

ON ITERATIONS OF DOUBLE LAYER POTENTIALS

Alexander Shlapunov ¹

Abstract

We prove the existence of $H^p(D)$ -limit of iterations of double layer potentials constructed with the use of Hodge parametrix on a smooth compact manifold X , D being an open connected subset of X . This limit gives us an orthogonal projection from Sobolev space $H^p(D)$ to a closed subspace of $H^p(D)$ -solutions of an elliptic operator P of order $p \geq 1$. Using this result we obtain formulae for Sobolev solutions to the equation $Pu = f$ in D whenever these solutions exist. This representation involves the sum of a series whose terms are iterations of double layer potentials. Similar regularization is constructed also for a P -Neumann problem in D .

1 Introduction

This paper is based on the following simple observation. Consider an operator equation $Au = f$ with a bounded linear operator $A : H_0 \rightarrow H_1$ in Hilbert spaces H_0, H_1 and assume that for every $u \in H_0$ the following formula holds true

$$u = \Pi_1 u + \Pi_2 Au$$

where Π_1 is a projection from H_0 to the kernel of A . Then one can hope that, under reasonable conditions, the element $\Pi_2 f$ defines a solution to the equation $Au = f$.

It is well known that Hodge theory for partial differential operator P with injective symbol on a compact manifold X gives an L^2 -orthogonal projection to space of solutions to equation $Pu = 0$ on the whole X . In this paper using the Hodge theory we construct an orthogonal projection from Sobolev space $H^p(D)$, D being an open connected subset of X and p being the order of P , to a closed subspace of $H^p(D)$ -solutions to equation $Pu = 0$ in D (see Sections 2, 3). Let us briefly sketch some motivations for this investigation.

First, local solvability for linear partial differential operators with injective symbol and smooth coefficients is a long standing problem of the theory of overdetermined systems (see, for instance, [8]). With the use of this result we succeed in proving a representation formula for H^p -solutions to the equation $Pu = f$ on open subsets of X for an operator P with injective

¹This work was done under financial support of Institute für Mathematik, Universität Potsdam and RFFI, grant 96-15-9626 for leading scientific schools.

symbol whenever these solutions exist (see Section 4). This representation involves the sum of a series whose terms are iterations of double layer potentials, while solvability of $Pu = f$ is equivalent to the convergence of the series together with the orthogonality to $\ker P^*$ (the last one is a trivial necessary condition).

The second motivation is that this method gives a possibility to construct a similar regularization for a P -Neumann problem (see Sections 5, 6) For the CAUCHY-RIEMANN system $P = \bar{\partial}$ in \mathbb{C}^n ($n > 1$), the double layer potentials involved in the regularization formulae are the MARTINELLI-BOCHNER integrals. In this case, results similar to ours were obtained by A.V. ROMANOV [6].

Theorem 1.1 *Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n ($n > 1$) with a connected boundary ∂D of class C^1 , and Mu is the Martinelli–Bochner integral for $u \in H^1(D)$. Then in the strong operator topology of $H^1(D)$ there exists a limit $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} M^N = \Pi_1$ where Π_1 is a projection from $H^1(D)$ onto the closed subspace of holomorphic $H^1(D)$ -functions.*

Using this theorem ROMANOV (see [6]) obtained an explicit formula for a solution $u \in H^1(D)$ to the equation $\bar{\partial}u = f$ where D is a pseudoconvex domain with a smooth boundary, and f is a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(0,1)$ -form with coefficients in $H^1(D)$.

2 Hodge theory on a compact manifold.

Let X be a C^∞ -manifold with $\dim X = n$, E and F be smooth \mathbb{C} -vector bundles over X , and $do_p(E \rightarrow F)$ be the vector space of smooth linear partial differential operators of order $\leq p$ between the vector bundles E and F . Let E^* be the dual bundle of E , and let $(\cdot, \cdot)_x$ be a HERMITIAN metric on E . Then $*_E : E \rightarrow E^*$ is defined by $\langle *_E v, u \rangle_x = (u, v)_x$ (where u, v are sections of E and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x$ is the pairing of E and E^*). Let Λ^q be the bundle of complex valued exterior forms of degree q ($q = 1, 2, \dots$) over X , and dx be a volume form on X . We denote ${}^tP \in do_p(F^* \rightarrow E^*)$ the transposed operator, and by $P^* = *_E^{-1}({}^tP)*_F \in do_p(F \rightarrow E)$ the (formal) adjoint operator for $P \in do_p(E \rightarrow F)$ (see, for instance, [8]).

Let $S_P(U)$ stand for the space of weak solutions to the equation $Pu = 0$ on an open set U in X . For every domain (i.e. open connected set) D in X we will denote $L^2(E|_D)$ the Hilbert space of all measurable functions defined on D , for which $(u, u)_{L^2(E|_D)} = \int_D (u, u)_x dx < \infty$. We also denote by $H^m(E|_D)$ the SOBOLEV space of distribution sections of E over D having weak derivatives in $L^2(E|_D)$ up to order m and by $S_P^m(D)$ the closed linear subspace of $H^m(E|_D)$ of weak solutions of the equation $Pu = 0$ in D .

Let $T^*(X)$ be the (real) cotangent bundle over the manifold X and $\sigma(P)$ be the principal symbol of the operator P . From now on we assume that the symbol $\sigma(x, \zeta)$ is injective for all $x \in X$ and $\zeta \in T^*(X) \setminus \{0\}$. Then the Laplacian $\Delta = P^*P$ is an elliptic operator of order $2p$ on X and there exists Hodge parametrix for it (see, for example, [8], §8):

Theorem 2.1 *There exist pseudodifferential operators $K : \mathcal{D}'(E) \rightarrow C^\infty(E)$ and $\Phi : \mathcal{D}'(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(E)$ such that:*

- (1) K is the L^2 -projection on the finite dimensional space $S_P(X)$ having the kernel $K(x, y) = \sum_i h_i(x) \otimes h_i(y)^*$ where $\{h_i\}$ is an orthogonal basis for $S_P(X)$;
- (2) $PK = KP^* = 0$ and $\Phi K = K\Phi = 0$;
- (3) Φ is a pseudodifferential operator of order $(-2p)$ and for all $u \in \mathcal{D}'(E)$ we have $(P^*P)\Phi u = u - Ku$, $\Phi(P^*Pu) = u - Ku$.

Then integrating by parts we see that

$$\int_X \langle {}^tP^*\Phi(x, y), Pu(y) \rangle_y dy + \int_X \langle K(x, y), u(y) \rangle_y dy = u(x), \quad x \in X \quad (2.1)$$

for every $u \in H^p(E)$. Consider, for $u, v \in H^p(E)$, the HERMITIAN form

$$h(u, v) = \int_X (Pu, Pv)_x dx + \int_X (Ku, Kv)_x dx.$$

Proposition 2.2 *The HERMITIAN form $h(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a scalar product in $H^p(E)$ defining a topology equivalent to the original one. K is the orthogonal projection with respect to $h(\cdot, \cdot)$ from $H^p(E)$ onto $S(X)$. Moreover denoting by*

$$Tf(x) = \int_X \langle {}^tP^*(y)\Phi(x, y), f(y) \rangle_y dy$$

we obtain $h(Tf, u) = \int_X (f, Pu)_x dx$ for all $f \in L^2(F)$, $u \in H^p(E)$.

Proof. The coefficients of P are C^∞ - functions, therefore, $Pu \in L^2(F)$. It follows from (2.1) that $h(u, u) = 0$ implies $u \equiv 0$ in X . Since $(\cdot, \cdot)_x$ is a HERMITIAN metric, $h(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a scalar product on $H^p(E)$. Because K is a smoothing operator we conclude that $\|\cdot\|_{H^p(E)}$ is not weaker than $\sqrt{h(\cdot, \cdot)}$.

Further, (2.1) and boundedness theorem for pseudodifferential operators (see [5], 1.2.3.5) imply that there exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that for every $u \in H^p(E)$ we have $\|u\|_{H^p(E)}^2 \leq c_1 \left(\|Pu\|_{L^2(F)}^2 + \|Ku\|_{H^p(E)}^2 \right)$. Since K is a projection on a finite dimensional space $S_P(X)$ we see that there exists

a constant $c_2 > 0$ such that for every $u \in H^p(E)$ we have $\|Ku\|_{H^p(E)}^2 \leq c_2 \|Ku\|_{L^2(E)}^2$. This proves the equivalence of the topologies.

If $f \in C^\infty(F)$, $u \in H^p(E)$ then integrating by parts we deduce that $Tf = \Phi(P^*f)$. Therefore, due to Theorem 2.1, $KTf = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} h(Tf, u) &= \int_X (P\Phi(P^*f), Pu)_x dx = \int_X (P^*P\Phi(P^*f), u)_x dx = \\ &= \int_X (P^*f, u)_x dx = \int_X (f, Pu)_x dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now, because $C^\infty(F)$ is dense in $L^2(F)$ we obtain the required statement on the integral T .

Finally, for $u, v \in H^p(E)$ we have

$$h(Ku, v) = h(u, v) - h(TPu, v) = \int_X (Ku, Kv)_x dx,$$

i.e. K is a self-adjoint operator with respect to $h(., .)$ in $H^p(E)$ with $K^2 = I$, which was to be proved. \square

Proposition 2.3 *Let $f \in L^2(F)$. There exists $u \in H^p(E)$ satisfying $Pu = f$ on X if and only if*

$$\int_X (f, g)_x dx = 0 \text{ for all } g \in \ker T. \quad (2.2)$$

Moreover, if (2.2) holds then $PTf = f$ on X .

Proof. The necessity of (2.2) follows from Proposition 2.2.

On the other hand, Theorem 2.1 and (2.1) imply that for every $f \in L^2(F)$ we have $TPTf = Tf$, i.e. $(f - PTf) \in \ker T$. Since both f and PTf are orthogonal to $\ker T$ we conclude that $PTf = f$. \square

Remark 2.4 *It follows from Proposition 2.2 that $g \in \ker T$ if and only if $P^*g = 0$ weakly on X , i.e. $\ker T = S_{P^*}^0(X)$.*

If P is included into some elliptic complex of differential operators on X , say, $\{E^i, P^i\}$, for vector bundles E^i and $P^i \in do_{p_i}(E^i \rightarrow E^{i+1})$ where $P = P^0$ then the cohomology of the complex is finite (see, for instance, [8]) and condition (2.2) may be replaced by

$$P^1 f = 0 \text{ on } X \text{ and } \int_X (f, g)_x dx = 0 \text{ for all } g \in S_{P^*}^0(X) \cap S_{P^1}^0(X). \quad (2.3)$$

Remark 2.5 *Since X is compact manifold and the complex (E^i, P^i) is elliptic we see that the “harmonic” space $\mathfrak{H}(X) = S_{P^*}^0(X) \cap S_{P^1}^0(X)$ is finite dimensional.*

Actually the Hodge parametrix may be useful on open subsets of X too. Denote by $G_P(\cdot, \cdot)$ a Green operator for $P \in do_p(E \rightarrow F)$ (see [8], p.82).

Let D be a relatively compact domain (i.e. open connected subset) in X with smooth boundary. Define the operators T_D , K_D and M by

$$\begin{aligned} (K_D u)(x) &= \int_D \langle K(x, y), u(y) \rangle_y dy \quad (x \in X), \\ (Mu)(x) &= - \int_{\partial D} G_P({}^t P^*(y, D)\Phi(x, y), u(y)) \quad (x \in X \setminus \partial D), \\ (T_D f)(x) &= \int_D \langle {}^t P^*(y, D)\Phi(x, y), f(y) \rangle_y dy \quad (x \in X) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

for $u \in H^p(E|_D)$, $f \in L^2(F|_D)$

By boundedness theorem for pseudodifferential operators (see [5]) and Stokes’ formula we have

$$(Mu)(x) + (K_D u)(x) + (T_D P u)(x) = \begin{cases} u(x), & x \in D, \\ 0, & x \in X \setminus \bar{D} \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

for every $u \in H^p(E|_D)$. Then the boundedness theorem for pseudodifferential operators implies that the integrals M , K_D and T_D given above define linear bounded operators $M : H^p(E|_D) \rightarrow H^p(E|_D)$, $K_D : H^p(E|_D) \rightarrow H^p(E|_D)$ and $T_D : L^2(F|_D) \rightarrow H^p(E|_D)$.

Example 2.6 Let Y be a relatively compact domain with smooth boundary ∂Y in an open set $\tilde{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n > 1$, and P be an operator with injective symbol on \tilde{X} . Assume that P^*P has a bilateral fundamental solution on \tilde{X} and let Φ is the Green function of the Dirichlet problem for the elliptic operator P^*P in Y . NACINOVICH and SHLAPUNOV [3] constructed a scalar product $h_D(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $H^p(E|_D)$ defining an equivalent topology and such that the limit of iterations of double layer potentials $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} M^N$ gives the orthogonal projection to $S^p(D)$. They also proved that the integral $T_D f(x)$ satisfies $h_D(T_D f, v) = \int_D (f, P v)_x dx$ for all $f \in L^2(F|_D)$ and $v \in H^p(E|_D)$. This case corresponds to the Hodge decomposition for the Dirichlet problem for P^*P in Y with $K = 0$ (cf. also SCHULZE, SHLAPUNOV, TARKHANOV [7]).

In the sequel we will prove a similar result for the integrals T_D and M in our more general situation.

3 Construction of the scalar product $h_D(\cdot, \cdot)$.

For our purposes we need information on solvability of the DIRICHLET problem for the operator $\Delta = P^*P$ on a subdomain D of X .

Let U be a neighborhood of ∂D in X , and F_j ($0 \leq j \leq p-1$) be vector bundles over U . Fix a Dirichlet system, say, $\{B_j\}_{j=0}^{p-1}$, of boundary differential operators $B_j \in do_j(E|_U \rightarrow F_j)$. This means that $\sigma(B_j)(x, \zeta)$ have the maximal ranks for all $x \in U$ and vectors ζ conormal to ∂D .

Problem 3.1 *Let $\oplus_{j=0}^{p-1} \psi_j \in \oplus H^{p-j-1/2}(F_j|_{\partial D})$ and $\phi \in L^2(E|_D)$. Find a section $\psi \in H^p(E|_D)$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} P^*P\psi = \phi & \text{in } D; \\ (B_j\psi)|_{\partial D} = \psi_j & (0 \leq j \leq p-1). \end{cases}$$

We denote by $H_0^p(E|_D)$ the space

$$H_0^p(E|_D) = \{u \in H^p(E|_D) : B_j u = 0 \text{ on } \partial D \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq p-1\}.$$

Then $H_0^p(E|_D)$ is the closure of $\mathcal{D}(E|_D)$ in $H^p(E|_D)$. In the following well-known statement $Z_0(D)$ stands for $S_P(D) \cap H_0^p(E|_D)$ and $Z_0^\perp(D)$ consists of sections $\psi \in H^p(E|_D)$ satisfying $\int_D (\psi(x), v(x))_x dx = 0$ for all $v \in Z_0(D)$.

Lemma 3.2 *Problem 3.1 is solvable if and only if*

$$\int_D (\phi, v)_x dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in Z_0(D).$$

It has no more than a finite number of solutions; the difference between two solutions belongs to $Z_0(D)$. Moreover, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\|\psi\|_{H^p(E|_D)} \leq c \left(\|\phi\|_{L^2(E|_D)} + \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \|\psi_j\|_{H^{p-j-1/2}(F_j|_{\partial D})} \right)$$

for every solution $\psi \in Z_0^\perp(D)$ of Problem 3.1.

Let $\tilde{S}^p_\Delta(X \setminus \overline{D})$ stand for $S^p_{P^*P}(X \setminus \overline{D}) \cap Z_0^\perp(X \setminus \overline{D})$. Using Lemma 3.2 we obtain a linear isomorphism

$$\tilde{S}^p_\Delta(X \setminus \overline{D}) \ni v \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}^+} \oplus_{j=0}^{p-1} (B_j v)|_{\partial D} \in \oplus_{j=0}^{p-1} (H^{p-j-1/2}(E|_{\partial D})).$$

Composing $(\mathcal{R}^+)^{-1}$ with the trace operator

$$H^p(E|_D) \ni u \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}^-} \oplus_{j=0}^{p-1} (B_j u)|_{\partial D} \in \oplus_{j=0}^{p-1} (H^{p-j-1/2}(E|_{\partial D})).$$

we obtain a continuous linear map $H^p(E|_D) \ni u \rightarrow S(u) \in \tilde{S}^p_\Delta(X \setminus \overline{D})$.

For $u \in H^p(E|_D)$ we introduce now the following notation:

$$U(u)(x) = \begin{cases} u(x), & x \in D, \\ S(u)(x), & x \in X \setminus \overline{D}. \end{cases}$$

Because $(B_j S(u))|_{\partial D} = (B_j u)|_{\partial D}$ ($0 \leq j \leq p-1$), we have $U(u) \in H^p(E)$.

Theorem 3.3 *The HERMITIAN form $h_D(u, v) = h(U(u), U(v))$ is a scalar product in $H^p(E|_D)$ defining a topology equivalent to the original one. Moreover the integral $T_D f$ satisfies $h_D(T_D f, u) = \int_D (f, P u)_x dx$ for all $f \in L^2(F|_D)$, $u \in H^p(E|_D)$.*

Proof. Proposition 2.2 implies that $\sqrt{(h_D(\cdot, \cdot))}$ is not weaker than the standard norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^p(E|_D)}$.

On the other hand,

$$h_D(u, u) = h(U(u), U(u)) \leq c_1 \|U(u)\|_{H^p(E)}^2 \leq 2c_1 \left(\|u\|_{H^p(E|_D)}^2 + \|S(u)\|_{H^p(E|_{X \setminus D})}^2 \right) \quad \text{for all } u \in H^p(E|_D).$$

Now using Lemma 3.2 and continuity of the trace operator we see that there exist positive constants c_3, c_4 such that

$$\|S(u)\|_{H^p(E|_{X \setminus D})}^2 \leq c_3 \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \|B_j u\|_{H^{p-j-1/2}(F_j|_{\partial D})}^2 \leq c_4 \|u\|_{H^p(E|_D)}.$$

for all $u \in H^p(E|_D)$. This proves the equivalence of the topologies.

Proposition 3.4 *For every $u, v \in H^p(E|_D)$, $f \in L^2(F|_D)$*

$$h_D(T_D f, v) = \int_D (f, P v)_x dx,$$

$$h_D((M + K_D)u, v) = \int_{X \setminus D} (P S(u), P S(v))_x dx + \int_X (K U(u), K U(v))_x dx.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(F|_D)$. Then $T_D f \in H^p(E)$ (and even in $C^\infty(E)$). Let us show that $U(T_D f) = T f$. For this we need to check that $(T_D f)|_{X \setminus \overline{D}} \in \tilde{S}^p_\Delta(X \setminus \overline{D})$. However, $T_D f = T f = \Phi(P^* f)$ and therefore $P^* P T_D f = P^* f - K P^* f = P^* f$ on X . Because $f \in \mathcal{D}(F|_D)$ we see that $P^* P T_D f = 0$ in $X \setminus \overline{D}$. Since $Z_0(X \setminus \overline{D}) \subset Z(X)$ and $\Phi(P^* f)$ is orthogonal to $Z(X)$ we conclude $T_D f|_{X \setminus \overline{D}} \in Z_0^\perp(X \setminus \overline{D})$, as desired.

Further, if $u \in H^p(E|_D)$ then Proposition 2.2 implies that

$$h_D(T_D f, u) = h(Tf, U(u)) = \int_X (f, PU(u))_x dx = \int_D (f, Pu)_x dx.$$

Since $\mathcal{D}(F|_D)$ is dense in $L^2(F|_D)$ and the operator T_D is bounded, this formula holds for every $u \in H^p(E|_D)$ and every $f \in L^2(F|_D)$. Finally, (2.5) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} h_D((M + K_D)u, v) &= h_D(u - T_D Pu, v) = \\ &= \int_{X \setminus D} (PS(u), PS(v))_x dx + \int_X (KU(u), KU(v))_x dx. \end{aligned}$$

□

This proves the theorem. □

Corollary 3.5 *The operators $T_D P : H^p(E|_D) \rightarrow H^p(E|_D)$ and $(M + K_D) : H^p(E|_D) \rightarrow H^p(E|_D)$ are bounded linear self-adjoint non-negative operators with $\|T_D P\| \leq 1$, $\|M + K_D\| \leq 1$.*

Now it is easy to see that $Z_0^\perp(D)$ is the orthogonal complement to $Z_0(D)$ in the space $H^p(E|_D)$ with respect to $h_D(\cdot, \cdot)$. Indeed, $Z_0(D) \subset Z(X)$ because every element $u \in Z_0(D)$ may be extended by zero from D to X as a solution to $Pu = 0$ on X . Then $S(u) = 0$ for all $u \in Z_0(D)$ and $h_D(u, v) = \int_X (KU(u), KU(v))_x dx = \int_D (u, v)_x dx$.

Corollary 3.5 implies that it is possible to consider iterations $(M + K_D)^\nu$ and $(T_D P)^\nu$ of the integrals $(M + K_D)$ and $T_D P$ respectively in the Sobolev space $H^p(E|_D)$. In the following statement $\Pi(\Sigma)$ stands for the orthogonal (with respect to $h_D(\cdot, \cdot)$) projection to a closed subspace Σ in $H^p(E|_D)$.

Corollary 3.6 *In the strong operator topology in $H^p(E|_D)$*

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (M + K_D)^\nu = \Pi(S_P^p(D)), \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (T_D P)^\nu = \Pi(\ker(M + K_D)).$$

In the strong operator topology in $L^2(F|_D)$

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (I - PT_D)^\nu = \Pi(\ker(T_D)).$$

Proof. It follows from Corollary 3.5 that

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (M + K_D)^\nu = \Pi(I - K_D - M), \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (I - PT_D)^\nu = \ker(PT_D),$$

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (T_D P)^\nu = \ker(I - T_D P)$$

in the strong operator topology in $H^p(E|_D)$ (see, for instance, [3], §2, or [4] for compact operators). Theorem 3.4 and (2.5) imply that $\ker(I - T_D P) = \ker(M + K_D)$, $\ker T_D P = S_P^p(D)$ and $\ker PT_D = \ker T_D$. □

4 Solvability conditions for equation $Pu = f$

In this section we will use Corollary 3.6 to investigate solvability of equation $Pu = f$ in D . In particular, when it is solvable we will obtain an expression of the solution by means of a series which can be computed from the data.

Corollary 4.1 *In the strong operator topology in $H^p(E|_D)$*

$$I = \Pi(S^p(D)) + \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} (M + K_D)^\mu (T_D P), \quad (4.1)$$

$$I = \Pi(\ker(M + K_D)) + K_D + \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} (T_D P)^\mu M. \quad (4.2)$$

In the strong operator topology in $L^2(F|_D)$

$$I = \Pi(\ker T_D) + \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} P(M + K_D)^\mu T_D. \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. Formula (2.5) implies that for every $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$

$$I = (I - PT_D)^\nu + \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu-1} (I - PT_D)^\mu PT_D. \quad (4.4)$$

It is easy to see from (2.5) that

$$(I - PT_D)^\mu PT_D = P(I - T_D P)^\mu T_D = P(M + K_D)^\mu T_D.$$

Then using Theorem 3.6 we can pass to the limit for $N \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.4), obtaining (4.3). The proof for (4.1) and 4.2) is similar. \square

Theorem 4.2 *Let $f \in L^2(F|_D)$. There exists $u \in H^p(E)$ satisfying $Pu = f$ on D if and only if*

- (1) *the series $Rf = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} (M + K_D)^\mu T_D f$ converges in $H^p(E|_D)$;*
- (2) *$\int_D (g, f)_x dx = 0$ for all $g \in \ker T_D$.*

Moreover, if (1) and (2) hold then $PRf = f$ on D .

Proof. The necessity follows from Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 4.1.

Back, let (1) and (2) hold true. Then Corollary 4.1 implies that $f = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} P(M + K_D)^\mu T_D f$. Because the series Rf converges in $H^p(E|_D)$ we conclude that $f = PRf$. \square

Nacinovich and Shlapunov [3] proved such a result for the case considered in Example 2.6.

Remark 4.3 *Corollary 3.6 imply that the solution $u = Rf$ to equation $Pu = f$ in D belongs to $(S_P^p(D))^\perp$ where $(S_P^p(D))^\perp$ is the orthogonal (with respect to $h_D(\cdot, \cdot)$) complement of $S_P^p(D)$ in $H^p(E|_D)$; it is the unique solution belonging to this subspace. The partial sums $R_N f$ of the series Rf may be regarded as approximate solutions to equation $Pu = f$ in D . It easily follows from Corollaries 3.6 and 4.1 that $R_N f \in (S_P^p(D))^\perp$ for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|PR_N f - f - \Pi(\ker T_D)f\|_{L^2(F|_D)} = 0$ for every $f \in L^2(F|_D)$.*

Remark 4.4 *Let us denote by \tilde{g} the extension of $g \in L^2(F|_D)$ from D to X by zero. Then $0 = T_D g = T\tilde{g}$ and therefore $\ker T_D$ is the set of all functions g from $L^2(F|_D)$ satisfying $P^*\tilde{g} = 0$ weakly on X (see Remark 2.4).*

If P can be included into an elliptic complex (E^i, P^i) then condition (2) in Theorem 4.2 may be replaced by

$$(2a) \quad P^1 f = 0 \text{ on } D \text{ and } \int_D (g, f)_x dx = 0 \text{ for all } g \in \ker T_D \cap S_{P^1}^0(D).$$

Let, as before, $\{B_j\}_{j=0}^{p-1}$ be a Dirichlet system of order $(p-1)$ on ∂D , $\{C_j\}_{j=0}^{p-1}$ be the Dirichlet system dual to $\{B_j\}$ with respect to Green formula for the operator P (see, for instance, [9], Lemma 28.3), and let

$\mathfrak{H}(D) = \{g \in L^2(F|_D) \text{ such that } P^*g = 0, P^1g = 0 \text{ in } D, \text{ weakly satisfying}$

$$\text{the boundary conditions } ({}^t C_j^* g)|_{\partial D} = 0, 0 \leq j \leq p-1\}.$$

We call $\mathfrak{H}(D)$ “harmonic” space for complex $\{E^i, P^i\}$ in D . By the ellipticity assumptions, $\mathfrak{H}(D) \subset C^\infty(F|_D)$. It is not difficult to show that for the Dolbeault complex this definition of the harmonic space $\mathfrak{H}(D)$ is equivalent to the one given in [1]. It is easy to see that $\ker T_D \cap S_{P^1}^0(D) = \mathfrak{H}(D)$. However the space $\mathfrak{H}(D)$ fails to be finite-dimensional in general (cf. Remark 2.5).

5 Applications to P -NEUMANN problem

In this section we show how Theorem 3.3 can be used to study the P -NEUMANN problem associated to an elliptic operator $P \in do_p(E \rightarrow F)$.

Problem 5.1 *Let $\phi \in L^2(E|_D)$ and $\psi_j \in H^{-j-1/2, 2}(F_j|_{\partial D})$ ($0 \leq j \leq p-1$) be given sections. Find $\psi \in H^p(E|_D)$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} P^*P\psi = \phi & \text{in } D; \\ {}^t C_j^* P\psi = \psi_j & \text{on } \partial D \\ (0 \leq j \leq p-1). \end{cases}$$

The equation $P^*P\psi = \phi$ in D has to be understood in the sense of distributions, while the boundary values are interpreted in the variational sense :

$$\int_D (\phi, v)_x dx - \int_{\partial D} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \langle (*_{F_j})B_j v, \psi_j \rangle_y ds(y) = \int_D (P\psi, Pv)_y dy \text{ for every } v \in C^\infty(E_{|\overline{D}}). \quad (5.1)$$

Proposition 5.2 *Let $\phi = 0$ and $\psi_j = 0$ for all $0 \leq j \leq p-1$. Then $\psi \in H^p(E_{|D})$ is a solution of Problem 5.1 if and only if $\psi \in S_P^p(D)$.*

Proof. Obviously, $\psi \in S_P^p(D)$ is a solution of Problem 5.1 with $\phi = 0$ and $\psi_j = 0$ ($0 \leq j \leq p-1$). Conversely, if ψ is a solution of Problem 5.1 with $\phi = 0$ and $\psi_j = 0$ ($0 \leq j \leq p-1$) then $T_D P\psi = 0$. Hence

$$\psi = (M + K_D)\psi = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (M + K_D)^\nu \psi,$$

i.e. $\psi \in S_P^p(D)$ (see Corollary 3.6). \square

The operator P^*P is elliptic with C^∞ coefficients, and the ranks of the symbols of the boundary operators (${}^t C_j^*$) are maximal in a neighborhood of ∂D . Nevertheless, since, the space $S_P^p(D)$ is not finite dimensional in general, Proposition 5.2 shows that Problem 5.1 may be ill-posed.

In the following theorem we set

$$\widetilde{T}_D(\oplus \psi_j)(x) = \int_{\partial D} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \langle {}^t B_j^*(y)\Phi(x, y), \psi_j(y) \rangle_y ds(y),$$

$$V(\phi)(x) = \int_D \langle \Phi(x, y), \phi(y) \rangle_y dy.$$

Theorem 5.3 *Problem 5.1 is solvable if and only if*

(1) $\int_D (\phi, v)_x dx - \int_{\partial D} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \langle (*_{F_j})B_j v, \psi_j \rangle_y ds(y) = 0$
for every $v \in S_P^p(D)$;

(2) *the series*

$$r(\phi, \oplus \psi_j) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} (M + K_D)^\mu (V(\phi) - \widetilde{T}_D(\oplus \psi_j))$$

converges in the $H^p(E_{|D})$ -norm.

If (1) and (2) hold then $r(\phi, \oplus \psi_j)$ is a solution to Problem 5.1.

Proof. Let Problem 5.1 be solvable and let $\psi \in H^p(E|_D)$ be a solution. Then $V(\phi) - \widetilde{T}_D(\oplus\psi_j) = T_D P\psi$, and, due to Corollary 3.6, the series $RP\psi = r(\phi, \oplus\psi_j)$ converges in the $H^p(E|_D)$ -norm.

Conversely, assume that (1) and (2) hold true. Let us prove that the series $r(\phi, \oplus\psi_h)$ satisfies (5.1). First, we note that

$$T_D Pr(\phi, \oplus\psi_j) = (I - (M + K_D))r(\phi, \oplus\psi_j) = V(\phi) - \widetilde{T}_D(\oplus\psi_j). \quad (5.2)$$

Using (2.5) we see that for every $v \in C^\infty(E|_D)$ and $x \in D$ we have

$$v(x) = \int_D \langle \Phi(x, y), P^* P v(y) \rangle_y dy + (K_D v)(x) - \int_{\partial D} G_{P^* P}(\Phi(x, y), v(y)).$$

Then Fubini theorem and (5.2) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D (Pr(\phi, \oplus\psi_j, P v))_x dx &= \int_D (T_D Pr(\phi, \oplus\psi_j), P^* P v)_y dy - \\ \int_{\partial D} G_{P^* P}(T_D Pr(\phi, \oplus\psi_j), v(y)) &= \int_D (V(\phi) - \widetilde{T}_D(\oplus\psi_j), P^* P v)_y dy - \\ \int_{\partial D} G_{P^* P}(V(\phi) - \widetilde{T}_D(\oplus\psi_j), v(y)) &= \\ \int_D (\phi, v - K_D v)_x dx - \int_{\partial D} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \langle *B_j(v - K_D v), \psi_j \rangle_x dx. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since $K_D v \in S_P^p(D)$ condition (1) implies that (5.1) holds. \square

Of course, if Shapiro-Lopatiskii condition holds true for Problem 5.1 then this problem is a Fredholm one and the series $r(\phi, \oplus\psi_j)$ converges for all data ϕ and ψ_j .

For $P = \bar{\partial}$ in \mathbb{C}^n a similar formula for solutions to the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem was obtained by KYTMANOV (see [2], p.177). In the situation considered in Example 2.6 such a theorem was proved in [3].

6 Examples

Let P be a homogeneous $(l \times k)$ -operator with constant coefficient in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) having injective symbol of order $p \geq 1$. In this case $P^* P$ has the standard fundamental solution Φ of convolution type. Then for $n > 4p$ we have the Hodge decomposition in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $K = 0$ and with Φ “vanishing at infinity” (see [9], p. 74). In this case $S(u) \in [H^p(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{D})]^k$ is the solution “vanishing at infinity” to the exterior Dirichlet problem for $P^* P$ and D and we are able to consider the scalar product $h_D(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (PU(v))^*(x)(PU(u))(x) dx$ on $[H^p(D)]^k$. Thus this situation corresponds to compactification of \mathbb{R}^n with one point at infinity.

Example 6.1 Let P be the gradient operator in \mathbb{R}^n . Then $(-P^*P)$ is the usual Laplace operator in \mathbb{R}^n , $\Phi = \phi_n$ is the standard fundamental solution to the Laplace operator in \mathbb{R}^n . The compatibility complex for P is de Rham complex and Problem 5.1 is the classical Neumann problem. It is well known to be an elliptic boundary value problem.

Example 6.2 Let P be the Cauchy-Riemann system in \mathbb{C}^n . In this case $(-4P^*P)$ is the usual Laplace operator in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . The compatibility complex for P is Dolbeault complex and Problem 5.1 is the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem (see, for instance, [2]). It is well known to be an ill-posed boundary value problem.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks prof. N.N. Tarkhanov and prof. B.-W. Schulze for many useful remarks.

References

- [1] L. HÖRMANDER. L^2 -estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator. Acta Math. **113**(1–2), 89–152, 1965.
- [2] A.M. KYTMANOV. The Bochner-Martinelli integral, and its applications. Nauka, Siberian branch, Novosibirsk, 1992 (Russian).
- [3] M. NACINOVICH, A. A. SHLAPUNOV. On iterations of the Green integrals and their applications to elliptic differential complexes. *Math. Nachr.*, **180**, 1996, 243–286.
- [4] M.M. LAVRENT'EV, V.G. ROMANOV, S.P. SHISHATSKII. Ill-posed problems of mathematical physics and analysis. Nauka, Moscow, 1980, 286pp.
- [5] S. REMPEL, B.-W. SCHULZE. Index theory of elliptic boundary problems. Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [6] A.V. ROMANOV. Convergence of iterations of Bochner -Martinelli operator, and the Cauchy - Riemann system. Dokl. AN SSSR **242** (4), 780–783, 1978; English transl.: Soviet Math. Dokl **19** (5) 1978.
- [7] B.-W. SCHULZE, A. A. SHLAPUNOV, N.N. TARKHANOV. Regularization of mixed boundary problem. Prepr. 99/9, Institute für Mathematik, Universität Potsdam, 1999, 32 pp.
- [8] N.N. TARKHANOV. Parametrix method in theory of differential complexes. Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1990; English transl.: Complexes of differential operators. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, NL, 1995.
- [9] N.N. TARKHANOV. Laurent series for solutions of elliptic systems. Novosibirsk, 1991; English transl.: The analysis of solutions of elliptic equations. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, NL, 1997.