise if the available literature does not exhaustively cover speaker's preferences of the possible strategies and/or environments of usage of one or the other syntactic strategy as it is the case for Akan. Before one can run a controlled experiment about e.g. the prosodic expression of focus in any language one should know the environment in which it is natural to use the one or the other syntactic strategy.

The Questionnaire on Information Structure (QUIS, Skopeteas et al. 2006) is a powerful tool to test for information structural effects. To kill two birds with one stone we adopted one of the QUIS tasks, the *Description of single situation*. First we wanted to find out about possible strategies of focus realisation for narrow informational focus and narrow corrective focus applied by the speakers, and which of the two attested is the preferred one for a controlled experiment testing the prosodic expression of focus in Akan (see Kügler & Genzel, re-submitted). And second we wanted to elicit a semi-spontaneous dataset with the same tonal configurations as used in the controlled experiment (see Kügler & Genzel, re-submitted).

2.1 Material

Figure 1 shows the two adapted pictures designed for the *Description of a single situation* task.



Figure 1 Adopted pictures for the description of a single situation task.

The picture on the left panel in Figure 1 illustrates the situation of the test sentence (4a) and the picture on the right of the sentence (4b).

- (4) a. Agyeman boa-a addo anopy yi
 agymean help-PST addo morning this
 ‘Agyeman helped Addo this morning.’
- b. Anum t -  amango anopa yi
 anum buy-PST mango morning this
 ‘Anum bought mangos⁵ this morning.’

To elicit the desired information structure the following pre-recorded questions (5) and (6) were used. The first question (5a) was used for both pictures to make sure that the participants understood the situation displayed (5a = 6a).

- (5/6)a. De ben na wo-hunu w  saa mfonɪ yi mu
 what FM PRO-see.PRS be_at_a_place.PRS this picture this in
 ‘What do you see on this picture?’

The question in (5b) seeks to elicit narrow informational focus on the object. It is a wh-question asking for the object. The third question (5c) seeks to elicit

⁵ We translate *amango* as plural ‘mangos’, although there is a plural form *mmango* which is not used in our sample below. In the picture more than one mango is displayed and even if *amango* is used with the numeral *many* the speakers did use it in its singular form.

narrow corrective focus on the object by contrasting it with another name in the question.

- (5) b. Hwan na agyeman boa-a anɔpa yi
 who FM agyeman help-PST morning this
 ‘Whom did Agyeman help this morning?’
- c. Agyeman boa-a anum anɔpa yi
 agyeman help-PST anum morning this
 ‘Did Agyeman help Anum this morning?’

The question in (6b) elicits narrow informational focus on the object in the picture on the right panel in Figure 1. Question (6c) elicits narrow corrective focus on the object by contrasting it with another comestible good in the question.

- (6) b. ɛdeen na anum tɔ-ɔ anɔpa yi
 what FM anum buy-PST morning this
 ‘What did Anum buy this morning?’
- c. Anum boa-a kobi anɔpa yi
 anum buy-PST salty fish morning this
 ‘Did Anum buy salty fish this morning?’

2.2 Procedure

The pictures illustrating the situations (Figure 1) were presented to the participants on paper. They were asked to answer the pre-recorded wh-questions (see (5)–(6) above) in a natural way, using full sentences. The participants were informed that the whole situation happened *this morning* and were instructed to use the temporal information when answering the questions.

The questions were spoken by a young female native speaker and were recorded in a quiet room in Berlin directly on a laptop (Levono R61) using Audacity (Version 1.2.6) and a headset (Logitech Internet Chat Headset). The microphone was an electret condenser type with sensitivity of -39 dBV/Pascal.

The participants heard the pre-recorded questions over headphones. The headphones were binaural with a frequency spectrum from 20-20000 Hz and an acoustic impedance of 32 Ohm with an integrated volume control, so that every participant could adjust the volume. The answers were digitally recorded on a laptop (Levono R61) using Audacity (Version 1.2.6) in a quiet room in the Linguistics Department at the University of Ghana using the same headset.

2.3 Participants

Eleven native speakers of Akan (6 female and 5 male) as spoken in and around Kumasi participated in the experiment. Eight participants were students of the University of Ghana in Accra. For the other three, one was doing his national service in Kumasi, another working in the pharmacy, and the third one working as university lecturer. The average age was 26 years. All speakers declared English as their second language. Each speaker was paid a small fee for participation.

3 Results

In this section we list all answers given by the participants with the number of the question first and the number of the participant as second digit separated by a dot (e.g. (5a.9) is the answer to question 5a from speaker 9).

- (5a.1) Me-hunu sɛ agyeman re-bo a-yi addo afiri
 PRO-see.PRS that agyeman PROG-help PRO-take_out.PRS addo from
 nsuo no mu anɔpa yi
 water the out morning this
 ‘I see that Agyeman is helping to take Addo out of the water
 this morning.’
- (5b.1) Agyeman boa-a addo anɔpa yi
 agyemean help-PST addo morning this
 ‘Agyeman helped Addo this morning.’
- (5c.1) Daabi agyeman boa-a addo anɔpa yi
 no agyemean help-PST addo morning this
 ‘No! Agyeman helped Addo this morning.’
- (5a.2) Me-hunu agyeman ene addo
 PRO-see.PRS agyeman and addo
 ‘I see Agyeman and Addo.’
 Me-hunu sɛ addo ɛ-wɔ nsuo emu
 PRO-see.PRS that addo PRO-be_at_a_place.PRS water in
 ‘I see that Addo is in the water.’
 Me-hunu sɛ agyeman nso e-te kodoɔ bi mu
 PRO-see.PRS that agyeman also PROG-sit boat one in
 ‘I see that Agyeman is sitting in a boat.’
 Addo atene ne nsa ma agyeman e-fa no ɛ-si
 addo hold.PRS PRO hand out agyeman PROG-take PRO PRO-stand.PRS
 kodoɔ no mu
 boat the in
 ‘Addo holds out his hand for Agyeman for taking him, he stands in the
 boat.’
- (5b.2) Agyeman boa-a addo
 agyemean help-PST addo
 ‘Agyeman helped Addo.’
- (5c.2) Daabi addo na agyeman boa-a no anɔpa yi
 no addo FM agyeman help-PST PRO morning this
 ‘No! It was Addo who Agyeman helped this morning.’

- (5a.3) Me-hunu sɛ agyeman ɛ-te kodoɔ mu
 PRO-see.PRS that agyeman PRO-sit.PRS boat in
 ‘I see that Agyeman sits in a boat.’
 Na addo nso da nsuo mu a agymean pɛɛ
 and addo also lie.PRS water in and agymean want.PRS
 ɔ-boa no na ɔ-yi no firi nsuo no mu
 PRO-help.PRS PRO and PRO-take_out.PRS PRO from water the out
 ‘And Addo also lies in the water and Agyeman wants to take him
 out of the water.’
- (5b.3) Agyeman boa-a addo anɔpa yi
 agymean help-PST addo morning this
 ‘Agyeman helped Addo this morning.’
- (5c.3) Daabi agyeman boa-a addo anɔpa yi
 no agymean help-PST addo morning this
 ‘No! Agyeman helped Addo this morning.’
- (5a.4) Me-hunu sɛ akɔdaa bi a yɛ-frɛ no addo ɔ-da
 PRO-see.PRS that child one who PASS-call PRO addo PRO-lie.PRS
 nsuo mu
 water in
 ‘I see that a child who is called Addo lies in the water.’
 Nsuo de no ɛ-ko na agyeman
 water do_sth_with.PRS PRO PRO-drawn.PRS and agyeman
 ɛ-pɛ
 PRO-want.PRS
 ɔ-boa na yi no firi nsuo no mu
 PRO-help.PRS and take_out.PRS PRO from water the out
 ‘The water wants to drawn him and Agyeman wants to help him and
 take him out of the water.’
- (5b.4) Agyeman boa-a addo
 agymean help-PST addo
 ‘Agyeman helped Addo.’
- (5c.4) Daabi ɔ-boa-a addo
 no PRO-help-PST addo
 ‘No! He helped Addo.’

(5a.5) Me-hunu sɛ addo a-tɔ nsuo mu na agyeman pɛsɛ
 PRO-see.PRS that addo PERF-fall water in and agyeman want.PRS
 ɔ-yi no firi mu
 PRO-take_out.PRS PRO from out
 ‘I see that Addo fell in the water and Agyeman wants to take him out.’

(5b.5) Agyeman boa-a addo anɔpa yi
 agyemean help-PST addo morning this
 ‘Agyeman helped Addo this morning.’

(5c.5) Daabi agyeman boa-a addo anɔpa yi
 no agyemean help-PST addo morning this
 ‘No! Agyeman helped Addo this morning.’

(5a.6) Me-hu m-barima mmieniu
 PRO-see.PRS PL-man two
 ‘I see two men.’
 Agyeman te suhyema mu w-a-tene ne nsa pɛ
 agyeman sit.PRS boat in PRO-PERF-stretch PRO hand want.PRS
 sɛ ɔ-sɔ addo mu addo da nsuo no mu
 that PRO-hold.PRS addo in addo lie.PRS water the in
 ‘Agyeman sits in a boat, his hands are stretched, he wants to hold Addo, Addo lies in the water.’

(5b.6) Agyeman boa-a addo
 agyemean help-PST addo
 ‘Agyeman helped Addo.’

(5c.6) Mepawokyɛw daabi ɔ-m-boa-a anum
 please no PRO-NEG-help-PST anum
 ‘Please no! He did not help Anum.’

- (5a.7) Me-hu sɛ addo ɛ-da nsuo mu na agyeman gyina
 PRO-see.PRS that addo PRO-lie.PRS water in and agyeman stand.PRS
 kodoɔ mu nanso nsuo re-fa addo nti agyeman pɛ sɛ
 boat in but water PROG-take addo so agyeman want.PRS that
 ɔ-twe no a-ba kodoɔ no mu
 PRO-pull.PRS PRO PERF-come boat the in
 ‘I see that Addo lies in the water and Agyeman stands in the boat
 but the water is taking Addo so Agyeman wants to pull him to come
 in the boat.’
- (5b.7) Addo
 addo
 ‘Addo!’
- (5c.7) Daabi daabi ɛ-n-yɛ addo na ɔ-boa no
 no no PRO-NEG-be.PRS addo FM PRO-help.PRS PRO
 ‘No! No! It is not Addo whom he helps!’
- (5a.8) Me-hu sɛ addo da nsuo mu agyeman ɛ-te hyɛn
 PRO-see.PRS that addo lie.PRS water in agyeman PRO-sit.PRS ship
 mu a ɔ-pɛ sɛ ɔ-yi no firi nsuo no mu
 in who PRO-want.PRS that PRO-take_out.PRS PRO from water the in
 ‘I see that Addo lies in the water Agyeman sits in a ship he wants to
 take him out of the water!’
- (5b.8) Agyeman boa-a addo anɔpa yi
 agyeman help-PST addo morning this
 ‘Agyeman helped Addo this morning.’
- (5c.8) Daabi agyeman boa-a addo anɔpa yi
 no agyeman help-PST addo morning this
 ‘No! Agyeman helped Addo this morning.’

- (5a.9) Saa mfonɪ yi mu ɔbarima yɛ-frɛ no agyeman a ɔ-te
 this picture this in man PASS-call PRO agyeman who PRO-sit.PRS
 ɛhyɛn bi mu
 ship one in
 ‘In this picture: a man called Agyeman who is in a ship.’
 W-a-tene ne nsa de re-ma abofra bi a yɛ-frɛ
 PRO-PERF-stretch PRO hand for PROG-give child one who PASS-call
 no addo
 PRO addo
 ‘He has stretched his hand for giving it to a child who is called
 Addo.’
 Addo da nsuo mu na ɔno nso a-tene ne nsa de
 addo lie.PRS water in and PRO also PERF-stretch PRO hand for
 a-ma agyeman
 PERF-give agyeman
 ‘Addo lies in the water and he has also stretched his hands for
 giving it to Agyeman.’
- (5b.9) Anɔpa yi agyeman boa-a abofra bi a yɛ-frɛ no addo
 Morning this agyeman help-PST child one who PASS-call PRO addo
 ‘This morning Agyeman helped a child who is called Addo.’
- (5c.9) Daabi agyeman boa-a addo
 no agyeman help-PST addo
 ‘No! Agyeman helped Addo.’
- (5a.10) Me-hu sɛ addo da nsuo no mu na agyeman nso
 PRO-see.PRS that addo lie.PRS water the in and agyeman also
 ɛ-te kodoɔ no mu na w-a-tene ne nsa pɛ
 PRO-sit.PRS boat the in and PRO-PERF-stretch PRO hand want.PRS
 sɛ ɔ-sɔ agyeman nso nsa
 that PRO-hold.PRS agyeman also hand
 ‘I see that Addo lies in the water and Agyeman sits in the boat also
 and he has stretched his hand wanting to hold Agyeman’s hand too.’
- (5b.10) Agyeman boa-a addo anɔpa yi
 agyeman help-PST addo morning this
 ‘Agyeman helped Addo this morning.’

(5c.10) Daabi agyeman boa-a addo anɔpa yi
 no agymean help-PST addo morning this
 ‘No! Agyeman helped Addo this morning.’

(5a.11) Mfoni yi mu me-hu sɛ addo a-tɔ nsuo no mu a
 Picture this in PRO-see.PRES that addo PERF-fall water the in who
 neho re-kyere no
 himself PROG-point_out PRO
 ‘In the picture I see that Addo has fallen in the water he is calling for his
 attention.’
 Agyeman a-tene ne nsa sɛ ɔ-re-sɔ ne mu na
 agyeman PERF-stretch PRO hand that PRO-PROG-hold PRO in and
 w-boa no a-ma n-a-foro kodoɔ no sɛdɛɛ
 PRO-help.PRS PRO PERF-give PRO-PROG-climb boat the so
 ɛ-bɛ-yɛ o-bɛ-nya nkwa sɛdɛɛ ɛ-bɛ yɛ nsuo no
 PRO-FUT-be PRO-FUT-get life so PRO-FUT-be water the
 m-fa no
 NEG-take.PRS PRO
 ‘Agyeman has stretched his hand to drip him and help him climb into the
 boat so that the water will not take him.’

(5b.11) Agyeman boa-a addo anɔpa yi
 agymean help-PST addo morning this
 ‘Agyeman helped Addo this morning.’

(5c.11) Daabi agyeman boaa addo anɔpa yi
 no agymean help-PST addo morning this
 ‘No! Agyeman helped Addo this morning.’

- (6a.1) Me-hunu sɛ anum re-tɔ amango wɔ
 PRO-see.PRS that anum PROG-buy mango be_at_a_place.PRS
 mfonɪ no mu
 picture the in
 ‘I see that Anum is buying mangos in the picture.’
 Me-hunu ɔba a ɔ-tɔn amango wɔ
 PRO-see.PRS woman who PRO-sell.PRS mango be_at_a_place.PRS
 mfonɪ no mu
 picture the in
 ‘I see a woman who is selling mangos in the picture.’
 Me nso hunu dua wɔ mfonɪ no mu
 PRO also see.PRS tree be_at_a_place.PRS picture the in
 ‘I also see a tree in the picture.’
 Me-hunu sɛ amango gu ɛponɔ so wɔ mfonɪ
 PRO-see.PRS that mango on table much be_at_a_place.PRS picture
 no mu
 the in
 ‘I see a lot of mangos on the table in the picture.’
 Me-hunu sɛ anum a-ma ne nsa so
 PRO-see.PRS that anum PERF-lift PRO hand up
 ‘I see that Anum has lifted his hands up.’
- (6b.1) Anum tɔ-ɔ amango anɔpa yi
 anum buy-PST mango morning this
 ‘Anum bought mangos this morning.’
- (6c.1) Daabi Anum tɔ-ɔ amango anɔpa yi
 no anum buy-PST mango morning this
 ‘No! Anum bought mangos this morning.’

- (6a.2) Me-hunu abranteε bi
 PRO-see.PRS boy one
 ‘I see a boy.’
 Me-hunu amango
 PRO-see.PRS mango
 ‘I see mangos.’
 Me-hunu maame bi nso
 PRO-see.PRS woman one also
 ‘I also see a woman.’
 Afei me-hunu εpono sε amango gu so
 now PRO-see.PRS table that mango on much
 ‘Now I see a table with a lot of mangos on it.’
 Me-hunu dua bi nso sε ε-wo
 PRO-see.PRS tree one also that PRO-be_at_a_place.PRS
 ahaban bebere
 leaves many
 ‘I see also a tree with many leaves.’
 Me-hunu kenten bi so sε ε-si
 PRO-see.PRS basket one also that PRO-be_situated.PRS
 εpono ase
 table under
 ‘I see also a basket under the table.’
 Me-hunu sε abranteε no nso kura sika
 PRO-see.PRS that boy PRO also carry.PRS money
 ‘I see that a boy carries money.’
- (6b.2) Anum tɔ-ɔ amango
 anum buy-PST mango
 ‘Anum bought mangos.’
- (6c.2) Daabi Anum tɔ-ɔ amango anɔpa yi
 no anum buy-PST mango morning this
 ‘No! Anum bought mangos this morning.’

(6a.3) Me-hunu barima bi a y-akyerε natadεε addo mu
 PRO-see.PRS man one who PASS-write shirt addo in
 ‘I see a man, his shirt has the inscription Addo.’
 Me-hunu sε maame bi nso re-tɔn amango
 PRO-see.PRS that woman one also PROG-sell mangos
 ‘I also see that a woman is selling mangos.’
 Na barima no ε-yε sε ɔ-pεsε ɔ-tɔ mango
 And man the PRO-be.PRS that PRO-want.PRS PRO-buy.PRS mango
 no bi
 the some
 ‘And it is the man that wants to buy some mangos.’
 Me-hunu adua nso sε e-si maame no akyi
 PRO-see.PRS tree also that PRO-stand.PRS woman the behind
 ‘I see also a tree that stands behind the woman.’
 Adua no ε-yε ahahanmono
 tree the PRO-be.PRS green
 ‘The tree is green.’

(6b.3) Anum tɔ-ɔ amango anɔpa yi
 anum buy-PST mango morning this
 ‘Anum bought mangos this morning.’

(6c.3) Daabi anum tɔ-ɔ amango anɔpa yi
 no anum buy-PST mango morning this
 ‘No! Anum bought mangos this morning.’

(6a.4) Me-hunu sε maame bi ε-tɔn amango ena akɔdaa bi
 PRO-see.PRS that woman one PROG-sell mango and child one
 gyina ne nykɛn ε-tɔ bi
 PROG-stand⁶ PRO nearby PRO-buy.PRS some
 ‘I see that a woman is selling mangos and a child is standing
 nearby her, he wants to buy some mangos.’

(6b.4) ɔ-tɔ-ɔ amango
 PRO-buy-PST mango
 ‘He bought mangos.’

⁶ Aspect is marked by a tonal shift (Dolphyne 1988, pp. 67–68).

- (6c.4) Daabi ɔ-tɔ-ɔ amango wa-n-tɔ kɔbi
 no PRO-buy-PST mango PRO-NEG-buy.PRS salty fish
 ‘No! He bought mangos not salty fish.’
- (6a.5) Me-hunu sɛ anum gyina maame bi a ɔ-tɔn
 PRO-see.PRS that anum PROG-stand woman one who PRO-sell.PRS
 amango ho
 mango aside
 ‘I see that Anum is standing next to a woman who sells mangos.’
 Maame no ɔ-tɔn amango no wɔ dua ase
 woman the PRO-sell.PRS mangos the be_at_a_place.PRS tree under
 ‘The woman sells mangos under the tree.’
- (6b.5) Anum tɔ-ɔ amango anɔpa yi
 anum buy-PST mango morning this
 ‘Anum bought mangos this morning.’
- (6c.5) Daabi anum tɔ-ɔ amango anɔpa yi
 no anum buy-PST mango morning this
 ‘No! Anum bought mangos this morning.’

- (6a.6) Me-hu abaamoa bi yε-frε no anum ɔ-gyina maame
 PRO-see.PRS boy one PASS-call PRO anum PRO-stand.PRS woman
 bi nkyɛn
 one side
 ‘I see a boy called Anum he stands next to a woman.’
 Maame no tɔn amango na ɔ-pɛ sɛ ɔ-tɔ
 woman the sell.PRS mango and PRO-want.PRS that PRO-buy.PRS
 amango no bi
 mango the some
 ‘The woman sells mangos and he wants to buy some mangos.’
 Maame no te dua bi ase na w-a-yehyε amango
 woman the sit.PRS tree one under and PRO-PERF-arrange mango
 no wɔ ɛponɔ no so
 the be_at_a_place.PRS table the top
 ‘The woman sits under a tree and has arranged the mangos on
 top of the table.’
 Abaamoa no yε-frε no anum no a-pɛgya ne nsa sɛ
 boy the PASS-call PRO anum PRO PERF-lift PRO hand that
 ɔ-re-kyea maame no a ɔ-tɔn amango no
 PRO-PROG-greet woman the who PRO-sell.PRS mango the
 ‘The boy called Anum has lifted his hand for greeting the woman who
 sells the mangos.’
 Me-hu sɛ anɔpa yi anum re-kɔtɔ amango
 PRO-see.PRS that morning this anum PROG-go_buy mango
 wɔ dua bi ase
 be_at_a_place.PRS tree one under
 ‘I see that this morning Anum goes to buy mangos under the tree.’

- (6b.6) Anum tɔ-ɔ amango
 anum buy-PST mango
 ‘Anum bought mangos.’

- (6c.6) Daabi a-n-tɔ-ɔ kobi
 no PRO-NEG-buy-PST salty fish
 ‘No! Anum did not buy salty fish.’

(6a.7) Me-hu s  an pa yi na anum  -re-k t  amango
 PRO-see.PRS that morning this PST anum PRO-PROG-go_buy mango
 w  dua bi ase
 be_at_a_place.PRS tree one under
 ‘I see that this morning, Anum was going to buy mangos under a tree.’

(6b.7) Dee me-hu  -y  amango
 what PRO-see.PRS PRO-be.PRS mango
 ‘What I see are mangos.’

(6c.7) Daabi  -t -  amango
 no PRO-buy-PST mango
 ‘No! He bought mango.’

(6a.8) Me-hu s  an pa yi anum re- -k t  amango
 PRO-see.PRS that morning this anum PROG-PRO-go_buy mango
 w  dua bi ase
 be_at_a_place.PRS tree one under
 ‘I see that this morning Anum is going to buy mangos under the tree.’

(6b.8) Anum t -  amango an pa yi
 anum buy-PST mango morning this
 ‘Anum bought mangos this morning.’

(6c.8) Daabi Anum t -  amango an pa yi
 no anum buy-PST mango morning this
 ‘No! Anum bought mangos this morning.’

- (6a.9) Anum hyɛ hyɛti akokɔsradeɛ
 anum wear.PRS shirt yellow
 ‘Anum wears a yellow shirt.’
 Maame no nso ɛ-fra ntoma
 woman the also PROG-dress cloth
 ‘The woman is also dressed in cloth.’
 Kɔkɔɔ kakra wɔ mu na w-a-san a-bɔ
 red little be_at_a_place.PRS in and PRO-PERF-also PERF-create
 duku
 bandana
 ‘There is a little bit of red inside and she also has wrapped a bandana.’
 Wɔ-gyina dua ahabanmono bi ase
 PRO-stand.PRS tree green one under
 ‘They stand under a green tree.’
- (6b.9) Anɔpa yi anum tɔ-ɔ amango
 morning this anum buy-PST mango
 ‘This morning Anum bought mangos.’
- (6c.9) Daabi anɔpa yi anum tɔ-ɔ amango
 no morning this anum buy-PST mango
 ‘No! This morning Anum bought mangos.’
- (6a.10) Me-hu abranteɛ bi yɛ-frɛ no anum ɔ-pe sɛ
 PRO-see.PRS boy one PASS-call PRO anum PRO-want.PRS that
 ɔ-tɔ amango
 PRO-buy.PRS mango
 ‘I see a boy called Anum he wants to buy mangos.’
 Afei nso me-hu maame bi a ɔ-tɔn amango
 again also PRO-see.PRS woman one who PRO-sell.PRS mango
 ‘Again I see also a woman who sells mangos.’
 Amango pii nso gu ɛpon no so
 mango many also on table the top
 ‘Also many mangos lie on top of the table.’
 Saa nso na me-hu dua bi wɔ maame no
 this also and PRO-see.PRS tree one be_at_a_place.PRS woman the
 akyi
 back
 ‘This too and I see a tree behind the woman.’

(6b.10) Amango pii nso gu pono no so
 mango many also on table the top
 ‘Many mangos, on top of the table too.’

(6c.10) Daabi anum tɔ-ɔ amango anɔpa yi
 no anum buy-PST mango morning this
 ‘No! Anum bought mangos this morning.’

(6a.11) Me-hu sɛ maame bi re-tɔn n-uaba bi na abrantee
 PRO-see.PRS that woman one PROG-sell PL-fruit some and boy
 bi nso a-gyina ne nkyɛn sɛ ebia ɔ-pɛ sɛ
 one also PERF-stand PRO side that perhaps PRO-want.PRS that
 ɔ-tɔ bi
 PRO-buy.PRS some
 ‘I see a woman she is selling some fruits and a boy is standing beside her
 too, maybe he wants to buy some.’

(6b.11) Anum tɔ-ɔ amango anɔpa yi
 anum buy-PST mango morning this
 ‘Anum bought mangos this morning.’

(6c.11) Daabi! Mango na anum tɔ-ɔ no anɔpa yi
 no mango FM anum buy-PST PRO morning this
 ‘No! It is mango that Anum bought this morning.’

First of all the answers to questions (5a) and (6a) show that most of the participants conceived the situation displayed correctly. In the sample (6a.9) the participant does not describe the action displayed, emphasis is put on the description of cloth and landscape. Nonetheless the participant answered the follow up questions as expected.

Concerning the information structural marking the results show that in case of narrow informational focus out of 22 answers no usage of the ex-situ construction could be observed. There is remarkably little variation in the data. We find one single word utterance, (5b.7), repeating only the questioned object,

two other constructions (6b.7) and (6b.10) but with no sign of fronting and/or morphological focus marking. Participant 7 uses a question word followed by the verb *see* and the questioned object, in the sample of participant 10 we see that the questioned object appears in the left periphery of the sentence but without the designated focus maker *na*, followed by a locative construction. Furthermore we find one instance of pronominalization (6b.4).

In the context of corrective focus three out of 22 answers are realized ex-situ (5c.2, 5c.7, 6c.11). Concerning variation we find two instances of pronominalization (5c.4, 6c.7) two participants used a negation on the verb (5c.7, 6c.6) and two combined pronominalization and negation on the verb (5c.6, 6c.4).

4 Discussion

We wanted to test the interaction of information structure and syntactic structure in Akan. Inspired by the work of Ermisch (2006) we hypothesized that narrow informational focus is not syntactically marked i.e. the focused constituent remains in-situ (see also Hartmann & Zimmermann 2007 for comparable results in Hausa). The results of the situation description task support Ermisch's claim. What is more surprising is that the in-situ strategy is the preferred one, even with narrow corrective focus contra Marfo & Bodomo (2005) and Ermisch (2006).⁷ This does not mean that there are no linguistic means of focus marking at all in case of in-situ focus. In our study on the prosodic marking of focus in

⁷ One reviewer asked for question-answer congruency, which is also known as syntactic priming (see Bock 1986). We do not see any influence of syntactic priming, the wh-question asking for an informational focus shows a fronted wh-word which is followed by the focus marker *na*. The question eliciting corrective focus is an in-situ construction. If syntactic priming would matter here, we would have expected more ex-situ answers for informational focus, but the reverse was the case.

Akan (Kügler & Genzel re-submitted) we show contra Boadi (1974) that corrective focus is prosodically marked by means of register lowering on the corrective focused element and on subsequent post-focal constituents regardless of tonal specification. Narrow informational focus remains also prosodically unmarked.

Reference

- Abakah, Emmanuel N. 2002. The low tone in Akan. In *Aktuelle Forschungen zu afrikanischen Sprachen*, ed. Theda Schumann, Mechthild Reh, Roland Kießling & Ludwig Gerhardt, 193–210. Köln: Köppe.
- Abakah, Emmanuel N. 2005. Tone rules in Akan. *Journal of West African Languages* XXXII (1/2), 109–134.
- Abakah, Emmanuel N. & Koranteng, Louisa. 2007. The Interaction of Tone, Syntax and Semantics in Akan. *Studies in the Languages of the Volta Basin*, 4 (2), 63–86.
- Ameka, Felix K. 2010. Information packaging constructions in Kwa: micro-variation and typology. In *Topics in Kwa syntax*, eds. Enoch O. Aboh & James Essegbey, 141–176. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Boadi, Laurence A. (1974). Focus-marking in Akan. *Linguistics* 140, 5–57.
- Bock, Kathryn J. 1986. Syntactic persistence in language production. *Cognitive Psychology* 18, 355 – 387.
- Cahill, Michael. 1985. An autosegmental analysis of nasality and tone, MA-thesis, Texas: Arlington.
- Comrie, Bernard., Haspelmath, Martin & Bickel, Balthasar. 2008. The Leipzig Glossing Rules: Conventions for interlinear morpheme-by-morpheme glosses. Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology and University of Leipzig. (<http://www.eva.mpg.de/lingua/resources/glossing-rules.php>).
- Dolphyne, Florence A. 1988. *The Akan (Twi-Fante) language: Its sound systems and tonal structure*. Ghane: Ghana University Press.
- Ermisch, Sonja. 2006. Focus and Topic constructions in Akan. In *Focus and*

- Topic*, ed. Sonja Ermisch, *Frankfurter Afrikanistische Blätter* 18, 51–68. Köln: Rüdiger Köppe.
- Hartmann, Katharina & Zimmermann, Malte. 2007. In Place – Out of Place? Focus in Hausa. In *On Information Structure, Meaning and Form: Generalizing Across Languages*, ed. Kerstin Schwabe, Susanne Winkler. 365–403, Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Kobe, Gregory & Torrence, Harold. 2006. Intervention and focus in Asante Twi. *ZAS Papers in Linguistics* 46, 161–184.
- Krifka, Manfred. 2008. Basic notions of information structure, *Acta Linguistica Hungarica* 55, 243–276.
- Kügler, Frank & Genzel, Susanne. re-submitted. On the interaction of tonal register and pragmatic prominence – The case of tonal lowering in Akan. *Language and Speech*.
- Lewis, Paul M. (ed.) 2009. *Ethnologue: Languages of the World, Sixteenth edition*. Dallas, Tex.: SIL International. (Online version: <http://www.ethnologue.com/>).
- Marfo, Charles & Bodomo, Adams. 2005. Information structuring in Akan question-word fronting and focus constructions. *Studies in African Linguistics*, 34 (2), 179–208.
- Saah, Kofi K. 1988. Wh-questions in Akan. *Journal of West African Languages*. XVIII 1, 17–28.
- Skopeteas, Stavros, Fiedler, Ines, Hellmuth, Samantha, Schwarz, Anne, Stoel, Ruben, Fanselow, Gisbert, Féry, Caroline & Krifka, Manfred. 2006. Questionnaire on Information Structure (QUIS). *Interdisciplinary Studies on Information Structure (ISIS)* 4. Potsdam: Universitätsverlag.
- Storch, Anne. 2001. Niger-Kongo. In *Das Afrika-Lexikon. Ein Kontinent in 1000 Stichwörtern*, ed. Jakob E. Mabe, 451–452. Stuttgart – Wuppertal: Metzler und Hammer.

*Susanne Genzel
Universität Potsdam
SFB 632 „Informationsstruktur“
Karl-Liebknecht-Straße 24-25
14476 Potsdam
Germany
susonne7@gmail.com*

*Frank Kügler
Universität Potsdam
Department of Linguistics
Karl-Liebknecht-Straße 24-25
14476 Potsdam
Germany
kuegler@uni-potsdam.de*