



Universität Potsdam

Cochin, D.; Hendlinger, P.; Laschewsky, André

Polysoaps with fluorocarbon hydrophobic chains

first published in:

Colloid & Polymer Science, 273 (1995), 12, S. 1138-1143, ISSN 0303-402X,
DOI 10.1007/BF00653081

Postprint published at the Institutional Repository of Potsdam University:

In: Postprints der Universität Potsdam

Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Reihe ; 85

<http://opus.kobv.de/ubp/volltexte/2008/1734/>

<http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:kobv:517-opus-17347>

Postprints der Universität Potsdam

Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Reihe ; 85

D. Cochin
P. Hendlinger
A. Laschewsky

Polysoaps with fluorocarbon hydrophobic chains

Received: 1 June 1995
Accepted: 3 July 1995

D. Cochin · P. Hendlinger
Prof. Dr. A. Laschewsky (✉)
Université Catholique de Louvain
Dépt. de Chimie
Place L. Pasteur
1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

Abstract A series of amphiphilic copolymers is prepared by copolymerization of choline methacrylate with 1,1,2,2-tetrahydroperfluorooctyl methacrylate in varying amounts. The copolymers bearing fluorocarbon chains are studied concerning their effects on viscosity, solubilization and surface activity in aqueous solution, exhibiting a general behavior

characteristic for polysoaps. The results are compared with the ones obtained for an analogous series of amphiphilic copolymers bearing hydrocarbon chains.

Key words Amphiphilic polymers – fluorocarbon polymers – associating polymers – polysoaps

Introduction

By virtue of their strong tendency to self-organize in aqueous media and of their industrial importance, water-soluble amphiphilic polymers attract increasing attention. "Polysoaps" [1–3] represent a particular class within such polymers which is characterized by many analogies to micelle-forming surfactants.

Though the hydrophobic parts of amphiphiles are generally made from hydrocarbon chains, a number of alternatives exists, including, e.g., siloxanes, oligo (propylene oxides) or fluorocarbons. Such alternative hydrophobic groups have been increasingly explored in low molecular weight surfactants due to their different qualities – such as flexibility, surface energy, hydrophobicity and oleophobicity [4] – but their use in amphiphilic polymers is exceptional. In particular, the use of fluorocarbon hydrophobic chains in polymers has so far been very limited. The few studies have been restricted basically to water-soluble polymers which are weakly substituted with fluorocarbon chains to provide efficient thickeners [5–10], or to block copolymers [11–15] or oligomers [16, 17] respectively. Examples for water-soluble polymers which are heavily substituted with fluorocarbon chains, as needed for poly-

soaps to enable intramolecular aggregation, are virtually missing.

Here, the synthesis and investigation of fluorocarbon polysoaps is reported. The polysoaps **3a–3d** are prepared by random copolymerization of the hydrophilic monomer choline methacrylate **1** with the 1,1,2,2-tetrahydroperfluorooctyl methacrylate **2** in varying amounts (Fig. 1). These fluorocarbon polysoaps are of the so-called "head-type" geometry [3, 18], having a chemical structure similar to the known hydrocarbon polysoaps **4a–4c** [18].

Experimental Part

Monomer **1** was a gift from B. Schlarb, monomer **2** was a gift from Hoechst Co. (Germany). Monomers **1** and **2** are copolymerized at 60 °C for 12 h, reacting 0.200 g of **2** dissolved in 5 ml of ethanol with the calculated amount of **1** and 1 mol% of azobis (isobutyronitrile) AIBN as initiator, to give copolymers **3a–3d**. Copolymers **3a** and **3b** precipitate during polymerization; they are separated by filtration, extracted with ethanol, dissolved in water, and precipitated in acetone. Copolymers **3c** and **3d** are repeatedly precipitated in acetone from ethanol solutions.




Fig. 1 Monomers and copolymers used

The synthesis of copolymers **4a–4c** was described previously [18]. The azodye 4-(4-butylphenylazo)-N,N-diethylaniline **5** was synthesized by standard coupling reaction of 4-butylaniline and N,N-diethylaniline [19].

Water used for all experiments was purified by a Milli-Q water purification system (resistance 18 MΩ).

NMR-spectra were recorded by a Gemini 300 MHz spectrometer. CFCI₃ was used as internal standard for ¹⁹F-spectra. IR-spectra were recorded by a Nicolet type 205 FT-IR spectrometer. Elemental analysis was done by the University College of London. Viscometry was performed by a semi-automatic Ubbelohde capillary viscometer (Schott) in water at 25 °C. Solubilization experiments were done by shaking 4 wt % aqueous solutions of the polymers with an excess of dye for 4 weeks at 18 °C, followed by centrifugation and filtration to give clear, colored solutions which are measured by UV/Vis spectroscopy (SLM AMINCO DW-2000 spectrometer).

Thermogravimetry was performed on a thermogravimetric analyzer TGA-500 SETARAM, with a heating rate of 10 °C/min in nitrogen atmosphere. Differential scanning calorimetry d.s.c was performed with a Perkin-Elmer DSC7, applying heating and cooling rates of 20 °C/min. X-ray scattering experiments were done with a diffractometer Siemens D-500 Siemens, using the Ni-filtered Cu-K_α-line (λ = 0.1541 nm).

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and general properties of the copolymers

Copolymers **3a–3d** are prepared by free radical copolymerization of the cationic methacrylate **1** with the



Table 1 Composition of the monomer feeds of **1** and **2**, and of the resulting copolymers **3a–3d** as determined by elemental analysis of N and Br

Copolymer	Molar fraction of monomer 2 in the feed	Yield (%)	Molar fraction of monomer 2 in the copolymer	
			X ₂ (N)	X ₂ (Br)
3a	0.091	80	0.15	0.17
3b	0.17	48	0.21	0.20
3c	0.33	34	0.34	0.33
3d	0.50	30	0.53	0.63

fluorocarbon methacrylate **2** in solution. Table 1 lists the composition of the respective monomer feeds and of the resulting copolymers. As both compositions are rather close even at moderate conversions with a slight preference for monomer **2**, we can assume a copolymerization behavior not too different from ideal statistical copolymerization of both methacrylates.

Copolymers **3a–3d** were characterized by ¹H-NMR, ¹⁹F-NMR and FT-IR spectroscopy, and by elemental analysis, to give satisfactory results (cf. Table 1, Fig. 2). Thermogravimetric analysis indicated decomposition above 190 °C; no thermal transition could be observed by d.s.c.. Unlike the homopolymers, x-ray powder diffractograms of copolymers **3b–3d** exhibit one small angle signal at 2θ = 2 ° in addition to the halo around 2θ = 17 °. This behavior is similar to the one of the hydrocarbon analog **4c** [18]. The small-angle peak was attributed to a lamellar superstructure due to microphase separation of the ionic and the hydrophobic fragments of the copolymers. That

Fig. 2 ^1H -NMR (300 MHz) and ^{19}F -NMR (188 MHz) spectra of copolymer **3c** at room temperature ($x = 0.34$). from top to bottom: ^1H -NMR in D_2O , ^1H -NMR in $\text{CDCl}_3/\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$, ^{19}F -NMR, in D_2O , ^{19}F -NMR in $\text{CDCl}_3/\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ 1v/1v, ^{19}F -NMR in CD_3OD



superstructure formation in the series **3a–3d** occurs at lower hydrophobe contents than in the series of their hydrocarbon analogs **4a–4c**, can be explained by the stronger hydrophobicity of the fluorocarbon chains.

Attempts to characterize the degree of polymerization of copolymers **3a–3d** by GPC failed. End group analysis by NMR and FT-IR spectroscopy enabled however an estimation of the lower limit: as nitrile end-groups could

not be detected, the degree of polymerization of the copolymers must exceed 20.

Copolymers **3a–3d** are insoluble in acetone, dioxane and CHCl_3 . They are soluble in methanol and 1v/1v mixtures of methanol and CHCl_3 . Copolymers **3a–3c** are water-soluble, whereas **3d** swells only. This observation agrees well with previous findings on the limits of water solubility of copolymers of the “head type” geometry

hydrophobized by hydrocarbon chains which were explained by a spacer model [3, 18, 20].

Characteristically, ^1H -NMR and ^{19}F -NMR spectra of copolymers **3a–3c** have the usual polymeric appearance in organic solvents such as $\text{CDCl}_3/\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ mixtures or CD_3OD , whereas the signals of the hydrophobic fragments are strongly broadened in D_2O , or are even missing (Fig. 2). The broadening effect is particularly visible in the ^{19}F -spectra. This behavior points to a reduced mobility due to hydrophobic aggregation in aqueous media, as observed for many other hydrophobized water-soluble polymers [21–24]. But noteworthy despite their strong broadening, fluorine signals are still observed, in contrast to reports on polyacrylamides with low contents of fluorocarbon groups [25].

Polysoap behavior

Within the water-soluble amphiphilic polymers, polysoaps are characterized by two prominent properties: i) relatively low reduced viscosities in water, compared to their analogs lacking hydrophobic groups, and ii) the ability to solubilize hydrophobic compounds in water. Both properties are attributed to the intramolecular formation of hydrophobic domains, often referred to as “polymeric micelles”, in analogy with micelles formed from standard surfactants. For standard surfactants, surface activity has to be added as key property, whereas polysoaps may or may not be surface active, depending on their detailed structure [3].

Hence, these three key properties, reduced viscosity, solubilization capacity and surface activity were investigated for the fluorocarbon copolymers **3a–3c** (Figs. 3–5), and compared with the behavior of the known hydrocarbon copolymers **4a–4c** of similar chemical structure and hydrophobe content.

Viscosimetry

The reduced viscosities $\eta_{\text{red}} = \eta_{\text{spec}}/c$ of aqueous solutions of **3a–3c** are plotted in Fig. 3 as function of the copolymer concentration. For comparison, the results for the homopolymer of choline methacrylate **poly-1** and for the hydrocarbon analogs **4b** and **4c** are added.

Characteristically, all polymers exhibit polyelectrolyte behavior: in the low concentration range, the values of η_{red} increase with decreasing concentration. Still, it is evident that the hydrophobized copolymer series **3a–3c** and **4b–4c** exhibit substantially lower η_{red} values than **poly-1**. There is no indication of thickening effects as observed for watersoluble copolymers with a very small fluorocarbon




Fig. 3 Reduced viscosity η_{red} of aqueous polymer solutions at 25 °C, as function of the concentration for **poly-1** (*), copolymers **3a**(o), **3b**(Δ) and **3c**(◇), copolymers **4b** (×), **4c** (+)

hydrophobe content [5–11, 25]. Though effects due to strongly differing degrees of polymerization cannot be rigorously excluded as the molar masses are unknown, they are highly improbable as the polymerization conditions for the polymers were virtually identical. Therefore, the behavior of the fluorinated copolymers is qualitatively in agreement with the one of classical polysoaps which is attributed to an intramolecular hydrophobic aggregation [26–30]. Noteworthy is that polysoap behavior is already observed for copolymer **3a** which contains 10 mol % of 1,1,2,2-tetrahydro-perfluorooctyl chains, whereas much higher contents of octyl chains are usually needed [30, 31], thus demonstrating once more the higher hydrophobicity of fluorocarbon chains.

A more detailed look at the viscometry data shows that the reduced viscosity of the hydrocarbon copolymers strongly decreases with increasing hydrophobic content from **4b** to **4c**, as reported for other polysoaps in the literature. This behavior is attributed to an increasing intramolecular hydrophobic aggregation. In contrast, all three fluorocarbon copolymers **3a–3c** exhibit comparable reduced viscosities. Unfortunately, it cannot be decided whether this is a characteristic feature for such fluorinated polysoaps, or whether differences in the molecular weights are responsible.

Solubilization

The ability of copolymers **3a–3c** to solubilize hydrophobic compounds in water was studied for the azo dye **5**. This




Fig. 4 Solubilization of azo dye **5** in water by copolymers **3a–3c** (○) and by copolymers **4a–4c** (+, data taken from ref. [19]), (polymer concentration: = 4 g/L)

compound was used previously in studies of the analogous hydrocarbon copolymers **4a–4c** [19, 32]. The results are shown in Fig. 4.

The figure basically demonstrates that the fluorocarbon copolymers are indeed capable of solubilizing hydrophobic compounds. Solubilization capacities increase with increasing hydrophobe content. However, compared to hydrocarbon analogs **4a–4c** the capacities are much smaller [19]. Realizing the reduced length of the hydrophobic chains (octyl versus decyl), and keeping in mind that fluorocarbon chains are both hydrophobic and oleophobic, i.e., per se not particularly suited to accommodate hydrocarbon solubilizes, this finding is not surprising. Nevertheless, the observed solubilization capacities, albeit small, corroborate the presence of hydrophobic microdomains for **3a–3c**.

Surface tension measurements

Surface tension measurements of copolymers **3a–3c** are shown in Fig. 5. The copolymers show a notable surface activity, in particular at elevated concentrations, but no break point pointing to a critical micelle concentration (CMC) can be identified.

This general behavior agrees well with the one reported for other polysoaps of the “head type” geometry [18, 33, 34], such as copolymers **4a–4c**. However, in contrast to such hydrocarbon polysoaps, the surface tension of aqueous solutions is not reduced with increasing content of hydrophobic chains in the copolymers: on the contrary, the surface tension is most reduced for copolymer **3a**, increasing for a given concentration in the




Fig. 5 Surface activity of fluorinated polysoaps: ○ = **3a**, x = **3b** and Δ = **3c**

series **3a < 3b < 3c**, i.e., with increasing content of fluorocarbon chains.

There is no obvious explanation for this unexpected result, though it is not unparalleled in the literature [35, 36]. It clearly requires further investigation. Possibly, the strong hydrophobic effect of fluorocarbons could cause the superposition of intramolecular and intermolecular hydrophobic aggregation whose relative contributions would change with the fluorocarbon content in the copolymers. This putative explanation might also play a role in the weak dependence of the reduced viscosities of **3a–3c** with increasing fluorocarbon content (cf. Fig. 3).

Conclusions

The fluorocarbon copolymers studied exhibit hydrophobic aggregation in water with characteristic behavior of polysoaps, concerning effects on viscosity, solubilization and surface activity. Nevertheless, the detailed properties show some marked differences compared to the ones of hydrocarbon analogs. Although the reasons for these differences remain to be clarified, the present results demonstrate the usefulness of replacing hydrocarbon chains by fluorocarbon ones to create polysoaps with a modified spectrum of properties.

Acknowledgement The work was supported by the EC program *Human Capital and Mobility* (grant CHRX-CT-0273).

References

1. Strauss UP (1989) In: Glass JE (ed) *Polymers in Aqueous Media*. Adv Chemistry Series 223, Am Chem Soc, Washington DC, pp 317–324
2. Bekturov EA, Bakauova ZKh (1986) *Synthetic Water-Soluble Polymers in Solution*. Hütig & Wepf, Basel, pp 178–199
3. Laschewsky A (1995) *Adv Polym Sci* 124:1
4. Mukerjee P (1994) *Colloid Surfaces* 84:1
5. Zhang YX, Da AH, Butler GB, Hogen-Esch TE (1992) *J Polym Sci Polym Chem Ed* A30:1383
6. Hwang FS, Hogen-Esch TE (1993) *Macromolecules* 26:3156
7. Watterson AC, Haralabakopoulos A, Salamone JC (1993) *Polym Prepr Am Chem Soc Div Polym Chem* 34(1):610
8. Kästner U, Hoffmann H, Dönges R, Ehrler R (1994) *Colloids Surfaces* A82:279
9. Hwang FS, Hogen-Esch TE (1995) *Macromolecules* 28:3328
10. Hogen-Esch TE, Amis A (1995) *Trends Polym Sci* 3:98
11. Miyamoto M, Aoi K, Saegusa T (1989) *Macromolecules* 22:3540
12. Selve C, Achilefu S (1990) *J Chem Soc Chem Commun* 911
13. Boyer B, Lamaty G, Moussamou-Missima JM, Pavia AA, Pucci B, Roque JP (1991) *Eur Polym J* 27:1359
14. Sawada H, Gong YF, Minoshima Y, Matsumoto T, Nakayama M, Kosugi M, Migita T (1992) *J Chem Soc Chem Commun* 537
15. Meissner E, Myszkowski J, Szymanowski J, Barhoum R (1993) *J Chem Tech Biotechnol* 57:49
16. Pavia AA, Pucci B, Riess JG, Zarif L (1992) *Makromol Chem* 193:2505
17. Szajdzinska-Pietek E, Schlick S, Plonka A (1994) *Langmuir* 10:1101
18. Köberle P, Laschewsky A, van den Boogaard D (1992) *Polymer* 33:4029
19. Anton P, Laschewsky A (1994) *Colloid Polym Sci* 272:1118
20. Anton P, Laschewsky A (1993) *Makromol Chem* 194:601–624
21. Laschewsky A, Zerbe I (1991) *Polymer* 32:2081
22. Shoda SI, Masuda E, Furukawa M, Kobayashi S (1992) *J Polym Sci Polym Chem Ed* A30:1489
23. Morishima Y, Tsuji M, Seki M, Kamachi M (1993) *Macromolecules* 26:3299
24. Morishima Y, Nomura S, Seki M, Kamachi M (1995) *Macromolecules* 28:2874
25. Yassini M, Hogen-Esch TE (1994) *Polym Prepr Am Chem Soc Polym Chem Div* 35(1) 478
26. Strauss UP, Gershfeld NL, Crook EH (1956) *J Phys Chem* 60:577
27. Woermann D, Wall FT (1960) *J Phys Chem* 64:581
28. McCormick CL, Chang Y (1994) *Macromolecules* 27:2151
29. Branham KD, Davis DL, Middleton JC, McCormick CL (1994) *Polymer* 35:4429
30. Deboudt K, Delporte M, Loucheux C (1995) *Macromol Chem Phys* 196:303
31. Inoue H (1964) *Kolloid Z u Z Polymere* 195:102
32. Anton P, Köberle P, Laschewsky A (1993) *Makromol Chem* 194:1–27
33. Rios HE, Rojas JS, Gamboa IC, Barraza RG (1993) *J Colloid Interface Sci* 156:388
34. Rios HE, Aravena MH, Barraza RG (1994) *J Colloid Interface Sci* 165:259
35. Weinert RJ, Piirma I (1989) *J Appl Polym Sci* 38:1295
36. Menger FM, Littau CA (1993) *J Am Chem Soc* 115:10083